# **Design and Selection of Programming Languages**

 $3 \mathrm{rd}$  October 2002

## Problem 22

Review lessons 1–11 from the "Two Dozen Short Lessons in Haskell" by Rex Page — make sure you can handle all review questions and that you can confidently produce correct Haskell scripts and interact with Hugs.

Then work through lessons 12–14 and 21–22.

## Problem 23 (Cardinalities)

Assuming that the sets U, V, and W are finite with |U| = u and |V| = v and |W| = w, compute the cardinalities of the following sets  $(U \to V \text{ denotes the set of all total functions from } U \text{ to } V)$ :

a)  $U \to (V \times W)$  b)  $(U \times V) \to W$  c)  $U \to (V \to W)$  d)  $(U \to V) \to W$ 

## Problem 24 (List Comprehension)

Using list comprehension define makeMatrix :: (a -> b -> c) -> [a] -> [b] -> [[c]] as a Haskell function for which the following holds (the Matrix has to be considered as a list of lists):

makeMatrix f 
$$[x_1, ..., x_m]$$
  $[y_1, ..., y_n] = \begin{bmatrix} (f x_1 y_1), ..., (f x_1 y_n) \\ . & . \\ . & . \\ . & . \\ [(f x_m y_1), ..., (f x_m y_n)] \end{bmatrix}$ 

Think of interesting applications of this function and bring examples into the tutorial!

#### Problem 25 (Lambda-Calculus)

a) Reduce the following  $\lambda$ -terms to normal form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\lambda \ x \ . \ y \ ((\lambda \ z \ . \ (z \ x) \ x) \ (u \ x) \ (u \ w))) & (\lambda \ z \ . \ (z \ x) \ x) \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ u \ x) \\ (\lambda \ z \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ (z \ x) \ x)) \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ u \ x) & (\lambda \ z \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ (z \ x) \ x)) \ (u \ x) \end{array}$$

- b) Perform four  $\beta$ -reductions on:  $(\lambda x . (x x) x) (\lambda x . (x x) x)$
- c) Let M and N be arbitrary  $\lambda$ -terms. Reduce  $(\lambda x \cdot M x) N$ , considering the different cases with respect to variable occurrence.

d) Remember: In Haskell we write "\ x -> e" for "(λ x.e)".
Evaluate "(\ f -> 5 + f 3) (\ x -> 2 \* x)" in Hugs and understand the result!
What are the types of "(\ f -> 5 + f 3)" and "(\ x -> 2 \* x)"?

e) Reduce the following  $\lambda\text{-terms}$  to normal form:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\lambda \ f \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ f \ (f \ x))) \ (\lambda \ f \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ f \ (f \ x)))) \\ (\lambda \ g \ . \ (\lambda \ h \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ g \ f \ (h \ f \ x))))) \ (\lambda \ f \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ f \ (f \ x))) \ (\lambda \ f \ . \ (\lambda \ x \ . \ f \ (f \ x)))) \end{array}$$