

# Solving Linear Systems

Sanzheng Qiao

Department of Computing and Software  
McMaster University

January 2014

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gaussian Elimination Methods
  - Generic Method
  - Matrix Notations
  - Gaussian Elimination Without Pivoting
  - Pivoting
  - Efficiency and Portability
- 3 Solving Triangular Systems
- 4 Estimating Errors
- 5 A Special Case
- 6 Software Packages
- 7 Summary

# Introduction

Problem setting: Solve for  $x$  in the system of linear equations:

$$Ax = b$$

$A$ :  $n$ -by- $n$ , nonsingular;

$b$ :  $n$ -by-1.

Since  $A$  is nonsingular, this system has a unique solution for any right-hand-side vector  $b$ .

## Introduction (cont.)

In this part, we focus on the methods for solving  $Ax = b$  where  $A$  is a dense matrix, so that matrix  $A$  is stored in a two dimensional array.

When  $A$  is very large and sparse, it is often stored in a special data structure to avoid storing many zero entries. For example, a tridiagonal matrix is stored in three vectors (diagonals). There are methods for solving very large and sparse linear systems. They will be briefly discussed later.

## Text book method 1: Cramer's rule

Cramer's rule is a standard text book method for solving linear systems. The  $i$ th entry  $x_i$  of the solution  $x$  is given by

$$x_i = \det(A_i) / \det(A),$$

where  $A_i$  is the matrix formed by replacing the  $i$ th column of  $A$  by  $b$ .

Cramer's rule is of theoretical importance. It gives the solution in explicit form.

## Text book method 1: Cramer's rule (cont.)

The Cramer's rule is impractical. It may be useful for very small systems, such as  $n = 2$  or  $3$ .

Prohibitively inefficient. We need to compute  $n + 1$  determinants of order  $n$ , each of which is a sum of  $n!$  products and each product requires  $n - 1$  multiplications. Total of  $(n + 1) \cdot n! \cdot (n - 1)$  floating-point multiplications or additions.

### Question

How long does it take to solve a system of order 30 on a computer that can perform two billion floating-point addition or multiplication operations (flops) per second?

Answer:  $10^{32} / (2 \times 10^9) \approx 5 \times 10^{22}$  seconds!

## Method 2: Compute $A^{-1}$ , then $x = A^{-1}b$

Usually it is unnecessary and inefficient to compute  $A^{-1}$ , and the computed solution is inaccurate.

Example. Solve for  $x$  in  $7x = 21$  ( $n = 1$ )

In a floating-point system with  $\beta = 10$  and  $t = 4$ .

$1/7 = 1.429 \times 10^{-1}$ , then  $21 \times 0.1429 = 3.001$  (one division and one multiplication).

Whereas  $x = 21/7 = 3.000$  (one division).







# Matrix form

Use the multipliers to form an **elementary matrix**:

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ m_{31} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$M_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 & 6 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad M_1 b = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.1 \\ 2.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$







# Backward substitution

Stage 2. Backward substitution.

The upper triangular system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.1 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 155 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.1 \\ 155 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solve for the solution vector backwards:

$$x_3 = 155/155 = 1.000$$

$$x_2 = (6.1 - 6x_3)/(-0.1) = -1.000$$

$$x_1 = (7 - (-7)x_2 - 0x_3)/10 = 0$$

## Properties of elementary matrix

It is simple to invert an elementary matrix:

$$M_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ -m_{31} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -m_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is simple to multiply elementary matrices:

$$M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -m_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ -m_{31} & -m_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice the order.















# Example

```
for k=1 to n-1
    A(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k);
    A(k+1:n,k+1:n) = A(k+1:n,k+1:n)
                    - A(k+1:n,k)*A(k,k+1:n);
end
```

$k = 2$ , update submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -0.1 & 6 \\ 0.5 & -25 & 155 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example

```

for k=1 to n-1
    A(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k);
    A(k+1:n,k+1:n) = A(k+1:n,k+1:n)
                    - A(k+1:n,k)*A(k,k+1:n);
end

```

## Final A

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -0.1 & 6 \\ 0.5 & -25 & 155 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$L = \text{eye}(n, n) + \text{tril}(A, -1)$$

$$U = \text{triu}(A)$$

# Solving triangular systems

Solve  $Ly = b$ :

```
b(1) = b(1)/L(1,1);  
for k=2 to n  
    tmp = b(k) - L(k,1:k-1)*b(1:k-1);  
    b(k) = tmp/L(k,k);  
end
```

Initial

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -25 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Solving triangular systems

Solve  $Ly = b$ :

```
b(1) = b(1)/L(1,1);
for k=2 to n
    tmp = b(k) - L(k,1:k-1)*b(1:k-1);
    b(k) = tmp/L(k,k);
end
```

$$k = 2$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -25 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Solving triangular systems

Solve  $Ly = b$ :

```
b(1) = b(1)/L(1,1);
for k=2 to n
    tmp = b(k) - L(k,1:k-1)*b(1:k-1);
    b(k) = tmp/L(k,k);
end
```

 $k = 3$ 

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & -25 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.1 \\ 155 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve  $Ux = b$ : Similar (backward).

# Operation counts

LU decomposition:

```

for k=1 to n-1
    A(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k);
    A(k+1:n,k+1:n) = A(k+1:n,k+1:n)
                    - A(k+1:n,k)*A(k,k+1:n);
end

```

$$\int_1^{n-1} (n-k) + 2(n-k)^2 dk \approx \frac{2}{3}n^3.$$

# Operation counts

Solving triangular systems:

```
b(1) = b(1)/L(1,1);  
for k=2 to n  
    tmp = b(k) - L(k,1:k-1)*b(1:k-1);  
    b(k) = tmp/L(k,k);  
end
```

$$2 \int_2^n 2(k-1)dk \approx 2n^2.$$

# Operation counts

## Question

How long does it take to solve a system of order 30 on a computer that can perform two billion floating-point addition or multiplication operations (flops) per second?

Answer:  $(0.7 \times 30^3 + 2 \times 30^2)/(2 \times 10^9) \approx 10^{-5}$  seconds!

# What is pivoting?

Change the (2,2)-entry of  $A$  slightly from 2 to 2.099 and  $b_2$  in  $b$  accordingly so that the exact solution is unchanged.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 3.901 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exact solution:  $(0, -1, 1)^T$ .

# What is pivoting? (cont.)

Forward elimination ( $\beta = 10, p = 4$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.001 & 6 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.001 \\ 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

pivot: 10, multipliers: 0.3,  $-0.5$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.001 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.501 \times 10^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.001 \\ 1.500 \times 10^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

pivot:  $-0.001$ ; multiplier: 2500.

## What is pivoting? (cont.)

Backward substitution

$$x_3 = 1.500 \times 10^4 / 1.501 \times 10^4 = 9.993 \times 10^{-1}$$

$$x_2 = (6.001 - 6x_3) / (-0.001) = 5.0$$

What went wrong?

Step 1: multipliers  $m_{21} = 0.3$ ,  $m_{31} = -0.5$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.000 \times 10^1 & -7.000 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.000 \times 10^{-3} & 6.000 \\ 0 & +2.500 & 5.000 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 7.000 \\ 6.001 \\ 2.500 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exact, no rounding errors.

## What is pivoting? (cont.)

Step 2: multiplier  $m_{31} = 2.500E + 3$ , exact.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.000 \times 10^1 & -7.000 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.000 \times 10^{-3} & 6.000 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.501 \times 10^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7.000 \\ 6.001 \\ 1.500 \times 10^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

The rounding error in  $1.50\mathbf{1} \times 10^4$  ( $A(3, 3)$ , the exact result is 15,005) or  $1.50\mathbf{0} \times 10^4$  ( $b_3$ , the exact result is 15,005) equals half of its ulp ( $(0.001 \times 10^4)/2 = 5$ ), which has the same size as the size of the solution.

# What is pivoting? (cont.)

Back solve:

|       | computed                                         | exact                                        |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| $x_3$ | 1.001                                            | 1.0                                          |
| $x_2$ | $\frac{6.001 - 6 \times 1.001}{-0.001} = -5.000$ | $\frac{6.001 - 6 \times 1.0}{-0.001} = -1.0$ |

Catastrophic cancellation.

## What is pivoting? (cont.)

Error in the result can be as large as half of the ulp of the largest intermediate results.

Solution:

Avoid large intermediate results (entries in the lower-right submatrices).

Avoid small pivots (causing large multipliers).

How?

Interchange equations (rows).

Forward elimination step 2:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.001 & 6 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6.001 \\ 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix form:

$$A \leftarrow M_1 A, \quad M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Pivoting (cont.)

Interchange equations (rows) (2) and (3) to avoid small pivot,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & -0.001 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2.5 \\ 6.001 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix form:

$$A \leftarrow P_2 M_1 A, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

pivot: 2.5, multiplier:  $4 \times 10^{-4}$



$$x_1 = (7 - (-7)x_2 - 0x_3)/10 = 0$$

---

$$M_2 P_2 M_1 A = U, \quad (M_1^{-1} P_2^{-1} M_2^{-1}) U = A$$

$$M_1^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad P_2 = P_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 \times 10^{-4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_1^{-1}P_2M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -4 \times 10^{-4} & 1 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(P_2 M_1^{-1} P_2) M_2^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -4 \times 10^{-4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$(P_2 M_1^{-1} P_2 M_2^{-1})U = P_2 A$  is an LU decomposition of  $P_2 A$ , (row) permuted  $A$ .

# LU decomposition (cont.)

Consider

$$\hat{M}_1^{-1} := P_2 M_1^{-1} P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

equivalent to interchanging  $m_{21}$  and  $m_{31}$ .

In general, suppose that  $M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 A = U$ , that is,  
 $A = P_1 M_1^{-1} P_2 M_2^{-1} U$ , then

$$P_2 P_1 A = ((P_2 M_1^{-1} P_2) M_2^{-1}) U$$

An LU decomposition of permuted  $A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= P_1 M_1^{-1} P_2 M_2^{-1} \dots P_{n-2} M_{n-2}^{-1} P_{n-1} M_{n-1}^{-1} U \\
 &= P_1 M_1^{-1} P_2 M_2^{-1} \dots P_{n-3} M_{n-3}^{-1} P_{n-2} P_{n-1} \\
 &\quad (P_{n-1} M_{n-2}^{-1} P_{n-1}) M_{n-1}^{-1} U \\
 &= P_1 \dots P_{n-1} (P_{n-1} \dots P_2 M_1^{-1} P_2 \dots P_{n-1}) \dots \\
 &\quad (P_{n-1} M_{n-2}^{-1} P_{n-1}) M_{n-1}^{-1} U
 \end{aligned}$$

In programming,  $A$  is overwritten by  $L$  and  $U$  and  $L$  is stored in the lower part of  $A$ . When we interchange rows of  $A$ , we also interchange corresponding (entire) rows of  $L$ .

In programming,  $A$  is overwritten by  $L$  and  $U$  and  $L$  is stored in the lower part of  $A$ . When we interchange rows of  $A$ , we also interchange corresponding (entire) rows of  $L$ .



# Example

Original

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 1$ , pivot

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ \textcolor{red}{10} & -7 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{red}{2} \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 1$ , permute  $P_1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{red}{10} & -7 & 0 \\ -3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 1$ , Multipliers  $M_1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -0.3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ 0.5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 1$ , update

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -0.001 & 6 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 2$ , pivot

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -0.001 & 6 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 2$ , permute  $P_2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 5 \\ -0.3 & -0.001 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 2$ , multiplier  $M_2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 5 \\ -0.3 & -0.0004 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example

$k = 2$ , update

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2.5 & 5 \\ -0.3 & -0.0004 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example

$$LU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 & 0 \\ -0.3 & -0.0004 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \\ -3 & 2.099 & 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

permutated  $A$ 

$$P_2 P_1 \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2.099 & 6 \\ 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 5 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad p = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A) = p(n)U(1,1)\dots U(n,n) = 150.05$$

# Basic Linear Algebra Subroutines (BLAS)

Operations in GE with pivoting:

**imax:** find the index of  $\max(|A(k : n, k)|)$

**swap:** interchange  $A(k, :)$  and  $A(p(k), :)$

**scal:** scalar-vector multiplication:

$$A(k, k)^{-1} A(k + 1 : n, k)$$

**axpy:**  $\alpha x + y$ , vector update:

$$A(k + 1 : n, j) - A(k, j) A(k + 1 : n, k), \text{ for } j = k + 1 : n$$

## Problem setting

Given the decomposition  $LU = PA$ , solve  $Ax = b$

or solve  $P Ax = P b$

Solve two triangular systems:

stage 1, solve  $Ly = Pb$  (forward solve)

stage 2, solve  $Ux = y$  (back solve)

$$LUx = Pb$$

Consider  $Ux = y$ , given  $U$  and  $y$ , the solution  $x$  overwrites  $y$ .

## Two versions

Row version (C):

$$y(n) = y(n)/U(n, n);$$

for  $i = n - 1 : -1 : 1$ ;

$$y(i) = y(i) - U(i, i + 1 : n)y(i + 1 : n);$$
$$y(i) = y(i)/U(i, i);$$

end for  $i$

## Column version (FORTRAN)

```
y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);  
for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;  
    y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);  
    y(i) = y(i)/U(i,i);  
end for i
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2.5 \\ 6.002 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Column version (FORTRAN)

```
 $y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);$   
for  $i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;$   
     $y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);$   
     $y(i) = y(i)/U(i, i);$   
end for  $i$ 
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Column version (FORTRAN)

```
y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);  
for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;  
    y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);  
    y(i) = y(i)/U(i, i);  
end for i
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -2.5 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Column version (FORTRAN)

```
y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);  
for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;  
    y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);  
    y(i) = y(i)/U(i,i);  
end for i
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Column version (FORTRAN)

```
y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);  
for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;  
    y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);  
    y(i) = y(i)/U(i, i);  
end for i
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Column version (FORTRAN)

```
y(n) = y(n)/U(n,n);  
for i = n - 1 : -1 : 1;  
    y(1 : i) = y(1 : i) - y(i + 1)U(1 : i, i + 1);  
    y(i) = y(i)/U(i,i);  
end for i
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6.002 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Introduction

Estimating the error in the computed solution.

Computed solution:  $\hat{x}$

Exact solution:  $x$

Relative forward error:  $\|x - \hat{x}\|/\|\hat{x}\|$

But we usually don't know  $x$ .

Check the residual  $r = b - A\hat{x}$ ?

A contrived example.

$\beta = 10, p = 3$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.15 & 1.00 \\ 1.41 & 1.22 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.15 \\ 2.63 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Introduction (cont.)

Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting

Interchange two rows; multiplier:  $1.15/1.41 = 0.816$ ;

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.41 & 1.22 \\ 0 & 0.004 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.63 \\ 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\hat{x}_2 = 0$ ,  $\hat{x}_1 = 2.63/1.41 = 1.87$ .

Residual:  $r_1 = 0.01$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ .

Exact solution:  $x_1 = x_2 = 1$ .

Small residual does not imply small error in solution.

## Introduction (cont.)

Check the pivots?

If the pivots are small,  $A$  is nearly singular; however,  $A$  might be nearly singular but none of the pivots are small.

How do we estimate the error in the computed solution?

We know that the relative forward error:

$$\frac{\|x - \hat{x}\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \leq \text{cond} \cdot \text{backward error}.$$

# Estimating backward error

Suppose that the computed solution  $\hat{x}$  is the exact solution of the perturbed system:

$$(A + \Delta A)\hat{x} = b,$$

then the relative backward error is

$$\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}.$$

How do we get  $\|\Delta A\|$ ?

# Estimating backward error

Since

$$\frac{\|b - A\hat{x}\|}{\|A\| \|\hat{x}\|} = \frac{\|\Delta A \hat{x}\|}{\|A\| \|\hat{x}\|} \leq \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|},$$

in practice, the relative residual

$$\frac{\|b - A\hat{x}\|}{\|A\| \|\hat{x}\|}$$

can be used as an estimate for the backward error.

In other words, the relative residual is an indication of the stability of the algorithm.

# Condition of a matrix

The sensitivity of the solution  $x$  to the perturbations on  $A$  and  $b$ .  
Measure of nearness of singularity.

Vector norms:

$$\|x\| > 0, \text{ if } x \neq 0$$

$$\|0\| = 0$$

$$\|cx\| = |c| \|x\|, \text{ for all scalars } c$$

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

Examples.

$$\|x\|_2 = \left( \sum_i |x_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_i (|x_i|)$$

## Condition of a matrix (cont.)

Think of a matrix as a linear transformation between two vector spaces.

The range of possible change:

$$M = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \|A\|$$

$$m = \min_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$$

When  $A$  is singular,  $m = 0$ .

Examples of matrix norms.

$$\|A\|_1 = \max_j \left( \sum_i |a_{ij}| \right)$$

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_i \left( \sum_j |a_{ij}| \right)$$

# Condition of a matrix

Measurement:  $\text{cond}(A) = M/m$

If  $A$  is singular,  $m = 0$ ,  $\text{cond}(A) = \infty$ .

If  $A$  is nonsingular,

$$m^{-1} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|x\|}{\|Ax\|} = \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|A^{-1}y\|}{\|y\|} = \|A^{-1}\|$$

Condition for inverting  $A$

$$\text{cond}(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$$

## Condition of a matrix (cont.)

Perturbing  $b$ :

$$A(x + \Delta x) = b + \Delta b$$

Since  $Ax = b$  and  $A(\Delta x) = \Delta b$ ,

$$\|b\| \leq M\|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta b\| \geq m\|\Delta x\|$$

Thus

$$\frac{\|\Delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \text{cond}(A) \frac{\|\Delta b\|}{\|b\|}$$

A relative error magnification factor.

How do we get  $\|A^{-1}\|$ ?

# Estimating $\|A^{-1}\|_1$

Basic idea:

Determine a vector  $e$  (all components 1 or  $-1$ ) so that the solution for  $A^T A z = e$  is heuristically large.

Given  $PA = LU$  ( $A^T = U^T L^T P$  and  $A^T A = U^T L^T L U$ ), determine  $e$  so that the solution  $w$  for  $U^T w = e$  is heuristically large;

solve for  $y$  in  $L^T y = w$ ;

solve for  $z$  in  $Az = y$ ;

normalize  $\|z\|_1 / \|y\|_1 \approx \|A^{-1}\|_1$ .

Cost:

If the LU decomposition  $PA = LU$  ( $O(n^3)$ ) is available, it requires solving four triangular systems ( $O(n^2)$ ).

## Example revisited

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.15 & 1.00 \\ 1.41 & 1.22 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 2.15 \\ 2.63 \end{bmatrix}$$

Exact solution:  $x = [1 \ 1]^T$

Computed solution:  $\hat{x} = [1.87 \ 0.00]^T, \beta = 10, t = 3.$

## Example revisited

The computed solution is the exact solution of

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 215/187 & 1.00 \\ 263/187 & 1.22 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad b$$

The perturbation

$$\Delta A = A - \hat{A} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 2.67 \times 10^{-4} & 0 \\ 3.58 \times 10^{-3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Relative change in  $A$ :

$$\frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} := \rho u$$

## Example revisited

Relative error in the computed solution:

$$\frac{\|x - \hat{x}\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \approx 0.7088$$

Almost 100% error, that is, zero digit accuracy.

The condition number

$$\text{cond}(A) \approx 8.26 \times 10^2$$

Almost  $u^{-1} = \beta^t$ .

$$\frac{\|x - \hat{x}\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \leq \rho \text{cond}(A) u$$

The relative change in  $A$  is magnified by  $\text{cond}(A)$ .

## Remarks

- The solution computed by GE with partial pivoting can be viewed as the exact solution of a slightly perturbed coefficient matrix. The relative perturbation is usually  $\rho u$ , where  $\rho$  is a constant of the same size as  $\beta$ . In other words, in practice, GE with partial pivoting is stable.
- The relative error in the computed solution (by GE with partial pivoting) is roughly of the size  $\text{cond}(A)u$ .
- In practice, the entries in the coefficient matrix  $A$  and the right-hand-side vector  $b$  contain measurement errors. In the computed solution, The measurement error is roughly magnified by  $\text{cond}(A)$ . For example, if the measurement accuracy is four decimal digits and the condition number is about  $10^2$ , then we expect the computed solution has two decimal digit accuracy.

# Symmetric positive definite systems

Symmetric:  $A^T = A$ , or  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$

Positive definite:  $x^T A x > 0$ , for any  $x \neq 0$

Properties:

- nonsingular
- all principal submatrices are symmetric and positive definite

The method of choice: Cholesky factorization

$$A = LL^T$$

$L$ : lower triangular

# Cholesky factorization

Idea: An equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{11} = l_{11}l_{11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{red}{a}_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{red}{l}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{red}{l}_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{21} = l_{21}l_{11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ \textcolor{red}{a}_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{teal}{l}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \textcolor{red}{l}_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{teal}{l}_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{22} = l_{21}l_{21} + l_{22}l_{22}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & \textcolor{red}{a}_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \textcolor{teal}{l}_{21} & \textcolor{red}{l}_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & \textcolor{red}{l}_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{31} = l_{31}l_{11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ \textcolor{red}{a}_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{teal}{l}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \textcolor{teal}{l}_{21} & \textcolor{teal}{l}_{22} & 0 \\ \textcolor{red}{l}_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \textcolor{teal}{l}_{11} & \textcolor{teal}{l}_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & \textcolor{teal}{l}_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{32} = l_{31}l_{21} + l_{32}l_{22}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

$$a_{33} = l_{31}l_{31} + l_{32}l_{32} + l_{33}l_{33}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

In general,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & & & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ l_{n1} & l_{n2} & \cdots & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & \cdots & l_{1n} \\ & l_{22} & \cdots & l_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Cholesky factorization (cont.)

Consider the first row of  $L$ :  $l_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}}$ .

Now we consider the general case: the  $i$ th row.

Suppose we have computed the rows 1 through  $i - 1$  of  $L$ , then

$$l_{ij} = (a_{ji} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} l_{jk} l_{ik}) / l_{jj} \quad j = 1 : i - 1$$

$$l_{ii} = \sqrt{a_{ii} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} l_{ik}^2}$$

## Algorithm. Cholesky factorization (scalar)

This algorithm computes a lower triangular  $L$  from an  $n$ -by- $n$  symmetric and positive definite  $A$  so that  $A = LL^T$ .

```
for i=1 to n
    for j=1 to i      % compute L(i,1:i)
        s = A(j,i);
        for k=1 to j-1
            s = s - L(j,k)*L(i,k);
        end
        if j<i
            L(i,j) = s/L(j,j);
        else % j=i
            L(i,i) = sqrt(s);
        end
    end
end
end
```

# Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 10 & 10 \\ 10 & 53 & 32 \\ 10 & 32 & 36 \end{bmatrix}$$

Initial

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & & \\ 10 & 53 & \\ 10 & 32 & 36 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
end
```

$i = 1, j = 1$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & & \\ 10 & 53 & & \\ 10 & 32 & 36 & \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
```

$i = 2, j = 1$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & & \\ 2 & 53 & & \\ 10 & 32 & 36 & \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
end
```

$i = 2, j = 2$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & \\ 2 & 7 & \\ 10 & 32 & 36 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
```

$i = 3, j = 1$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & \\ 2 & 7 & \\ 2 & 32 & 36 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
end
```

$i = 3, j = 2$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & \\ 2 & 7 & \\ 2 & 4 & 36 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (cont.)

```
for i=1 to n
  for j=1 to i
    L(i,j) = L(i,j) - L(j,1:j-1)'*L(i,1:j-1);
    if j<i
      L(i,j) = L(i,j)/L(j,j);
    else
      L(i,i) = sqrt(L(i,i));
    end
  end
end
end
```

$i = 3, j = 3$  (final)

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & & \\ 2 & 7 & \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cholesky factorization

- storage  $n(n + 1)/2$
- flops  $n^3/3 + O(n^2)$
- stable
- the cheapest way to check if a symmetric matrix is positive definite

# Software packages

Direct methods for general linear systems

[NETLIB](#) LAPACK: sgetrf, sgetrs, sgecon

Direct methods for symmetric and positive definite systems

[NETLIB](#) LAPACK: spotrf, spotrs

Direct methods for symmetric and indefinite systems

[NETLIB](#) LAPACK: ssytrf, ssytrs

Direct methods for sparse systems

[NETLIB](#) SuperLU, SPARSE

[MATLAB](#) colmmd, symmmd, symrcm

# Summary

- Gaussian elimination with pivoting (decomp, solve):  
Working on one matrix, matrix update. Improve instability by avoiding small pivots (controlling the sizes of intermediate results)
- Error estimates: Condition number of a matrix.
- Special systems: Triangle, symmetric and positive definite, Cholesky factorization.

# References

[1] George E. Forsyth and Michael A. Malcolm and Cleve B. Moler. Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1977.

Ch 3.

[2] Nicholas J. Higham. Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms. Second Edition. SIAM. Philadelphia, PA, 2002.

Ch 9, Ch 10, Ch 28 (A Gallery of Test Matrices).

[3] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. Matrix Computations, Third Edition. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1996.

Ch 3.