A Fast Singular Value Algorithm for Hankel Matrices

Franklin T. Luk[a] and Sanzheng Qiao[b]

[a] Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Chinese University of Hong Kong
Shatin, NT, Hong Kong

[b] Department of Computing and Software
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4L7 Canada

ABSTRACT

We present an $O(n^2 \log n)$ algorithm for finding all the singular values of an n-by-n complex Hankel matrix.

Keywords: Hankel matrix, singular values, Takagi decomposition, Lanczos process, complex-symmetric matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

Structured matrices play an important role in signal processing. A common occurring structure is the Hankel form:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & \cdots & h_{n-1} & h_n \\ h_2 & h_3 & \cdots & h_n & h_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \iddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{n-1} & h_n & \cdots & h_{2n-3} & h_{2n-2} \\ h_n & h_{n+1} & \cdots & h_{2n-2} & h_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where all elements along the same anti-diagonal are identical. There is an extensive literature on inverting Hankel matrices or solving linear equations with a Hankel structure; for a good list of references, see Golub-Van Loan.[2] The work is more limited on efficient eigenvalue computation for Hankel matrices; some examples are Cybenko-Van Loan[1], Luk-Qiao[4] and Trench[5].

In this paper, we present an $O(n^2 \log n)$ algorithm for finding all the singular values of an n-by-n complex Hankel matrix H. Specifically, we present an algorithm for computing the Takagi decomposition (Horn-Johnson[3]):

$$H = Q \Sigma Q^{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{1}$$

where Q is unitary and Σ is diagonal with the singular values of H on its diagonal. To our best knowledge, this is the first $O(n^2 \log n)$ singular value algorithm for Hankel matrices.

Our paper is organized as follows. A Lanczos tridiagonalization of a Hankel matrix is described in Section 2, and a two-by-two Takagi decomposition in Section 3. A QR method for the diagonalization of a tridiagonal complex-symmetric matrix is given in Section 4, followed by an overall algorithm and an illustrative numerical example in Section 5.

Notations. We use the "bar" symbol to denote a complex conjugate; for example, $\bar{M}$, $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ denote the complex conjugates of a matrix M, a vector $\mathbf{v}$ and a scalar α, respectively.

Sanzheng Qiao: Email: qiao@mcmaster.ca; Telephone: (905)525-9140 ext. 27234; Fax: (905)524-0340

2. LANCZOS TRIDIAGONALIZATION

As in the standard SVD computation, we first reduce the Hankel matrix to a simpler form, specifically a complex-symmetric tridiagonal matrix.

Consider a Lanczos-like algorithm to reduce H to an upper Hessenberg form:

$$H\bar{Q} = QK, \tag{2}$$

where the matrix Q is unitary and the matrix K is upper Hessenberg. Let

$$Q = (\ \mathbf{q}_1,\ \mathbf{q}_2,\ \ldots,\ \mathbf{q}_n\ ) \quad \text{and} \quad K = (\kappa_{ij}).$$

Writing out equation (2) in column form, we obtain a recurrence formula to compute Q and K.

$$\kappa_{l+1,l}\mathbf{q}_{l+1} = H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l - \kappa_{l,l}\mathbf{q}_l - \kappa_{l-1,l}\mathbf{q}_{l-1} - \cdots - \kappa_{1,l}\mathbf{q}_1. \tag{3}$$

Since

$$K = Q^H H\bar{Q},$$

the matrix K is complex-symmetric. From symmetry, we deduce that K is tridiagonal:

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & & \\ & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \beta_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & & & & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The relation (3) thus reduces to a three term recursion:

$$\beta_l\mathbf{q}_{l+1} = H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l - \alpha_l\mathbf{q}_l - \beta_{l-1}\mathbf{q}_{l-1}. \tag{5}$$

As $\mathbf{q}_i^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{q}_j = \delta_{ij}$, we get from (5) that $\alpha_l = \mathbf{q}_l^{\mathrm{H}}H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l$. Let

$$\mathbf{r}_l = H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l - \alpha_l\mathbf{q}_l - \beta_{l-1}\mathbf{q}_{l-1}.$$

Then (5) shows that β_l is the 2-norm of $\mathbf{r}_l$ and $\mathbf{q}_{l+1}$ is the normalized $\mathbf{r}_l$, i.e.,

$$\beta_l = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}_l^{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{r}_l} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{q}_{l+1} = \mathbf{r}_l/\beta_l.$$

The triangularization procedure is summarized in the following algorithm.

ALGORITHM 1 (LANCZOS TRIDIAGONALIZATION). *Given an n-by-n Hankel matrix H, this algorithm computes a unitary matrix Q such that $H = QKQ^{\mathrm{T}}$, where K is complex-symmetric and tridiagonal as shown in (4).*

Initialize $\mathbf{q}_1$ such that $\|\mathbf{q}_1\|_2 = 1$;
Set $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{q}_1$; $\beta_0 = 1$; $\mathbf{q}_0 = \mathbf{0}$; $l = 0$;
while $(\beta_l \neq 0)$
    $\mathbf{q}_{l+1} = \mathbf{r}_l/\beta_l$;
    $l = l + 1$;
    $\alpha_l = \mathbf{q}_l^{\mathrm{H}}H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l$;
    $\mathbf{r}_l = H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l - \alpha_l\mathbf{q}_l - \beta_{l-1}\mathbf{q}_{l-1}$;
    $\beta_l = \|\mathbf{r}_l\|_2$;
end.

If all $\beta_l \neq 0$, then Algorithm 1 runs until $l = n$. The dominant cost is the Hankel matrix-vector product $H\bar{\mathbf{q}}_l$, for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Using an $O(n \log n)$ Hankel matrix-vector multiplication scheme (cf. Luk-Qiao[4]), we obtain an $O(n^2 \log n)$ tridiagonalization algorithm.

3. TWO-BY-TWO TAKAGI DECOMPOSITION

In this section, we discuss the Takagi decomposition (1) of a two-by-two complex-symmetric matrix.

Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

We look for a unitary matrix Q such that

$$Q^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{Q} = \Sigma, \tag{7}$$

where Σ is a nonnegative diagonal matrix. Define

$$\mathrm{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} x/|x| & x \neq 0 \\ 1 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

If $\beta = 0$, then we pick

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mathrm{sign}(\alpha)} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\mathrm{sign}(\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

which gives

$$Q^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha| & 0 \\ 0 & |\gamma| \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we assume that $\beta \neq 0$ from here on. The product $A\bar{A} (= AA^{\mathrm{H}})$ is Hermitian and nonnegative definite. Indeed,

$$A\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 & \alpha\bar{\beta} + \beta\bar{\gamma} \\ \bar{\alpha}\beta + \bar{\beta}\gamma & |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can find an eigenvalue decomposition:

$$V^{\mathrm{H}} (A\bar{A}) V = D^2, \tag{9}$$

where V is a unitary matrix and D a nonnegative diagonal matrix. Let

$$V = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, d_2). \tag{10}$$

The Takagi decomposition implies that $A\bar{Q} = Q\Sigma$. So we look for a normalized vector $\mathbf{q}$ such that

$$A\bar{\mathbf{q}} = \sigma_i \mathbf{q}, \quad \sigma_i \geq 0, \tag{11}$$

from which we get

$$A\bar{A}\mathbf{q} = \sigma_i A\bar{\mathbf{q}} = \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{q}.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{q}$ is an eigenvector of $A\bar{A}$ and σ_i^2 is the corresponding eigenvalue. We have two cases depending on whether the eigenvalues d_1^2 and d_2^2 are distinct or identical.

First, we assume that $d_1 \neq d_2$. Since the eigenvalues of $A\bar{A}$ are distinct, the eigenvectors are uniquely defined up to a scalar. Thus, $\mathbf{q}$ is a scalar multiple of either $\mathbf{v}_1$ or $\mathbf{v}_2$ and σ_i is either d_1 or d_2 respectively. Let

$$A\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 = \xi d_1 \mathbf{v}_1 \tag{12}$$

for some scalar ξ such that $|\xi| = 1$. We define

$$\mathbf{q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\mathrm{sign}(\xi)}\, \mathbf{v}_1. \tag{13}$$

Then

$$A\bar{\mathbf{q}} = \sqrt{\mathrm{sign}(\bar{\xi})} \cdot A\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 = \sqrt{\mathrm{sign}(\bar{\xi})} \cdot \xi d_1 \mathbf{v}_1 = |\xi|\, d_1 \mathbf{q} = d_1 \mathbf{q},$$

as desired. We can get ξ from (12):

$$\xi = \mathrm{sign}(\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{\mathbf{v}}_1). \tag{14}$$

Second, we assume that the eigenvalues are identical, i.e., $d_1 = d_2$. Then $A\bar{A} = d_1^2 I$ and any vector is an eigenvector. Pick $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1$. Then $A\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 \neq \eta \mathbf{v}_1$ for any scalar η, since $\beta \neq 0$. We propose

$$\mathbf{u} = A\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1 + d_1\,\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + d_1 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A\bar{\mathbf{u}} = A\bar{A}\mathbf{e}_1 + d_1 A\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1 = d_1^2\mathbf{e}_1 + d_1 A\bar{\mathbf{e}}_1 = d_1\mathbf{u}.$$

We choose $\mathbf{q}$ as a normalized $\mathbf{u}$ with $q_1 \geq 0$. Let

$$\mathbf{q} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\alpha + d_1|^2 + |\beta|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha + d_1| \\ \beta(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{d}_1)/|\alpha + d_1| \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

Given $\mathbf{q}$ in either (13) or (15), we can construct a unitary matrix Q by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & -\bar{q}_2 \\ q_2 & \bar{q}_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Thus, the product

$$Q^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \times \\ 0 & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

is upper triangular. As the matrix $Q^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{Q}$ is symmetric, it is therefore diagonal. In fact, we can readily show that

$$Q^{\mathrm{H}} A \bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

assuming that the second column of Q has been scaled so that $d_2 \geq 0$.

ALGORITHM 2 (2-BY-2 TAKAGI DECOMPOSITION). *Given a 2-by-2 complex symmetric A in (6), this algorithm computes a 2-by-2 unitary matrix Q so that (7) is satisfied.*

```
If β = 0 then pick Q as in (8)
else
    Find an eigenvalue decomposition of AĀ: V^H A Ā V = D^2;
    If d1 ≠ d2 then set q as in (13) with ξ given by (14);
    else
        Set q as in (15);
    end
    Construct unitary matrix as in (16)
end
```

4. DIAGONALIZATION

This section concerns the Takagi decomposition of an n-by-n tridiagonal matrix K of (4):

$$K = Q\Sigma Q^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where Q is unitary and Σ is nonnegative diagonal. We apply an implicit QR Algorithm; that is, instead of the explicit formation of $K^{\mathrm{H}}K$, we apply Householder transformations directly to K.

Consider the trailing 3-by-3 submatrix Λ of of $K^{\mathrm{H}}K$, given by

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} |\beta_{n-3}|^2 + |\alpha_{n-2}|^2 + |\beta_{n-2}|^2 & \bar{\alpha}_{n-2}\beta_{n-2} + \alpha_{n-1}\bar{\beta}_{n-2} & \bar{\beta}_{n-2}\beta_{n-1} \\ \alpha_{n-2}\bar{\beta}_{n-2} + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\beta_{n-2} & |\beta_{n-2}|^2 + |\alpha_{n-1}|^2 + |\beta_{n-1}|^2 & \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\beta_{n-1} + \alpha_n\bar{\beta}_{n-1} \\ \bar{\beta}_{n-1}\beta_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1}\bar{\beta}_{n-1} + \bar{\alpha}_n\beta_{n-1} & |\beta_{n-1}|^2 + |\alpha_n|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

Let λ denote the eigenvalue of Λ of that is the closest to $|\beta_{n-1}|^2 + |\alpha_n|^2$, and let J_1 be the Householder matrix such that $J_1\mathbf{x} = (\times, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 - \lambda \\ \alpha_1\bar{\beta}_1 + \bar{\alpha}_2\beta_1 \\ \beta_1\bar{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

We note that the vector $\mathbf{x}$ consists of the top three elements from the first column of $K^{\mathrm{H}}K - \lambda I$. Let us apply

$$\widetilde{J}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to a block in K directly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \gamma_1 & \delta_1 \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \\ \delta_1 & \gamma_2 & \beta_3 & \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \widetilde{J}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \\ & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \\ & & \beta_3 & \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{J}_1. \tag{19}$$

We follow with Householder transformations J_2, J_3, ..., J_{n-2} to restore the tridiagonal structure of K while maintain symmetry at the same time. To illustrate, we determine a Householder matrix J_k such that

$$J_k^{\mathrm{T}}(\beta_{k-1}, \gamma_{k-1}, \delta_{k-1})^{\mathrm{T}} = (\times, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{J}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_k^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} & 0 & 0 & \\ \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_k & \beta_k & \gamma_k & \delta_k \\ 0 & \beta_k & \alpha_{k+1} & \beta_{k+1} & \gamma_{k+1} \\ 0 & \gamma_k & \beta_{k+1} & \alpha_{k+2} & \beta_{k+2} \\ 0 & \delta_k & \gamma_{k+1} & \beta_{k+2} & \alpha_{k+3} \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \widetilde{J}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} & \gamma_{k-1} & \delta_{k-1} & \\ \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_k & \beta_k & \gamma_k & \\ \gamma_{k-1} & \beta_k & \alpha_{k+1} & \beta_{k+1} & \\ \delta_{k-1} & \gamma_k & \beta_{k+1} & \alpha_{k+2} & \beta_{k+2} \\ & & & \beta_{k+2} & \alpha_{k+3} \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{J}_k. \tag{20}$$

Consider the new matrix $K \leftarrow \widehat{J}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \cdots \widehat{J}_1^{\mathrm{T}} K \widehat{J}_1 \cdots \widehat{J}_k$, where $\widehat{J}_i$ denotes the appropriate $n \times n$ Householder matrix that contains the lower-dimensioned $\widetilde{J}_i$ as a submatrix. The resultant matrix is symmetric and the bulge is chased down by one row and one column. Eventually, the bulge is chased out of the matrix and the final new matrix

$$K \leftarrow \widehat{J}_{n-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdots \widehat{J}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \widehat{J}_1^{\mathrm{T}} K \widehat{J}_1 \widehat{J}_2 \cdots \widehat{J}_{n-1} \tag{21}$$

is symmetric and tridiagonal. As in the standard implicit QR method, the new K is closer to diagonal. Thus we have the algorithm for one step of complex-symmetric SVD.

ALGORITHM 3 (ONE STEP OF COMPLEX-SYMMETRIC SVD). *Given the diagonal vector* $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)^{\mathrm{T}}$ *and the subdiagonal vector* $\mathbf{b} = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_{n-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$ *of the tridiagonal matrix* K *of (4), this algorithm overwrites* $\mathbf{a}$ *and* $\mathbf{b}$ *so that the new* K *formed by the new* $\mathbf{a}$ *and* $\mathbf{b}$ *is the matrix obtained by applying one step of the implicit QR algorithm.*

If $n = 2$, apply Algorithm 2; return;
Compute λ, the eigenvalue of Λ of (17) that is closest to $|\beta_{n-1}|^2 + |\alpha_n|^2$;
for $k = 1 : n - 2$
    if $k = 1$
        set $\mathbf{x}$ to the vector in (18);
    else
        $\mathbf{x} = (\beta_{k-1}\ \gamma_{k-1}\ \delta_{k-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$;
    end
    Determine a Householder matrix J_k such that $J_k^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x} = (\times, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$;
    if $k = 1$
        $J_1 = J_1^{\mathrm{T}}$;
        Update the block as shown in (19);
    else
        Update the block as shown in (20);
    end
end
Determine a Householder matrix J_{n-1} such that $J_{n-1}^{\mathrm{T}}(\beta_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-2})^{\mathrm{T}} = (\times, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$;
Update the last block

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n-2} & \beta_{n-2} & 0 \\ \beta_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n-1}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n-2} & \beta_{n-2} & \gamma_{n-2} \\ \beta_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} \\ \gamma_{n-2} & \beta_{n-1} & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The one-step algorithm requires $O(n)$ flops. Accumulating J_i requires $O(n^2)$ flops. The method is used in the singular value computation. Let q be the largest integer such that $\mathbf{b}_{n-q:n} = 0$, initially $q = 0$ and $\beta_n = 0$, and let p be the smallest integer such that $\mathbf{b}_{p+1:n-q-1}$ has no zero entries. Then the principal submatrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{p+1} & \beta_{p+1} & & 0 \\ \beta_{p+1} & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \beta_{n-q-1} \\ 0 & & \beta_{n-q-1} & \alpha_{n-q} \end{pmatrix}$$

has no zeros on its subdiagonal. We apply Algorithm 3 to B. Once a β_i is small enough

$$|\beta_i| \le c(|\alpha_i| + |\alpha_{i+1}|)u, \tag{22}$$

where c is a small constant and u is the unit of roundoff, then we set β_i to zero and update p and q. When q reaches $n - 1$, K becomes diagonal with the singular values on the diagonal.

ALGORITHM 4 (COMPLEX-SYMMETRIC SVD). *Given the diagonal* $\mathbf{a}$ *and subdiagonal* $\mathbf{b}$ *of the tridiagonal matrix* K *of (4), this algorithm computes the Takagi decomposition* $K = Q\Sigma Q^{\mathrm{T}}$. *The diagonal* $\mathbf{a}$ *is overwritten by the singular values.*

```
Initialize q = 0, β_n = 0, and Q = I;
while q < n − 1
    Set all β_i satisfying (22) to zero;
    Update q so that b_{n−q:n} = 0 and β_{n−q−1} ≠ 0;
    Find the smallest p so that b_{p+1:n−q−1} has no zero entries;
    If q < n − 1
        Apply Algorithm 3 to the complex-symmetric and tridiagonal matrix
        whose diagonal and subdiagonal are a_{p+1:n−q} and b_{p+1:n−q−1} respectively;
        Update Q;
    end
end
```

5. OVERALL PROCEDURE

We conclude our paper with an overall singular value procedure and an illustrative numerical example.

ALGORITHM 5 (FAST HANKEL SINGULAR VALUE ALGORITHM). *Given a complex Hankel matrix* H, *this algorithm computes all its singular values.*

1. Apply Algorithm 1 to H to obtain a symmetric and tridiagonal K;
2. Apply Algorithm 4 to calculate the singular values of K.

Example. Suppose that the first column and the last row of H are respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.9501 + 0.7621i \\ 0.2311 + 0.4565 \\ 0.6068 + 0.0185i \\ 0.4860 + 0.8214i \\ 0.8913 + 0.4447i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0.8913 + 0.4447i \\ 0.7919 + 0.9355i \\ 0.9218 + 0.9169i \\ 0.7382 + 0.4103i \\ 0.1763 + 0.8937i \end{pmatrix}.$$

After tridiagonalization, the diagonal and subdiagonal of K are respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3.4438 + 3.0893i \\ 0.1558 + 0.1970i \\ 0.1729 + 0.0537i \\ 0.3771 + 0.0265i \\ -0.7437 + 0.4832i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0.5400 \\ 0.6584 \\ 0.5859 \\ 0.4940 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following table presents the four subdiagonal elements of K during the execution of Algorithm 4.

Iteration	β_1	β_2	β_3	β_4
1	$-0.017 + 0.000i$	$0.491 - 0.087i$	$-0.531 + 0.115i$	$-0.233 - 0.041i$
2	10^{-4}	$0.389 - 0.071i$	$0.215 - 0.050i$	10^{-3}
3	10^{-5}	$0.318 - 0.058i$	$-0.072 + 0.017i$	10^{-8}
4	10^{-6}	$0.253 - 0.046i$	$0.024 - 0.006i$	converged
5	10^{-8}	$0.209 - 0.038i$	converged	
6	10^{-9}	10^{-15}		
7	10^{-11}	converged		
8	converged			

The computed singular values are { 4.6899, 1.1819, 1.0673, 0.62109, 0.37028 }. Assuming that the MATLAB function svd( ) is fully accurate, we find the errors in the singular values computed by Algorithm 5 to be 10^{-15}. The next table shows that the operation count grows roughly as $10^3 n^2$, even better than the predicted $O(n^2 \log n)$.

n	4	8	16	32
flops	15,096	68,622	250,054	966,630
$10^3 n^2$	16,000	64,000	256,000	1,024,000

REFERENCES

1. G. Cybenko and C. F. Van Loan, "Computing the minimum eigenvalue of a symmetric positive definite Toeplitz matrix," *SIAM J. Sci. and Stat. Comput.*, 7, 1986, pp. 123–131.
2. G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, 3rd Ed., The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1996.
3. Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
4. F. T. Luk and S. Qiao, "A fast eigenvalue algorithm for Hankel matrices," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 316, 2000, pp. 171–182.
5. W. F Trench, "Numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem for Hermitian Toeplitz matrices," *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1989, pp. 135–146.