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#### Abstract

A partial Steiner $(k, l)$-system is a $k$-uniform hypergraph $\mathscr{G}$ with the property that every $l$-element subset of $V$ is contained in at most one edge of $\mathscr{G}$. In this paper we show that for given $k, l$ and $t$ there exists a partial Steiner $(k, l)$-system such that whenever an $l$-element subset from every edge is chosen, the resulting $l$-uniform hypergraph contains a clique of size $t$. As the main result of this note, we establish asymptotic lower and upper bounds on the size of such cliques with respect to the order of Steiner systems.
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## 1. Introduction

A partial Steiner $(k, l)$-system $((k, l)$-system in short) is a $k$-uniform hyper$\operatorname{graph} \mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ with the property that every $l$-element subset of $V$ is contained in at most one edge of $\mathscr{G}$. For fixed $k$ and $l$ we denote the set of all $(k, l)$-systems by $S(k, l)$. Questions regarding the maximum numbers of edges in $(k, l)$-systems have been studied, e.g., in [1], [8], [17]. Another direction of the research was pioneered by Alex Rosa [15], [16], who was the first to investigate questions regarding the chromatic number of Steiner systems. This motivated a further study on chromatic numbers and independent sets of Steiner systems by a number of researchers (see, e.g., [4], [5], [6], [9], [12], [18]). The aim of this note is
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to introduce a Ramsey type parameter related to ( $k, l$ )-systems. The following notion of a selector is essential for the discussion. Let $l<k$ be integers and $\mathscr{H}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph. A selector is a function S: $\mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{l}$ satisfying $\mathrm{S}(E) \subset E$ for every $E \in \mathscr{E}$. Moreover, denote by $K_{n}^{(l)}$ the $l$-uniform complete hypergraph of order $n$. With this concept in mind we start with the following reformulation of the Ramsey theorem (see, e.g., [10], [14]), which in particular says that there exists the smallest integer $R_{l}(k, t)$ such that any bluered coloring of the edges of $K_{R_{l}(k, t)}^{(l)}$ yields either a blue copy of $K_{k}^{(l)}$ or a red copy of $K_{t}^{(l)}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $k, l, t$ be integers satisfying $l \leq \min \{k, t\}$. Then, there exists $n$ such that the hypergraph $K_{n}^{(k)}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ has the following property. For any selector $\mathrm{S}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{l}$ the l-uniform hypergraph $(V, \mathrm{~S}(\mathscr{E}))$ contains a clique $K_{t}^{(l)}$.

Note that the smallest such integer $n$ equals $R_{l}(k, t)$. In this note we are interested in an extension of Theorem 1.1 with $\left(V,\binom{V}{k}\right)$ replaced by a "sparse" hypergraph. Clearly, if $(V, \mathscr{E})$ is a partial $(k, l-1)$-system, then for any selector $S$ and $E, E^{\prime} \in \mathscr{E}, E \neq E^{\prime},\left|\mathrm{S}(E) \cap \mathrm{S}\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq l-2$ holds, and consequently, the $l$-uniform hypergraph $(V, \mathrm{~S}(\mathscr{E}))$ cannot contain a clique $K_{t}^{(l)}$. We show, however, that with a conveniently chosen $(k, l)$-system Theorem 1.1 remains true.

Theorem 1.2. Let $k, l, t$ be integers satisfying $l \leq \min \{k, t\}$. Then, there exists $a(k, l)$-system $\mathscr{T}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ such that for any selector $\mathrm{S}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{l}$ the $l$-uniform hypergraph $(V, \mathrm{~S}(\mathscr{E}))$ contains a clique $K_{t}^{(l)}$.

The special case of Theorem 1.2 (for $k=3$ and $l=2$ ) follows from the result of the second author [7], where it was shown that for any positive integer $t$ and $n$ large enough every projective Steiner triple system $P G(n, 2)$ (cf. [3]) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. In other words (for $k=3$ and $l=2$ ), projective Steiner triple systems $P G(n, 2)$ have the property of $(3,2)$-system $\mathscr{T}$ with $n$ sufficiently large.

Theorem 1.2, though quite powerful, gives no explicit estimate of the size of the graph $\mathscr{T}$. A quantitative extension of Theorem 1.2 is the main result of this note.

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be an $l$-uniform hypergraph. Define the clique number of $\mathscr{H}$ as

$$
\omega(\mathscr{H})=\max \left\{t \in \mathbb{N}: \mathscr{H} \supseteq K_{t}^{(l)}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ be $(k, l)$-system. Define also the clique number for $(k, l)$-system as

$$
\omega(\mathscr{G}, k, l)=\min \{\omega((V, \mathrm{~S}(\mathscr{E}))): \mathrm{S} \text { is a selector on } \mathscr{G}\}
$$

Furthermore, let

$$
\omega(n, k, l)=\max \{\omega(\mathscr{G}): \mathscr{G} \text { is }(k, l) \text {-system of order } n\} .
$$

Theorem 1.2 states that for any fixed $k$ and $l$ the function $\omega(n, k, l) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For partial Steiner triple systems (PSTS), i.e., where $k=3$ and $l=2$, we show the following explicit bounds.

## Theorem 1.3.

$$
(1-o(1)) \log _{2} \log _{2} n \leq \omega(n, 3,2) \leq 2 \log _{3} n+1
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\leq$ be a linear ordering of vertices $V$. For a given hypergraph $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ denote by $(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ the hypergraph with linear ordering $\leq$ on its vertices. Let $(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ and $(\mathscr{H}, \leq)$ be two ordered hypergraphs with $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ and $\mathscr{H}=$ $(W, \mathscr{F})$. Say the mapping $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ is an ordered embedding if for all $v<v^{\prime}$, $v, v^{\prime} \in V, \phi(v)<\phi\left(v^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left\{\phi\left(v_{1}\right), \phi\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(v_{k}\right)\right\} \in \mathscr{F}$ if and only if $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \in \mathscr{E}$.

We use the Ramsey theorem for Steiner systems established by J. Nešetřil and the third author.

Theorem 2.1. ([13]) Let $(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ be an ordered $k$-uniform hypergraph such that $\mathscr{G} \in S(k, l)$. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Then, there exists an ordered $k$-uniform hypergraph $(\mathscr{H}, \leq)$ with $\mathscr{H} \in S(k, l)$ and such that for every partition of the edges $\mathscr{E}(\mathscr{H})=\mathscr{E}_{1} \cup \mathscr{E}_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \mathscr{E}_{r}$ there exists $i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, and an ordered embedding $\phi: V(\mathscr{G}) \rightarrow V\left(\left(V, \mathscr{E}_{i}\right)\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we are going to define an ordered Steiner $\operatorname{system}(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ to which we will apply Theorem 2.1. Let $[k]=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. For each $L \in\binom{[k]}{l}$ consider an ordered set $T_{L},\left|T_{L}\right|=t$, so that for $L \neq L^{\prime}, T_{L} \cap T_{L^{\prime}}=\emptyset$. Now for each $T_{L}$, where say $L=\left\{m_{1}<\cdots<m_{l}\right\}$, and every $l$-element subset $U=\left\{u_{1}<\cdots<u_{l}\right\} \subset T_{L}$ consider a $k$-element set $V(L, U)=\left\{v_{1}<\cdots<v_{k}\right\}$ such that:
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(i) $v_{m_{i}}=u_{i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, l$, and
(ii) $V(L, U) \cap V\left(L, U^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{L}$ for each $L$, and
(iii) $V(L, U) \cap V\left(L^{\prime}, U^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, whenever $U \neq U^{\prime}$.

Observe that (ii) and (iii) is equivalent to saying that the sets $V(L, U) \backslash U$ are pairwise disjoint for distinct $U$ and $L$. For each $L \in\binom{[k]}{l}$ set

$$
V_{L}=\bigcup\left\{V(L, U): U \in\binom{T_{L}}{l}\right\}
$$

and

$$
V=\bigcup\left\{V_{L}: L \in\binom{[k]}{l}\right\}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{L}=\left\{V(L, U): U \in\binom{T_{L}}{l}\right\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}=\bigcup\left\{\mathscr{E}_{L}: L \in\binom{[k]}{l}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ is $(k, l)$-system. Let $\leq$ be an arbitrary linear extension of the order we considered on elements of $V$. Let $r=\binom{k}{l}$ and let $(\mathscr{H}, \leq)$ be a graph guaranteed by Theorem 2.1. We claim that $\mathscr{T}$ is the desired graph $\mathscr{H}$.

Consider an arbitrary selector $\mathrm{S}: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow\binom{V(\mathscr{H})}{l}$ (for convenience we identify $\mathscr{H}$ with its edge set). Consider the following partition of the edges of $\mathscr{H}$ as

$$
\mathscr{H}=\bigcup\left\{\mathscr{H}_{L}: L \in\binom{[k]}{l}\right\},
$$

where $\mathscr{H}_{L}=\left\{E \in \mathscr{H}: E=\left\{x_{1}<\cdots<x_{k}\right\}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{S}(E)=\left\{x_{i}: i \in L\right\}\right\}$. By Theorem 2.1 there exists $L_{0} \in\binom{[k]}{l}$ and a copy of $(\mathscr{G}, \leq)$ in $(\mathscr{H}, \leq)$, say $\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}, \leq\right)$, such that $E\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right) \subset \mathscr{H}_{L_{0}}$. In particular, all edges $E=\left\{x_{1}<\cdots<x_{k}\right\}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{L_{0}} \subset E\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right)$ (cf. (1) and (2)) have the property that $\mathrm{S}(E)=\left\{x_{i}: i \in L_{0}\right\}$. Consequently, the set $T_{L_{0}}=\bigcup\left\{\mathrm{S}(E): E \in \mathscr{E}_{L_{0}}\right\}$ induces a clique $K_{t}^{(l)}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

First, we find an upper bound on $\omega(n, 3,2)$ by using a simple probabilistic argument.
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Proof of Theorem 1.3 (upper bound). We show that for sufficiently large $n$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(n, 3,2) \leq 2 \log _{3} n+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (3), it suffices to show that $\omega(\mathscr{G}, 3,2) \leq 2 \log _{3} n+1$ for any PSTS $\mathscr{G}$ of order $n$. For a given PSTS $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ with $|V|=n$ we show that there exists a selector $\mathrm{S}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{2}$ for which $\left(M, \mathrm{~S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}\right)$ is not a complete graph, i.e., $\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2} \neq[M]^{2}$, whenever $M \subseteq V$ and $|M|>2 \log _{3} n+1$. Let $\mathbb{S}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{2}$ be a random selector defined by $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbb{S}=\mathrm{S})=\frac{1}{\binom{3}{2}^{|\mathcal{E}|}}$ for every possible selector S on $\mathscr{G}$. For a fixed set $M$ with $|M|=m$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathbb{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}=[M]^{2}\right) \leq 3^{-\binom{m}{2}}
$$

since from $3^{|\mathscr{E}|}$ selectors at most $3^{|\mathscr{E}|-\binom{m}{2}}$ of them keep $[M]^{2}$ complete. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left(\exists M \in[V]^{m}\right)\left(\mathbb{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}=[M]^{2}\right)\right) \leq\binom{ n}{m} 3^{-\binom{m}{2}}
$$

and equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left(\forall M \in[V]^{m}\right)\left(\mathbb{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2} \neq[M]^{2}\right)\right) \geq 1-\binom{n}{m} 3^{-\binom{m}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for $m>2 \log _{3} n+1$ we get $\binom{n}{m} \leq n^{m}<\left(3^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\right)^{m}=3^{\binom{m}{2}}$. Consequently, the right side of (4) is positive, i.e., there exists a selector with the required property.

In order to prove the lower bound on $\omega(n, 3,2)$ we need to show the existence of the PSTS with the property that any selector chooses a clique of size $\Omega(\ln \ln n)$. To this end, we construct a PSTS with the property that any sufficiently large subset of its vertices induces many triples. We need one auxiliary result, i.e., Proposition 3.3, which follows from a special version of Lovász Local Lemma, i.e., Corollary 3.2. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be events in a probability space. A graph $\Gamma=(V, E)$ on the set vertices $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is called a dependency graph for the events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ if for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, the event $A_{i}$ is mutually independent of all the events $\left\{A_{j}:\{i, j\} \notin E\right\}$.
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Lemma 3.1 (Lovász Local Lemma). (see, e.g., [2]) Suppose that $\Gamma=(V, E)$ is a dependency graph for the events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ and suppose there are real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ such that $0<x_{i}<1$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \prod_{\{i, j\} \in E}\left(1-x_{j}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{A}_{i}\right)>0$, i.e., with positive probability no event $A_{i}$ holds.

In the proof of Proposition 3.3 we will use the following consequence of Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. (For a similar result see [19].) Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be events with a dependency graph $\Gamma=(V, E)$. Suppose, there exist real numbers $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, \delta$ such that $0<\delta<1,0<y_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \delta$ and $\sum_{\{i, j\} \in E} y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right) \leq(1-\delta) \ln \left(y_{i}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bar{A}_{I}\right)>0$.

Proof. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, set $x_{i}=y_{i} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right)$. Note that $0<x_{i}<1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\{i, j\} \in E}\left(1-x_{j}\right) & =\prod_{\{i, j\} \in E}\left(1-y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \geq \prod_{\{i, j\} \in E} \exp \left(\frac{-y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)}{1-y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\sum_{\{i, j\} \in E} \frac{y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)}{1-y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)}\right) \geq \exp \left(-\sum_{\{i, j\} \in E} \frac{y_{j} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{j}\right)}{1-\delta}\right) \\
& \geq \exp \left(-\ln \left(y_{i}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{y_{i}}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}\right)}{x_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied.
Proposition 3.3. There exists a positive constant c such that for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any sufficiently large $n \geq n_{0}(\varepsilon)$ there exists a PSTS $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ with $|V|=n$ and with the property that whenever $M \subseteq V$ with $|M|=m \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, then $\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right|>\frac{c}{2 n}\binom{m}{3}$.

Proof. By a standard averaging argument it is enough to show that the statement holds for $m=\left\lceil n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right\rceil$. Set $c=\frac{1}{102}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Let $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathbb{E})$ be a random 3-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V,|V|=n$, and with hyperedges chosen independently with probability $p=\frac{c}{n}$. For $L \in[V]^{4}$ let $A_{L}$ be the event that $\left|\mathbb{E} \cap[L]^{3}\right| \geq 2$, i.e., there is a pair, which is contained in at least two triples. For $M \in[V]^{m}$ let $B_{M}$ be the event that $\left|\mathbb{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right| \leq \frac{p}{2}\binom{m}{3}$. Note that for $L, \hat{L} \in[V]^{4}, A_{L}$ is independent of all $A_{\hat{L}}$ with $|L \cap \hat{L}|<3$. Similarly,
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for $M \in[V]^{m}, B_{M}$ is independent of all $A_{L}$ and $B_{\hat{M}}$ with $|M \cap L|<3$ and $|M \cap \hat{M}|<3$, respectively. Let

$$
A=\bigcap_{L \in[V]^{4}} \bar{A}_{L} \cap \bigcap_{M \in[V]^{m}} \bar{B}_{M} .
$$

If $\operatorname{Pr}(A)>0$, then there is a 3 -uniform hypergraph $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ which is a PSTS (no pair is covered more than once) such that for any $M \subseteq V$ we have $\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right|>\frac{p}{2}\binom{m}{3}$.

In order to complete the proof, we show that $\operatorname{Pr}(A)>0$. According to Corollary 3.2 (applied with $\delta=\frac{1}{100}$ ), it suffices to find positive real numbers $y_{L}$ and $z_{M}$, for all $L \in[V]^{4}$ and $M \in[V]^{m}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{100},  \tag{5}\\
z_{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right) & \leq \frac{1}{100},  \tag{6}\\
\sum_{|\hat{L} \cap L| \geq 3} y_{\hat{L}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{\hat{L}}\right)+\sum_{|M \cap L| \geq 3} z_{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right) & \leq \frac{99}{100} \ln \left(y_{L}\right), \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|L \cap M| \geq 3} y_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right)+\sum_{|\hat{M} \cap M| \geq 3} z_{\hat{M}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{\hat{M}}\right) \leq \frac{99}{100} \ln \left(z_{M}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, let us estimate $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right)$. For each $L \in[L]^{4}$

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right)=\binom{4}{2} p^{2}(1-p)^{2}+\binom{4}{3} p^{3}(1-p)+p^{4}<6 p^{2}
$$

since $p \leq 1$. Hence, for every $L \in[V]^{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right) \leq \frac{6 c^{2}}{n^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $\operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right)$ we will use Chernoff's inequality (see, e.g., [11, Theorem 2.1]). Let $X \sim B\left(\binom{m}{3}, p\right)$ be a random variable with binomial distribution. Then, $E[X]=\binom{m}{3} p$ and Chernoff's inequality yields

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(X \leq \frac{1}{2} E[X]\right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} E[X]\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} \frac{c}{n}\binom{m}{3}\right)
$$

Hence, for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right)<\exp \left(-\frac{c}{50} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Now for every $L \in[V]^{4}$ define

$$
y_{L}=1+\frac{1}{n}
$$

and for every $M \in[V]^{m}$ define

$$
z_{M}=\exp \left(\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right)
$$

For a given $L \in[V]^{4}$ and $n$ large enough, we obtain by (9)

$$
y_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right) \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{6 c^{2}}{n^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{100}
$$

Similarly, since $m=\left\lceil n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right\rceil$, (10) yields for $n$ large enough

$$
z_{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{c}{50} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{100}
$$

Thus, conditions (5) and (6) are satisfied. To complete the proof of Proposition 3.3 we need to show that conditions (7) and (8) are satisfied as well.

For a given $L \in[V]^{4}$ the number of $\hat{L}$ 's such that $\hat{L} \in[V]^{4}$ and $|\hat{L} \cap L| \geq 3$ is $\binom{4}{3}(n-4)<4 n$, and the number of $M$ 's such that $M \in[V]^{m}$ and $|L \cap M| \geq 3$ is trivially less than $\binom{n}{m} \leq\left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)^{m}$, where e denotes the base of the natural logarithmic function. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{|\hat{L} \cap L| \geq 3} y_{\hat{L}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{\hat{L}}\right)+\sum_{|M \cap L| \geq 3} z_{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right) \\
& \quad \leq 4 n\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{6 c^{2}}{n^{2}}+\left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)^{m} \exp \left(\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{c}{50} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{24 c^{2}}{n}+\exp \left(m\left(-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{2}}{n}+\ln \left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $m=\left\lceil n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right\rceil$, then for sufficiently large $n$ we have $-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{2}}{n}+\ln \left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right) \leq-1$. Hence, the second term of (11) can be estimated by

$$
\exp \left(m\left(-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{2}}{n}+\ln \left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)\right)\right) \leq \exp (-m) \leq \exp (-\sqrt{n})
$$
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which yields in (11) for sufficiently large $n$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{24 c^{2}}{n}+\exp \left(m\left(-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{2}}{n}+\ln \left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{24 c^{2}}{n}+\exp (-\sqrt{n})=\frac{99}{100} \frac{2400 c^{2}}{99}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n}+\exp (-\sqrt{n}) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

One can check that for $a<1$ and $x$ positive and sufficiently small number $a(1+x) x<\ln (1+x)$. Applying this inequality with $a=\frac{2400 c^{2}}{99}<1$ (recall $c=\frac{1}{102}$ ) yields that the right-hand side of (12) can be bounded from above (for $n$ large enough) by $\frac{99}{100} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\sum_{|\hat{L} \cap L| \geq 3} y_{\hat{L}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{\hat{L}}\right)+\sum_{|M \cap L| \geq 3} z_{M} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{M}\right) \leq(11) \leq(12) \leq \frac{99}{100} \ln \left(y_{L}\right)
$$

which proves (7).
Similarly, we show that (8) also holds. For a given $M \in[V]^{m}$, the number of $L$ 's such that $L \in[V]^{4}$ and $|L \cap M| \geq 3$ is at most $\binom{m}{3}(n-3) \leq \frac{m^{3} n}{6}$. Again the number of $\hat{M}$ 's such that $\hat{M} \in[V]^{m}$ and $|M \cap \hat{M}| \geq 3$ is trivially less than $\binom{n}{m} \leq\left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)^{m}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{|L \cap M| \geq 3} y_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right)+\sum_{|\hat{M} \cap M| \geq 3} z_{\hat{M}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{\hat{M}}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{m^{3} n}{6}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{6 c^{2}}{n^{2}}+\left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)^{m} \exp \left(\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{c}{50} \frac{m^{3}}{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{c^{2} m^{3}}{n}+\exp \left(m\left(-\frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{2}}{n}+\ln \left(\frac{n \mathrm{e}}{m}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{c^{2} m^{3}}{n}+\exp (-\sqrt{n}) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $c<\frac{99}{10000}\left(\right.$ recall $\left.c=\frac{1}{102}\right)$, then for $n$ large enough $\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) c<\frac{99}{10000}$ as well. Consequently,

$$
\sum_{|L \cap M| \geq 3} y_{L} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{L}\right)+\sum_{|\hat{M} \cap M| \geq 3} z_{\hat{M}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{\hat{M}}\right) \stackrel{(13)}{\leq} \frac{99}{100} \frac{c}{100} \frac{m^{3}}{n}=\frac{99}{100} \ln \left(z_{M}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.
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Proof of Theorem 1.3 (lower bound). We show that for sufficiently large $n$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-o(1)) \log _{2} \log _{2} n \leq \omega(n, 3,2) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c$, $\varepsilon$ (with $\frac{1}{2}>\varepsilon>0$ ), and $n_{0}$ be from Proposition 3.3. Let $c_{1}$ be a positive constant such that $\frac{c}{2 n}\binom{m}{3} \geq c_{1} \frac{m^{3}}{n}$, for $n \geq n_{0}$ and $n \geq m \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$. Proposition 3.3 guarantees the existence of a $\operatorname{PSTS} \mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$ with $|V|=n$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right|>\frac{c}{2 n}\binom{m}{3} \geq c_{1} \frac{m^{3}}{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $M \subseteq V$ and $|M|=m \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ (since $c=\frac{1}{102}$ works in Proposition 3.3, $c_{1}=\frac{1}{1250}$ satisfies (15)). Let $\mathrm{S}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow[V]^{2}$ be a selector on $\mathscr{G}$. Then, for any $M \subseteq V$ with $m \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ the number of edges $S(\mathscr{E})$ induced on the set $M$ is at least $\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right|$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}\right| \geq\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right| \geq c_{1} \frac{m^{3}}{n} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We construct a clique of size $\log _{2} \log _{2} n-O(1)$. Set $M_{1}=V$. Since $\left|M_{1}\right|=$ $n \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, (16) yields that

$$
\left|\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap\left[M_{1}\right]^{2}\right| \geq c_{1} \frac{n^{3}}{n}=c_{1} n^{2}
$$

Consequently, there must be an element $a_{1} \in M_{1}$ and a set $M_{2} \subseteq M_{1}$ with $\left|M_{2}\right| \geq \frac{2 c_{1} n^{2}}{n}=2 c_{1} n$ such that $\left\{a_{1}, x\right\} \in \mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E})$ for any $x \in M_{2}$.

Set $c_{2}=2 c_{1}$. Then, $\left|M_{2}\right| \geq c_{2} n$. If $c_{2} n \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, then (16) infers that

$$
\left|S(\mathscr{E}) \cap\left[M_{2}\right]^{2}\right| \geq c_{1} \frac{\left(c_{2} n\right)^{3}}{n}=c_{1} c_{2}^{3} n^{2}
$$

Thus, there must be an element $a_{2} \in M_{2}$ and a set $M_{3} \subseteq M_{2}$ with $\left|M_{3}\right| \geq$ $\frac{2 c_{1} c_{2}^{3} n^{2}}{c_{2} n}=2 c_{1} c_{2}^{2} n$ such that $\left\{a_{2}, x\right\} \in \mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E})$ for any $x \in M_{3}$.

In general, set $c_{i+1}=2 c_{1} c_{i}^{2}$, which leads to $c_{i+1}=\left(2 c_{1}\right)^{2^{i}-1}=\left(\frac{1}{625}\right)^{2^{i}-1}$. We can carry on with this construction as long as $c_{i} n>n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$. If $i_{0}$ is the largest such $i$, then $c_{i_{0}} n=\Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right)$ or equivalently $625^{\left(2^{i_{0}}-1\right)}=\Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\right)$, which yields $i_{0} \geq \log _{2} \log _{2} n-O(1)$.

## CLIQUES IN STEINER SYSTEMS

## 4. Concluding remarks

Our main tool to find the lower bound on $\omega(n, 3,2)$ was Proposition 3.3. In particular, for a given PSTS $\mathscr{G}=(V, \mathscr{E})$, a selector S , and a set $M \subseteq V$, $|M|>n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, we concluded in (16) that the number of edges $\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E})$ induced on the set $M$ is at least $\left|\mathscr{E} \cap[M]^{3}\right|$. However, it looks very likely that in general this number, i.e., $\left|\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}\right|$, is much bigger. In fact, there are many edges in $\mathrm{S}(\mathscr{E}) \cap[M]^{2}$, which are contained in triples that do not lie entirely in $M$. We conjecture that the right magnitude of $\omega(n, 3,2)$ is $\log _{2}(n)$.

Conjecture 4.1. There exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
c \log _{2}(n) \leq \omega(n, 3,2)
$$

We believe that our proof of Theorem 1.3 can be modified to give similar bounds on $\omega(n, k, 2)$. The problem of estimating $\omega(n, k, l), l \geq 3$, seems to be however harder.
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