Design and Selection of Programming Languages

11 September 2005

Exercise 1.1 — Lexing

The latest ISO standard for the C programming language, namely ISO/IEC 9899 with Technical Corrigenda 1 and 2, is available on-line at the following URL:

http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/docs/n1124.pdf

- (a) Read the sections "5.1.1.2 Translation phases" and "6.4 Lexical elements", and point out potential portability problems allowed by the standard. Note that in particular most of the "Semantics" subsections are not relevant for basic lexing.
- (b) Write a separate flex lexer implementing translation of trigraphs and line splicing as described in translation phases 1 and 2 in section 5.1.1.2.
- (c) Adapt the toy lexer from the lecture (available on the course page) to C identifiers not containing universal character names.
- (d) Add universal character names for at least one language of your choice.
- (e) Further adapt the lexer to recognise C integer constants.
- (f) Further adapt the lexer to recognise C character constants.
- (g) Further adapt the lexer to recognise C comments. (Hint: Use "start conditions" in the lexer.)

Exercise 1.2 — Expression Representation in Java

The following expression class definitions are available on the course page as Expression1.java:

```
class Operator {
    private char _op;
    public Operator (char c) { _op = c; }
}
abstract class Expression {} // Expression = Value + Variable + Binary
class Value extends Expression { // Value = int
    int intValue;
}
class Variable extends Expression { // Variable = String
    String name;
}
class Binary extends Expression { // Binary = Expression × Operator × Expression
    Operator op;
    Expression term1, term2;
}
```

- (a) Add a *toString* method to these classes such that *e.toString*() produces an infix representation of any *Expression e* with **at least** the necessary parentheses for being able to apply higher-priority operators like * to argument expressions constructed from lower-priority operators like +.
- (b) Make the fields private and add constructors that ensure that only expressions with reasonable string representation can be constructed.

Document your definition of "reasonable string representation" in each case!

- (c) The graphviz tool suite from AT&T includes, among others, the graph layout tools
 - dot for layout of directed, typically acyclic graphs the main principle of the algorithm is to arrange nodes into "levels", and then reduce edge crossings, and
 - neato for layout of undirected graphs using a "spring embedding" algorithm that understands nodes as electically charged and therefore repelling each other, and edges as springs of equal spring constants and lengths, and therefore produces always drawings with straight-line edges.

To the right is the the drawing that dot produces when invoked with

dot -Tps -o El.ps El.dot

on the following input file corresponding to the expression (2 + k) * (3 - m):

```
digraph MT1a {
  node [fontsize="30"];
  edge [labeldistance="1",fontsize="30"];
  "+" [shape="circle"];
  "*" [shape="circle"];
  "-" [shape="circle"];
  "2" [shape="box"];
  "3" [shape="box"];
  "k" [shape="triangle"];
  "m" [shape="triangle"];
  "*" -> "+";
  "*" -> "-";
  "+" -> "k";
  "+" -> "2";
  "-" -> "3";
  "-" -> "m";
}
```



Extend the expression class with a writeDotGraph method that produces such a dot file.

Note: What should the interface of this method be? How do you distribute its task among the sub-classes?

Document and justify your design decisions! Test your output with dot!

Advanced: Add a method *showDotGraph* that writes the dot file, invokes dot on it, and invokes a program to display the output of dot (if necessary in a different format).