

2DM3 2018 — Midterm 2 Theorem List

Equivalence, Negation and Inequivalence

“Definition of \equiv ”: $(p \equiv q) = (p = q)$

(3.2) “Symmetry of \equiv ”: ?

(3.3) “Identity of \equiv ”: ?

(3.5) “Reflexivity of \equiv ”: $p \equiv p$

(3.9) “Commutativity of \neg with \equiv ” “Distributivity of \neg over \equiv ”: ?

(3.11) “ \neg connection”: $\neg p \equiv q \equiv p \equiv \neg q$

(3.14): $(p \neq q) \equiv (\neg p \equiv q)$

(3.15): $\neg p \equiv (p \equiv \text{false})$

Disjunction and Conjunction

(3.32): ?

(3.35) “Golden rule”: ?

(3.48): ?

(3.49) “Semi-distributivity of \wedge over \equiv ”: ?

(3.50) “Strong Modus Ponens”: ?

(3.51) “Replacement”: $(p \equiv q) \wedge (r \equiv p) \equiv (p \equiv q) \wedge (r \equiv q)$

(3.52) “Alternative definition of \equiv ”: ? $q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$

(3.53) “Exclusive or” “Alternative definition of \neq ”: ?

Implication

(3.57) “Definition of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.58) “Definition of \Leftarrow ” “Consequence”: ?

(3.59) “Definition of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.60) “Definition of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.61) “Contrapositive”: ?

(3.62): $p \Rightarrow (q \equiv r) \equiv (p \wedge q \equiv p \wedge r)$

(3.63) “Distributivity of \Rightarrow over \equiv ”: ?

(3.64) “Self-distributivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.65) “Shunting”: ?

(3.66): $p \wedge (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge q$

(3.67): $p \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv p$

(3.68): $p \vee (p \Rightarrow q) \equiv \text{true}$

(3.69): $p \vee (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv q \Rightarrow p$

(3.70): $p \vee q \Rightarrow p \wedge q \equiv (p \equiv q)$

(3.71) “Reflexivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.72) “Right-zero of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.73) “Left-identity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

“Definition of \neg ” (3.74): ?

(3.75) “ex falso quodlibet”: ?

(3.76a) “Weakening”: ?

(3.76a) “Weakening”: ?

(3.76b) “Weakening”: ?

(3.76c) “Weakening”: ?

(3.76d) “Weakening”: ?

(3.76e) “Weakening”: ?

“Reflexivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.77) “Modus ponens”: ?

(3.78) “Case analysis”: ?

(3.79) “Case analysis”: ?

(3.80) “Mutual implication”: ?

(3.81) “Antisymmetry of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.82a) “Transitivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.82b) “Transitivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

(3.82c) “Transitivity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

“Implication strengthening”: $p \Rightarrow q \equiv p \Rightarrow p \wedge q$

Leibniz as Axiom and Substitution/Replacement Laws

(3.83) “Leibniz”: $e = f \Rightarrow E[z := e] = E[z := f]$

(3.84a) “Replacement”: $e = f \wedge E[z := e] \equiv e = f \wedge E[z := f]$

(3.84b) “Replacement”: $e = f \Rightarrow E[z := e] \equiv e = f \Rightarrow E[z := f]$

(3.84c) “Replacement”: $q \wedge e = f \Rightarrow E[z := e] \equiv q \wedge e = f \Rightarrow E[z := f]$

“Transitivity of $=$ ”: $e = f \wedge f = g \Rightarrow e = g$

(3.85a) “Replace by ‘true’”: $p \Rightarrow E[z := p] \equiv p \Rightarrow E[z := \text{true}]$

(3.85b) “Replace by ‘true’”: $q \wedge p \Rightarrow E[z := p] \equiv q \wedge p \Rightarrow E[z := \text{true}]$

(3.85c) “Replace by ‘false’”: $\neg p \Rightarrow E[z := p] \equiv \neg p \Rightarrow E[z := \text{false}]$

(3.85e) “Replace by ‘true’”: $p \Rightarrow E[z := p] = E[z := \text{true}]$

(3.86a) “Replace by ‘false’”: $E[z := p] \Rightarrow p \equiv E[z := \text{false}] \Rightarrow p$

(3.86b) “Replace by ‘false’”: $E[z := p] \Rightarrow p \vee q \equiv E[z := \text{false}] \Rightarrow p \vee q$

(3.87) “Replace by ‘true’”: $p \wedge E[z := p] \equiv p \wedge E[z := \text{true}]$

(3.88) “Replace by ‘false’”: $p \vee E[z := p] \equiv p \vee E[z := \text{false}]$

Monotonicity with Respect to Implication

(4.2) “Left-monotonicity of \vee ” “Monotonicity of \vee ”: ?

“Monotonicity of \vee ”: $(p \Rightarrow q) \Rightarrow ((r \Rightarrow s) \Rightarrow (p \vee r \Rightarrow q \vee s))$

(4.3) “Left-monotonicity of \wedge ” “Monotonicity of \wedge ”: ?

“Monotonicity of \wedge ”: $(p \Rightarrow p') \Rightarrow ((q \Rightarrow q') \Rightarrow (p \wedge q \Rightarrow p' \wedge q'))$

“Antitonicity of \neg ”: ?

“Monotonicity of \Rightarrow ” “Right-monotonicity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

“Antitonicity of \Rightarrow ” “Left-antitonicity of \Rightarrow ”: ?

Sum Quantification: General Quantifier Material Instantiated for Sum

“Leibniz for \sum range”: $(\forall x \bullet R_1 \equiv R_2) \Rightarrow (\sum x | R_1 \bullet E) = (\sum x | R_2 \bullet E)$

“Leibniz for \sum body”: $(\forall x \bullet R \Rightarrow E_1 = E_2) \Rightarrow (\sum x | R \bullet E_1) = (\sum x | R \bullet E_2)$

(8.13) “Empty range for \sum ”: $(\sum x | \text{false} \bullet E) = 0$

(8.14) “One-point rule for \sum ”: $(\sum x | x = D \bullet E) = E[x := D]$ — provided: $\neg \text{occurs}(x, D)$

(8.15) “Distributivity of \sum over $+$ ”: $(\sum x | R \bullet E_1 + E_2) = (\sum x | R \bullet E_1) + (\sum x | R \bullet E_2)$

(8.17) “Range split”: $(\sum x | Q \vee R \bullet E) + (\sum x | Q \wedge R \bullet E) = (\sum x | Q \bullet E) + (\sum x | R \bullet E)$

(8.16) “Disjoint range split for \sum ”: $(\forall x \bullet Q \wedge R \equiv \text{false}) \Rightarrow (\sum x | Q \vee R \bullet E) = (\sum x | Q \bullet E) + (\sum x | R \bullet E)$

(8.20) “Nesting for \sum ”: $(\sum x | Q \bullet (\sum y | R \bullet E)) = (\sum x, y | Q \wedge R \bullet E)$

— provided: $\neg \text{occurs}(y, Q)$

“Replacement in \sum ”: $(\sum x | R \wedge e = f \bullet E[y := e]) = (\sum x | R \wedge e = f \bullet E[y := f])$

“Dummy list permutation for \sum ”: $(\sum x, y | R \bullet E) = (\sum y, x | R \bullet E)$

(8.19) “Interchange of dummies”: $(\sum x | Q \bullet (\sum y | R \bullet P)) = (\sum y | R \bullet (\sum x | Q \bullet P))$

— provided: $\neg \text{occurs}(x, R), \neg \text{occurs}(y, Q)$

(8.21) “Dummy renaming for \sum ” “ α -conversion”: $(\sum x | R \bullet E) = (\sum y | R[x := y] \bullet E[x := y])$

— provided: $\neg \text{occurs}(y, E, R)$

Specific Material for Sum Quantification

“Distributivity of \cdot over Σ ”: $a \cdot (\Sigma x | R \bullet E) = (\Sigma x | R \bullet a \cdot E)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'a')$
 “Zero Σ body”: $(\Sigma x | R \bullet 0) = 0$

Manipulating Ranges over \mathbb{N}

“Definition of \leq in terms of $<$ ”: $a \leq b \equiv a < b \vee a = b$
 “Definition of \leq in terms of ‘S’ and $<$ ”: $a \leq b \equiv a < S b$
 “Split range at top”: $m \leq n \Rightarrow (m \leq i < S n \equiv m \leq i < n \vee i = n)$
 “Split off term” “Split off term at top”: $(\Sigma i : \mathbb{N} | i < S n \bullet E) = (\Sigma i : \mathbb{N} | i < n \bullet E) + E[i := n]$ — provided: $\neg occurs('i', 'n')$
 “Split off term” “Split off term at top”: $m \leq n \Rightarrow (\Sigma i | m \leq i < S n \bullet E) = (\Sigma i | m \leq i < n \bullet E) + E[i := n]$ — provided: $\neg occurs('i', 'm, 'n')$
 “Split off term at top using \leq ”: $(\Sigma i | i \leq S n \bullet E) = (\Sigma i | i \leq n \bullet E) + E[i := S n]$ — provided: $\neg occurs('i', 'n')$

Universal Quantification

“Leibniz for \forall body”: $(\forall x | R \bullet P_1 \equiv P_2) \Rightarrow ((\forall x | R \bullet P_1) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P_2))$
 (8.18) “Range split for \forall ”: $(\forall x | R \vee S \bullet P) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P) \wedge (\forall x | S \bullet P)$
 (9.5) “Distributivity of \vee over \forall ”: $P \vee (\forall x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P \vee Q)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.6): $P \vee (\forall x \bullet \neg R) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 “Distributivity of \Rightarrow over \forall ”: $P \Rightarrow (\forall x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P \Rightarrow Q)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.7) “Distributivity of \wedge over \forall ”: $\neg (\forall x \bullet \neg R) \Rightarrow (P \wedge (\forall x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P \wedge Q))$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.8) “True \forall body”: $(\forall x | R \bullet true)$
 “Introducing fresh \forall ”: $P \Rightarrow (\forall x | R \bullet P)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.9) “Sub-distributivity of \forall over \equiv ”: $(\forall x | R \bullet P \equiv Q) \Rightarrow ((\forall x | R \bullet P) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet Q))$
 (9.10) “Range weakening for \forall ”: $(\forall x | Q \vee R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\forall x | Q \bullet P)$
 (9.11) “Body weakening for \forall ”: $(\forall x | R \bullet P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x | R \bullet P)$
 (9.12) “Body monotonicity of \forall ”: $(\forall x | R \bullet Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\forall x | R \bullet Q) \Rightarrow (\forall x | R \bullet P))$
 (9.12a) “Range antitonicity of \forall ”: $(\forall x \bullet Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((\forall x | R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\forall x | Q \bullet P))$
 (9.12a) “Range antitonicity of \forall ”: $(\forall x | \neg P \bullet Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((\forall x | R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\forall x | Q \bullet P))$
 (9.13) “Instantiation”: $?$
 “Fresh \forall ”: $P \equiv (\forall x \bullet P)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$

Existential Quantification

(9.21) “Distributivity of \wedge over \exists ”: $P \wedge (\exists x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\exists x | R \bullet P \wedge Q)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.22): $P \wedge (\exists x \bullet R) \equiv (\exists x | R \bullet P)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 “Distributivity of \wedge over \forall ”: $(\exists x \bullet R) \Rightarrow (P \wedge (\forall x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\forall x | R \bullet P \wedge Q))$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.23) “Distributivity of \vee over \exists ”: $(\exists x \bullet R) \Rightarrow (P \vee (\exists x | R \bullet Q) \equiv (\exists x | R \bullet P \vee Q))$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'P')$
 (9.24) “False \exists body”: $(\exists x | R \bullet false) \equiv false$
 (9.25) “Range weakening for \exists ”: $(\exists x | R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\exists x | Q \vee R \bullet P)$
 “Range weakening for \exists ”: $(\exists x | Q \wedge R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P)$
 (9.26) “Body weakening for \exists ”: $(\exists x | R \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P \vee Q)$
 (9.26a) “Body weakening for \exists ”: $(\exists x | R \bullet P \wedge Q) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P)$

(9.27) “Body monotonicity of \exists ”: $(\forall x | R \bullet Q \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow ((\exists x | R \bullet Q) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P))$
 “Range monotonicity of \exists ”: $(\forall x \bullet Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((\exists x | Q \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P))$
 “Range monotonicity of \exists ”: $(\forall x | P \bullet Q \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow ((\exists x | Q \bullet P) \Rightarrow (\exists x | R \bullet P))$

Introduction and Interchange for \exists

(9.28) “ \exists -Introduction”: $?$
 (9.29a) “Interchange of quantifications”: $(\exists x \bullet (\forall y \bullet P)) \Rightarrow (\forall y \bullet (\exists x \bullet P))$
 (9.30a) “Witness”: $(\exists x | R \bullet P) \Rightarrow Q \equiv (\forall x \bullet R \wedge P \Rightarrow Q)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'Q')$
 (9.30b) “Witness”: $(\exists x \bullet P) \Rightarrow Q \equiv (\forall x \bullet P \Rightarrow Q)$ — provided: $\neg occurs('x', 'Q')$

Set Membership Properties

(11.3) “Set membership”: $?$
 (11.7s) “Simple Membership”: $?$
 (11.7x) “Simple Membership”: $?$
 (11.7 \forall) “Simple Membership”: $?$
 “Membership in two-element set enumeration”: $?$
 “Membership in set enumeration”: $?$

Set Extensionality and Set Inclusion

(11.4) “Set extensionality” “Set equality” “Extensionality”: $?$
 (11.9) “Simple set comprehension equality”: $?$
 (11.13) “Subset” “Definition of \subseteq ” “Set inclusion”: $?$
 “Subset” “Definition of \subseteq ” “Set inclusion”: $?$
 “Subset membership” “Casting”: $?$
 (11.58) “Reflexivity of \subseteq ”: $?$
 “Reflexivity of \subseteq ”: $?$
 (11.59) “Transitivity of \subseteq ”: $?$
 “Flipped transitivity of \subseteq ”: $?$
 (11.57) “Antisymmetry of \subseteq ”: $?$
 “Empty set”: $\{\} = \{x | false\}$
 “Empty set”: $x \in \{\} \equiv false$
 “Empty set is least” “Bottom set”: $\{\} \subseteq X$
 “Universal set”: $U = \{x | true\}$
 “Universal set”: $x \in U$
 “Universal set is greatest” “Top set”: $X \subseteq U$
 (11.56) “Simple set comprehension inclusion”: $\{x | P\} \subseteq \{x | Q\} \equiv (\forall x \bullet P \Rightarrow Q)$

Singleton Sets, Set Complement, Set Union and Intersection

“Singleton set membership”: $?$
 “Singleton set inclusion”: $?$
 “Complement”: $?$
 (11.19) “Self-inverse of complement”: $?$
 “Lower \sim connection for \subseteq ”: $\sim X \subseteq Y \equiv \sim Y \subseteq X$
 “Upper \sim connection for \subseteq ”: $X \subseteq \sim Y \equiv Y \subseteq \sim X$
 “Union”: $?$
 “Intersection”: $?$
 “Golden rule for \cap and \cup ”: $?$
 “Set inclusion via \cap ”: $?$
 “Set inclusion via \cup ”: $?$

Proper Subset

- (11.14) "Proper subset" "Definition of \subset ": ?
- (11.61): $S \subset T \equiv S \subseteq T \wedge \neg (T \subseteq S)$
- (11.61): $S \subset T \equiv S \subseteq T \wedge \neg (T \subseteq S)$
- (11.63) "Inclusion in terms of \subset ": ?
- (11.70) "Transitivity of \subseteq with \subset ": ?
- (11.70) "Transitivity of \subseteq with \subset ": ?

Set Difference and Relative Pseudo-complement

- (11.22) "Set difference": ?
- (11.52): $S \cap (T - S) = \{\}$
- (11.54): $S - (T \cup U) = (S - T) \cap (S - U)$
- "Characterisation of \Rightarrow ": $S \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \equiv S \cap A \subseteq B$
- "Membership in \Rightarrow ": $x \in A \Rightarrow B \equiv x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$
- "Definition of \Rightarrow ": $A \Rightarrow B = \sim A \cup B$
- "Pseudocomplement of union": $(A \cup B) \Rightarrow C = (A \Rightarrow C) \cap (B \Rightarrow C)$
- "Monotonicity of \Rightarrow ": $B \subseteq C \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B \subseteq A \Rightarrow C$

Relations via Set Theory

- "Definition of \leftrightarrow ": ?

- "Infix relationship" "Definition of ' $_ _$ '": ?
- "Relation extensionality": ?
- "Relation inclusion": ?
- "Relation inclusion": ?
- "Relation inclusion": ?

Set Operations used as Relation Operations

- "Relation union": ?
- "Relation intersection": ?
- "Relation difference": ?
- "Relation pseudocomplement": $a \{ R \Rightarrow S \} b \equiv a \{ R \} b \Rightarrow a \{ S \} b$
- "Relation complement": ?
- "Empty relation": ?
- "Universal relation": $(\forall A : \text{Type} \bullet (\forall B : \text{Type} \bullet a \{ A \times B \} b))$
- "Singleton relation": ?
- "Singleton relation inclusion": ?

Relation-specific Operations

- "Relation converse" "Relationship via \sim ": ?
- "Relation composition": ?
- "Identity relation" "Relationship via 'Id'": ?

CALC_{CHECK} Structured Proofs

Simple Induction

```
By induction on `var : Ty`:
  Base case:
  ?
  Induction step:
  ?
  ... Induction hypothesis ...
  ?
```

Making base case, induction step, and induction hypothesis explicit:

```
By induction on `var : Ty`:
  Base case `?`:
  ?
  Induction step `?`:
  ?
  ... Induction hypothesis `?` ...
  ?
```

(Remember that in nested inductions, induction hypotheses always need to be made explicit!)

These can also be used for proving theorems of shape $\forall \text{var} : \text{Ty} \bullet P$

by induction on precisely that universally-quantified variable, that is, "on $\text{var} : \text{Ty}$ ".

The induction hypothesis is then P .

Example for sequences:

```
Theorem:  $\forall xs : \text{Seq } A \bullet P$ 
Proof:
  By induction on `xs : Seq A`:
    Base case `P[xs = []]`:
    ?
    Induction step ` $\forall x : A \bullet P[xs = x \ll xs]$ `:
      For any `x`:
      ?
```

Assuming the Antecedent

```
Assuming `p`, `q`:
  ?
  ... Assumption `p` ...
  ?
```

```
Assuming `p` and using with ....:
  ?
  ... Assumption `p` ...
  ?
```

Case Analysis

```
By cases: `p`, `q`, `r`
Completeness:
  ?
Case `p`:
  ?
  ... Assumption `p` ...
  ?
  ...
```

Subproofs

```
?
 $\equiv$  { Subproof for `...`:
   $\ll$  proof indented as far as needed
  to avoid parse error!  $\gg$ 
}
```

Nested subproofs currently may need to be indented even further than first-level subproofs!

Proving Universal Quantifications

```
For any `var : Ty`:  
?
```

```
For any `var : Ty` satisfying `p`:  
?  
... Assumption `p` ...  
?
```

Theorems Used as Proof Methods (Examples)

```
Using "Mutual implication":  
Subproof for `... => ...`:  
?  
Subproof for `... => ...`:  
?
```

```
Using "Extensionality":  
Subproof for `∀ x • ...`:  
For any `x`:  
?
```

Side Proofs

```
Side proof for `P`:  
?  
Continuing with goal `?`:  
?  
... local property `P` ...  
?
```

Disabling Hints Producing Time-outs

Add "? , " at the beginning of the hint:

```
≡( ?, "Golden rule" )
```

Selected `CALCCHECKWeb` Key Bindings

(See [Getting Started with `CALCCHECKWeb`](#) for the complete listing.)

The following key bindings work the same in **both edit and command modes**:

`Ctrl-Enter` performs a syntax check on the contents of all code cells before and up to the current cell.

`Ctrl-Alt-Enter` performs proof checks (if enabled) on the contents of all code cells before and up to the current cell.

`Shift-Alt-RightArrow` enlarges the width of the current code cell entry area by a small amount

`Ctrl-Shift-Alt-RightArrow` enlarges the width of the current code cell entry area by a large amount

`Shift-Alt-LeftArrow` reduces the width of the current code cell entry area by a small amount

`Ctrl-Shift-Alt-LeftArrow` reduces the width of the current code cell entry area by a large amount

`Ctrl-Shift-v` (for visible spaces) toggles display of initial spaces on each line as "␣" characters.

ONLY if you are logged in via Avenue:

`Ctrl-s` saves the notebook on the server.

(Links for reloading the last three saved versions are displayed when you the notebook again later.)

In **edit mode**, you have the following **key bindings**:

`Esc` enters command mode

`Alt-SPACE` *or* `Alt-i` inserts one space in the current line and in all non-empty lines below it, until a line is encountered that is not indented more than to the cursor position.

`Alt-BACKSPACE` deletes **only a space character** to the left of the current cursor position, and also from lines below it, until a line is encountered that is not indented at least to the cursor position.

`Alt-DELETE` deletes **only a space character** to the right of the current cursor position, and also from lines below it, until a line is encountered that is not indented more than to the cursor position.

The last three bindings also work with the Shift key pressed.

Some important symbols:

Symbol	Key sequence(s)
\Rightarrow	<code>\implies, \=></code>
\Leftarrow	<code>\follows</code>
\neq	<code>\nequiv</code>
\neq	<code>\neq</code>
\forall	<code>\forall</code>
\exists	<code>\exists</code>
Σ	<code>\sum</code>
\prod	<code>\product</code>
$ $	<code>\with</code>
\bullet	<code>\spot</code>
\downarrow	<code>\min</code>
\uparrow	<code>\max</code>
\mathbb{B}	<code>\BB, \bool</code>
\mathbb{N}	<code>\NN, \nat</code>
\mathbb{Z}	<code>\ZZ, \int</code>
\in	<code>\in</code>
\mathbb{P}	<code>\PP, \powerset</code>
\cup	<code>\union</code>
\cap	<code>\intersection</code>
\Rightarrow	<code>\pseudocompl</code>
\subseteq	<code>\subteq</code>
\subset	<code>\subset</code>
\mathbb{U}	<code>\universe</code>
\times	<code>\times</code>
\leftrightarrow	<code>\rel</code>
\langle	<code>\lrel, \langle, \langle[</code>
\rangle	<code>\rrel, \rangle, \rangle]</code>
\circ	<code>\rcomp, \fcomp, \;</code>
\sim	<code>\converse, \u{}</code>
\diagup	<code>\lres</code>
\diagdown	<code>\rres</code>
ϵ	<code>\eps, \emptyseq</code>
\triangleleft	<code>\cons</code>
\triangleright	<code>\snoc</code>
\sim	<code>\catenate</code>