

Midterm Preparation Handout

CS/SWE 4/6TE3

October 17, 2010

Trust-Region Method

Consider the function $f(x_1, x_2) = e^{x_1+x_2-2} + (x_1 - x_2)^2$.

(a) Let $x^0 = (1, 1)^T$. Calculate the Trust-Region search direction with the initial value $\alpha = 1$. Let choose $\mu = 0.2$, $\eta = 0.8$, $\gamma_1 = 0.5$, $\gamma_2 = 2.5$. Would you accept this step in the Trust Region Algorithm or α should be changed?

$$x^1 = x^0 + s^0(\alpha), \quad s^0(\alpha) = -(\nabla^2 f(x^0) + \alpha I)^{-1} \nabla f(x^0)$$

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \nabla^2 f(x^0) + \alpha I = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly to the computation of the Newton method, we can solve the system of equations $-(\nabla^2 f(x^0) + \alpha I)s^0 = \nabla f(x^0)$ to get $s^0(\alpha) = -(\nabla^2 f(x^0) + \alpha I)^{-1} \nabla f(x^0)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_1^0 \\ s_2^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \implies s^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$x^1 = x^0 + s^0(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f(x^1) = f(x^0 + s^0(\alpha)) = f(2/3, 2/3) = 0.5134 < f(x^0) = f(1, 1) = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} q(x^1) &= f(x^0) + \nabla f(x^0)^T (x^1 - x^0) + \frac{1}{2} (x^1 - x^0)^T \nabla^2 f(x^0) (x^1 - x^0) \\ f(x^0) - q(x^1) &= -\nabla f(x^0)^T (x^1 - x^0) - \frac{1}{2} (x^1 - x^0)^T \nabla^2 f(x^0) (x^1 - x^0) = \\ &= -(1 \ 1) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} (-1/3 \ -1/3) \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{4}{9} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_k = \frac{f(x^k) - f(x^k + s^k(\alpha))}{f(x^k) - q(x^k + s^k(\alpha))} = \frac{\text{actual decrease}}{\text{predicted decrease}},$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^0 + s^0(\alpha))}{f(x^0) - q(x^0 + s^0(\alpha))} = \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^1)}{f(x^0) - q(x^1)} = \frac{1 - 0.5134}{4/9} = 1.0949.$$

As $\rho_1 > \eta$ the step is very good and we accept it, $x^1 = x^0 + s^0(\alpha) = (2/3, 2/3)^T$, α is updated: $\alpha = \gamma_1 \alpha = 0.5$.

(b) Compare the Steepest Descent, Newtons and the Trust Region methods w.r.t. applicability, computational cost and convergence.

Cost of one iteration:

Steepest Descent: $O(n)$ + line search

Newton Method: $O(n^3)$

Trust-Region Method: $O(n^3)$

Convergence:

Steepest Descent is globally convergent (with exact line search), rate of convergence is linear, but convergence can be very slow (zig-zagging)

Newton Method: local quadratic convergence, not necessarily globally convergent (Hessian is not necessarily positive definite)

Trust Region: globally convergent

Trust region is a compromise between Steepest Descent and Newton.

Solutions to selected problems from the previous Midterm Exams

1. **Spring 2004:** Consider the functions

$$f(x_1, x_2) = -2x_1 + 4x_1^4 + e^{(x_1^2)} + e^{-x_1 - 2x_2}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \log(1 + |x_1 + x_2 + x_3|)$$

- (a) (i) Give the gradient and the Hessian of $f(x_1, x_2)$.
(ii) Give the second-order Taylor series expansion of $f(x_1, x_2)$ at the point $x^0 = (-1, 1)^T$.
- (b) Prove that $f(x)$ is strictly convex.
- (c) Demonstrate that the function $h(x)$ is not convex.
- (d) Examine if the point $x = (-10, 5, 5)^T$ is a local/global minimum of $h(x)$ without using its gradient/Hessian information.

Solution

(a) The gradient and the Hessian of the function $f(x_1, x_2)$ are:

$$\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 + 16x_1^3 + 2x_1e^{(x_1^2)} - e^{-x_1 - 2x_2} \\ -2e^{-x_1 - 2x_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 f(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 48x_1^2 + 2e^{(x_1^2)} + 4x_1^2 e^{(x_1^2)} + e^{-x_1-2x_2} & 2e^{-x_1-2x_2} \\ 2e^{-x_1-2x_2} & 4e^{-x_1-2x_2} \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

The second order Taylor series expansion of the function $f(x_1, x_2)$ at the point x^0 is:

$$f(x) = f(x^0) + \nabla f(x^0)^T (x - x^0) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x^0)^T \nabla^2 f(x^0) (x - x^0)$$

In our case for $x^0 = (x_1^0, x_2^0)^T = (-1, 1)^T$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned}f(x_1, x_2) &= f(x_1^0, x_2^0) + \nabla f(x_1^0, x_2^0)^T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - x_1^0 \\ x_2 - x_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - x_1^0 \\ x_2 - x_2^0 \end{pmatrix}^T \nabla^2 f(x_1^0, x_2^0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - x_1^0 \\ x_2 - x_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 9.0862 + \begin{pmatrix} -23.8044 \\ -0.7358 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + 1 \\ x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + 1 \\ x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 64.6776 & 0.7358 \\ 0.7358 & 1.4715 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + 1 \\ x_2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 18.3563 + 40.1374x_1 - 1.47152x_2 + 32.3388x_1^2 + .735759x_2x_1 + .735759x_2^2\end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider the Hessian of $f(x_1, x_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 f(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 48x_1^2 + 2e^{(x_1^2)} + 4x_1^2 e^{(x_1^2)} + e^{-x_1-2x_2} & 2e^{-x_1-2x_2} \\ 2e^{-x_1-2x_2} & 4e^{-x_1-2x_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (48x_1^2 + (2 + 4x_1^2)e^{(x_1^2)})e^{x_1+2x_2} + 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} e^{-x_1-2x_2}\end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^{-x_1-2x_2} > 0$, $e^{x_1+2x_2} > 0$ and $(48x_1^2 + (2 + 4x_1^2)e^{(x_1^2)}) > 0$. This implies that $(48x_1^2 + (2 + 4x_1^2)e^{(x_1^2)})e^{x_1+2x_2} + 1 > 1$ and $\det(\nabla^2 f(x_1, x_2)) > 0$. As all leading principal minors of the matrix are positive, the Hessian is positive definite, and, consequently, $f(x)$ is strictly convex.

(c) Note that $\log(z)$ is non-convex increasing function. Let's consider $x^1 = (0, 0, 0)^T$, $x^2 = (2, 0, 0)^T$. So, $0.5h(x^1) + 0.5h(x^2) = 0.5h(0, 0, 0) + 0.5h(2, 0, 0) = 0.5 \log(1) + 0.5 \log(3) = 0.5493 < h(0.5x^1 + 0.5x^2) = h(0, 0, 1) = \log(2) = 0.6931$, which violates the definition of convexity.

- (d) Note that $\log(1+z), z \geq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is monotonically increasing non-convex function defined on the interval $[1, +\infty)$. This function reaches its global minimum on the boundary of its domain (in the point $\log(1)$, when $z = 0$). So, the point $x = (-10, 5, 5)^T$, where $z = |x_1 + x_2 + x_3| = 0$, is a global minimum of the function $h(x)$. Consequently, this point is a local minimum as well.
-

2. **Spring 2004:** Convergence:

- (b) Determine the limit point and the rate of convergence of the following sequence:

$$x^k = \left(\frac{1}{k^4}, \frac{4}{k+4}\right)^T, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Solution

- (b) Consider the sequences $\frac{1}{k^4}$ and $\frac{4}{k+4}$ separately.

The sequence $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k^4}$ converges to 0 when $k \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^4} = 0$. Lets take $p = 1$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(k+1)^4}}{\frac{1}{k^4}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^4 = 1$. Also, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(k+1)^4}}{\frac{1}{k^{4+\epsilon}}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k^4 k^\epsilon}{(k+1)^4} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^4 k^\epsilon = \infty \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$. So, the order of convergence is $p^* = 1$. Moreover, $\beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^4 = 1$, so the sequence converges *sub-linearly*.

Now, consider the sequence $\alpha_k = \frac{4}{k+4}$ that converges to 0 when $k \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{k+4} = 0$. Lets take $p = 1$, then $\beta = \frac{\frac{4}{(k+1)+4}}{\left(\frac{4}{k+4}\right)^1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k+4}{k+5} = 1$. In addition, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{4}{k+5}}{\left(\frac{4}{k+4}\right)^{1+\epsilon}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k+4}{k+5} \left(\frac{k}{4} + 1\right)^\epsilon = \infty \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$. So, this sequence converges *sub-linearly*.

As a result, the sequence $x^k = \left(\frac{1}{k^4}, \frac{4}{k+4}\right)$ converges to $(0, 0)$ *sub-linearly*.

3. **Spring 2004:** Consider the function $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 + x_2 - 2)^2 - \log(1 + x_1 - x_2)^2$.

- (c) Give the directional derivative of the function $f(x)$ at the point $(1, 1)^T$ in the direction $(1, 1)^T$.
-

Solution

- (c) Directional derivative of the function $f(x)$ at the point $(1, 1)^T$ in the direction $s = (1, 1)^T$.

The directional derivative is:

$$\delta f(x, s) = \nabla f(x)^T s = \nabla f(1, 1)^T s = \begin{pmatrix} 2(x_1 + x_2 - 2) - \frac{2}{1+x_1-x_2} \\ 2(x_1 + x_2 - 2) + \frac{2}{1+x_1-x_2} \end{pmatrix}^T s = (-2, 2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

4. **Spring 2005:** Convex functions:

- (a) Prove that if the function $f(x) : R^n \rightarrow R$ is convex, then for any set of points x^1, x^2, x^3 the inequality

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i x^i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i f(x^i),$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_i = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1, \forall i$ holds.

- (b) Show that $\exp(-3x + 4) : R \rightarrow R$ is a convex function.
-

Solution

- a. We need to prove that $f(\lambda_1 x^1 + \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_3 x^3) \leq \lambda_1 f(x^1) + \lambda_2 f(x^2) + \lambda_3 f(x^3)$ for $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq 1$. Let us denote $\mu_2 y = \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_3 x^3$, where $\mu_2 = 1 - \lambda_1$. As function f is convex, applying the definition of convex function, we get $f(\lambda_1 x^1 + \mu_2 y) \leq \lambda_1 f(x^1) + \mu_2 f(y)$. In its turn $f(y) = f\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2} x^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\mu_2} x^3\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2} f(x^2) + \frac{\lambda_3}{\mu_2} f(x^3)$. Equivalently, $\mu_2 f(y) = \mu_2 f\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_2} x^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\mu_2} x^3\right) \leq \lambda_2 f(x^2) + \lambda_3 f(x^3)$ and $\lambda_1 f(x^1) + \mu_2 f(y) \leq \lambda_1 f(x^1) + \lambda_2 f(x^2) + \lambda_3 f(x^3)$. So, we got that $f(\lambda_1 x^1 + \lambda_2 x^2 + \lambda_3 x^3) \leq \lambda_1 f(x^1) + \mu_2 f(y) \leq \lambda_1 f(x^1) + \lambda_2 f(x^2) + \lambda_3 f(x^3)$.
- b. As exponential function is convex nondecreasing, by using composition rules we can say that a function $e^{g(x)}$ is convex if $g(x)$ is convex. The linear function $g(x) = -3x + 4$ is convex and, so, e^{-3x+4} is convex as well.
-

5. **Spring 2004:** Which of the following statements is true/false.

Give a one-sentence justification of your answer.

- (a) A two times differentiable function is strictly convex if and only if its Hessian is positive definite.
- (b) Minimum of convex functions is convex.
- (c) The steepest descent algorithm converges quadratically.
- (d) An exact line-search is used in trust region algorithms.
- (e) The derivative of convex functions is convex as well.
- (f) The bisection method converges superlinearly.

- (g) A two times differentiable function is convex if and only if its Hessian is symmetric.
- (h) If a sequence converges quadratically, then it converges superlinearly too.
-

Solution

- (a) False. While positive definite Hessian implies strictly convex function, the converse is not true: x^4 is strictly convex with its Hessian 0 at $x = 0$.
- (b) False. Epigraph of the minimum of convex functions is a union of the functions epigraphs, but union of convex sets (functions epigraphs) is not necessarily convex.
- (c) False. It converges linearly, only Newton has local quadratic convergence.
- (d) False. There is no line search in the trust region algorithms, instead the parameter α is decreased or increased dynamically.
- (e) False. For $f(x) = x^4$, its derivative $f'(x) = 4x^3$ is not convex.
- (f) False. For the bisection method the sequence is $\alpha_k = (\frac{1}{2})^k$ which converges linearly with the convergence rate $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$.
- (g) False. The Hessian of a two times differentiable function is always symmetric and such function is convex if the Hessian is positive semidefinite.
- (h) True. Quadratic convergence imply superlinear convergence as well, if the order of convergence is $p^* = \sup p = 2$, it is implied that for $p < 2$ the limit is finite as well.
-