

## Assignment 2

**Due.** Oct. 15, Monday, 11:30.

- (12 marks) Write two Matlab or Octave functions:

```
[u,d,l] = decomp(u,d,l)
b = solvet(u,d,l,b)
```

Suppose a tridiagonal matrix is given in the form of three vectors: the upper diagonal  $u$ , the main diagonal  $d$ , and the lower diagonal  $l$ , the first function `decomp` performs the LU decomposition using the Gaussian elimination without pivoting. In the output,  $l$  is the lower diagonal of the lower bidiagonal factor  $L$ ,  $d$  the main diagonal of the upper bidiagonal factor  $U$ , and  $u$  the upper diagonal of the upper bidiagonal factor  $U$ . Note that the input vectors  $u$ ,  $d$ , and  $l$  are overwritten by the outputs. The second function `solvet` takes the outputs from `decomp` as inputs and solves the tridiagonal system with the right-side vector  $b$ . On return, the solution is stored in  $b$ . In your implementations, you may not use matrices. For submission, along with the two functions `decomp.m` and `solvet.m`, explain the tests carried out. The functions should be well documented following the style given in the sample programs.

- (12 marks) This problem involves verifying two inequalities

$$\frac{\|b - A\hat{x}\|}{\|A\| \|\hat{x}\|} \leq \rho \beta^{-t}$$

and

$$\frac{\|x - \hat{x}\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \leq \rho \text{cond}(A) \beta^{-t},$$

where  $\hat{x}$  is the solution computed by Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, the norm of any vector is

$$\|x\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

and the norm of a matrix with columns  $a_j$  is

$$\|A\| = \max_j \|a_j\|.$$

You are to experimentally check our claims that  $\rho$  in the first inequality is almost always less than  $\beta$  and that the quantity `cond` returned by `decomp` is a satisfactory substitute for `cond(A)` in the second inequality.

The function `rand(m,n)` generates an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix whose entries are uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. Since the second inequality requires knowing the exact solution, pick  $x$  and compute  $b = Ax$ . Note that due to rounding errors, the equality  $Ax = b$  may not be exact, unless you make sure there is no rounding error in  $A$ ,  $b$ , or  $x$ .

Use `decomp` to factor the matrix and compute `cond`. Use `solve` to compute  $\hat{x}$ . Compute  $\|A\|$ ,  $\|\hat{x}\|$ ,  $\|b - A\hat{x}\|$ , and  $\|x - \hat{x}\|$ . Be sure to save copies of  $A$  and  $b$ , since they are altered by the functions.

Compute  $\rho$  so that the first inequality is actually an equality. If you find that  $\rho$  is much larger than  $\beta$ , carefully recheck your program. Large values of  $\rho$  are theoretically possible, but they are very rare in practice. They are associated with growth in the size of the elements of the matrix during elimination.

Using your value of  $\rho$ , check to see if the second inequality is satisfied with `cond` in place of `cond(A)`. If it is not, it is because `cond` is a severe underestimate for the true `cond(A)`. Again, such examples are very rare.

Do this problem with several different matrices, including ones with condition numbers close to 1 and with very large condition numbers. Matrices with almost linearly dependent columns have large condition numbers. Such a matrix can be constructed by starting with a matrix with linearly dependent columns and then introducing small perturbations to its entries. For example, the columns of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.6 \\ 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}$$

are linearly dependent. Thus  $A$  is exactly singular. However, the entries of  $A$  cannot be exactly represented in floating-point. So, the floating-point approximation of  $A$  is nearly singular, that is, it has a large condition number.

3. (6 marks) The inverse of a matrix  $A$  can be defined as the matrix  $X$  whose columns  $x_j$  satisfy

$$Ax_j = e_j,$$

where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ th column of the identity matrix. Write a function

$$[X, rcondA, pvt] = \text{invert}(A)$$

which accepts a matrix  $A$  of order  $n$  as input and returns a matrix  $X$ , an approximation to the inverse of  $A$ , as well as the condition estimate and the pivot information. Your function should call `decomp` just once and call `solve` a total of  $n$  times, once for each column of  $X$ . Leave  $X$  as a null matrix (of dimension 0) if `decomp` detects singularity.

You may test your function using the measurement:

$$\text{norm}(X - \text{inv}(A), 1).$$

Note that `inv(A)` is an approximation of  $A^{-1}$  computed by MATLAB/Octave. The function `rand(m,n)` generates an  $m$ -by- $n$  matrix whose entries are uniformly distributed between 0 and 1.