

Software Optimizations

Ned Nedialkov

McMaster University
Canada

SE 3F03
March 2013

Outline

Data locality

Common subexpressions

Strength reduction

Loop invariant motion

Loop unrolling

Data locality

Assume

- ▶ n particles with coordinates (x, y, z)
- ▶ We can store them (in C) as
double `a[3][n];`
or
double `a[n][3];`
- ▶ In C arrays are stored row-wise
- ▶ Which is one should we choose?

- ▶ **double** `a[3][n];`
- ▶ Coordinates are stored as

$$\begin{array}{lll} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \cdots \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \cdots \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \cdots \end{array}$$

- ▶ The layout in memory is

$$x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ \cdots \ y_1 \ y_2 \ y_3 \ \cdots \ z_1 \ z_2 \ z_3 \ \cdots$$

- ▶ Accessing (x_i, y_i, z_i) is likely to result in cache misses

- ▶ **double** a[n][3];
- ▶ Coordinates are stored as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

- ▶ The layout in memory is

$$x_1 \quad y_1 \quad z_1 \quad x_2 \quad y_2 \quad z_2 \quad x_3 \quad y_3 \quad z_3 \cdots$$

When accessing (x_i, y_i, z_i) , in most cases it will be in cache

Common subexpressions

- ▶ Assume

```
double a, b, c, s1, s2;
```

- ▶ Consider

```
s1 = a+b+c;
```

```
s2 = a+b-c;
```

- ▶ A compiler may re-arrange to

```
t = a+b; //temporary
```

```
s1 = t+c;
```

```
s2 = t-c;
```

- ▶ Try to eliminate common subexpressions

- ▶ Consider

$s1 = a+c+b;$

$s2 = a+b-c;$

- ▶ In FP arithmetic $(a+c)+b$ is not always $(a+b)+c$
- ▶ A compiler would evaluate from left to right
 $a+b+c$ is evaluated as $(a+b)+c$
- ▶ Put brackets if needed, to help the compiler

▶ Consider

```
r = x[i]*x[i];
```

```
s = x[i]-1;
```

▶ As written, 3 memory accesses to $x[i]$

▶ An optimizing compiler would do

```
t = x[i];
```

```
r = t*t;
```

```
s = t-1;
```


Strength reduction

- ▶ Replacement of an arithmetic expression by another one that is faster
- ▶ Assume **int** i . Replace $2*i$ by $i+i$ or $i<<1$
- ▶ Replace $y = \text{pow}(x, 2)$ by
 $y = x*x;$
- ▶ Replace $y = \text{pow}(x, 4)$ by
 $y = x*x;$
 $y *= y;$

Loop invariant code motion

- ▶ Consider

```
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  
    a[i] = r*s*a[i];
```

- ▶ Move $r*s$ outside the loop

```
t = r*s  
for (i=0; i<n; i++)  
    a[i] = t*a[i];
```

Loop unrolling

- ▶ Consider computing a dot product

```
double dotproduct(int n, double *a, double *b)
{
    int i;
    double c = 0;
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
        c += a[i]*b[i];
}
```

- ▶ We can unroll the **for** loop 4 times as

```
double dotproduct(int n, double *a, double *b)
{
    int i, rem = n&0x3;
    double c = 0;
    for (i=0; i<n-rem; i+=4)
    {
        c += a[i]*b[i];
        c += a[i+1]*b[i+1];
        c += a[i+2]*b[i+2];
        c += a[i+3]*b[i+3];
    }
    for (i=n-rem; i<n; i++)
        c += a[i]*b[i];
}
```

- ▶ What are the advantages and disadvantages of loop unrolling?