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Preface

Read This First

This document defines a set of requirements for DSP algorithms that, if followed, allow system integrators to quickly assemble production-quality systems from one or more such algorithms. Thus, this standard is intended to enable a rich COTS marketplace for DSP algorithm technology and to significantly reduce the time to market for new DSP-based products.

In describing these requirements and their purpose, it is often necessary to describe how applications might be structured to take advantage of standard-compliant algorithms. It is important to keep in mind, however, that the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standards makes no substantive demands on the clients of these algorithms.

Intended Audience

This document assumes that the reader is fluent in the C language, has a good working knowledge of Digital Signal Processing (DSP) and the requirements of DSP applications, and has some exposure to the principles and practices of object-oriented programming.

This document describes rules that must be followed by all standard-complaint algorithm software and interfaces between algorithms and applications that use these algorithms. There are two audiences for this document:

- Algorithm writers should read this document to learn how ensure that an algorithm can coexist with other algorithms in a single system and how to package an algorithm for deployment into a wide variety of systems.
- System integrators can read this document to learn how to incorporate multiple algorithms from separate sources into a complete system.

Document Overview

Throughout this document, the rules and guidelines of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard are highlighted. Rules must be followed to be compliant with the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard Guidelines. Guidelines should be obeyed but may be violated by standard-compliant software. A complete list of
all rules and guidelines is provided in Appendix A. Electronic versions of this
document contain hyperlinks from each rule and guideline in Appendix A to the
main body of the document.

This document contains the following chapters:

- **Chapter 1 – Overview**, provides the motivation for the standard and de-
  scribes how algorithms (as defined by the TMS320 DSP Algorithm
  Standard) are used in DSP systems.

- **Chapter 2 – General Programming Guidelines**, describes a general
  programming model for DSP software and contains rules and guidelines
  that apply to all standard–compliant software.

- **Chapter 3 – Algorithm Component Model**, describes rules and guide-
  lines that enable standard–compliant algorithms from multiple sources to
  operate harmoniously in a single system.

- **Chapter 4 – Algorithm Performance Characterization**, describes how
  an standard–compliant algorithm’s performance must be characterized.

- **Chapter 5 – DSP-Specific Guidelines**, defines a model for describing the
  DSP’s on-chip registers and contains rules and guidelines for each specif-
  ic DSP architecture covered by this specification.

- **Appendix A – Rules and Guidelines**, contains a complete list of all rules
  and guidelines in this specification.

- **Appendix B – Core Run-time Support APIs**, contains a complete de-
  scriptions of the APIs that a standard–compliant algorithm may reference.

### Additional Documents

The TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard is documented in the following manu-
als:

- **TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard Rules and Guidelines** (this document).
  Describes all the rules and guidelines that make up the TMS320 DSP Al-
  gorithm Standard.

- **TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference**. Contains APIs that are
  required by the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard and full source exam-
  ples of standard-compliant algorithm components.

Although these documents are largely self-contained, there are times when it
is best not to duplicate documentation that exists in other documents. The fol-
lowing documents contain supplementary information necessary to adhere to
the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standards.
Text Conventions

The following typographical conventions are used in this specification:

- Text inside back-quotes (´) represents pseudo-code
- Program source code, function and macro names, parameters, and command line commands are shown in a mono-spaced font.

Rule n
Text is shown like this to indicate a requirement of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard.

Guideline n
Text is shown like this to indicate a recommendation of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard.
# Contents

1 Overview ........................................................................... 1-1
   1.1 Scope of the Standard ...................................................... 1-3
      1.1.1 Rules and Guidelines ................................................. 1-4
   1.2 Requirements of the Standard ........................................... 1-5
   1.3 Goals of the Standard ...................................................... 1-6
   1.4 Intentional Omissions ....................................................... 1-8
   1.5 System Architecture ....................................................... 1-9
      1.5.1 Frameworks ............................................................. 1-9
      1.5.2 Algorithms ............................................................. 1-10
      1.5.3 Core Run-Time Support .............................................. 1-11

2 General Programming Guidelines ........................................... 2-1
   2.1 Use of C Language ......................................................... 2-2
   2.2 Threads and Reentrancy .................................................... 2-3
      2.2.1 Threads ................................................................. 2-3
      2.2.2 Preemptive vs. Non-preemptive Multitasking ................. 2-3
      2.2.3 Reentrancy ............................................................. 2-5
      2.2.4 Example ............................................................... 2-6
   2.3 Data Memory ................................................................. 2-9
      2.3.1 Memory Spaces ...................................................... 2-9
      2.3.2 Scratch versus Persistent ......................................... 2-10
      2.3.3 Algorithm versus Application ................................... 2-12
   2.4 Program Memory .......................................................... 2-14
   2.5 ROM-ability ................................................................. 2-16
   2.6 Use of Peripherals ......................................................... 2-17

3 Algorithm Component Model .............................................. 3-1
   3.1 Interfaces and Modules ................................................... 3-2
      3.1.1 External Identifiers ............................................... 3-4
      3.1.2 Naming Conventions .............................................. 3-5
      3.1.3 Module Initialization and Finalization ......................... 3-5
      3.1.4 Module Instance Objects ....................................... 3-6
      3.1.5 Design-time Object Creation .................................. 3-7
      3.1.6 Run-time Object Creation and Deletion ...................... 3-8
      3.1.7 Module Configuration ............................................ 3-8
      3.1.8 Example Module ................................................ 3-9
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1.9 Multiple Interface Support</td>
<td>3-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.10 Interface Inheritance</td>
<td>3-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.11 Summary</td>
<td>3-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Algorithms</td>
<td>3-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Testing and Diagnostics</td>
<td>3-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Packaging</td>
<td>3-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.1 Object Code</td>
<td>3-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.2 Header Files</td>
<td>3-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.3 Debug Verses Release</td>
<td>3-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Algorithm Performance Characterization</td>
<td>4-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Data Memory</td>
<td>4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1 Heap Memory</td>
<td>4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2 Stack Memory</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.3 Static Local and Global Data Memory</td>
<td>4-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Program Memory</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Interrupt Latency</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Execution Time</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.1 MIPA Is Not Enough</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.2 Execution Time Model</td>
<td>4-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 DSP-Specific Guidelines</td>
<td>5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 CPU Register Types</td>
<td>5-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Use of Floating Point</td>
<td>5-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 TMS320C62xx Guidelines</td>
<td>5-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.1 Endian Byte Ordering</td>
<td>5-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.2 Data Models</td>
<td>5-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.3 Program Model</td>
<td>5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.4 Register Conventions</td>
<td>5-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.5 Status Register</td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.6 Interrupt Latency</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 TMS320C54xx Guidelines</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.1 Data Models</td>
<td>5-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.2 Program Models</td>
<td>5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.3 Register Conventions</td>
<td>5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.4 Status Registers</td>
<td>5-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.5 Interrupt Latency</td>
<td>5-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 TMS320C55xx Guidelines</td>
<td>5-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.1 Stack Architecture</td>
<td>5-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.2 Data Models</td>
<td>5-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.3 Program Models</td>
<td>5-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.4 Register Conventions</td>
<td>5-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.5 Status Bits</td>
<td>5-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6 TMS320C24xx Guidelines</td>
<td>5-18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.6.1 General</td>
<td>5-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6.2 Data Models</td>
<td>5-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6.3 Program Models</td>
<td>5-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6.4 Register Conventions</td>
<td>5-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6.5 Status Registers</td>
<td>5-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6.6 Interrupt Latency</td>
<td>5-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Rules and Guidelines</td>
<td>A-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1 General Rules</td>
<td>A-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2 Guidelines</td>
<td>A-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3 Performance Characterization Rules</td>
<td>A-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B CoreRun-Time APIs</td>
<td>B-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1 TI C-Language Run-time Support Library</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.2 DSP/BIOS Run-time Support Library</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Bibliography</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.1 Books</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.2 URLs</td>
<td>C-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Glossary</td>
<td>D-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.1 Glossary of Terms</td>
<td>D-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure No.</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–1</td>
<td>TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>DSP Software Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–1</td>
<td>Scratch vs Persistent Memory Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–2</td>
<td>Data Memory Types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–1</td>
<td>Module Interface and Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–2</td>
<td>Module Object Creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–3</td>
<td>Example Module Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>Example Implementation of IALG Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–1</td>
<td>Execution Timeline for Two Periodic Tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–1</td>
<td>Register Types</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview

This chapter provides an overview of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Scope of the Standard</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Requirements of the Standard</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Goals of the Standard</td>
<td>1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Intentional Omissions</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 System Architecture</td>
<td>1-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Digital Signal Processors (DSPs) are often programmed like “traditional” embedded microprocessors. That is, they are programmed in a mix of C and assembly language, directly access hardware peripherals, and, for performance reasons, almost always have little or no standard operating system support. Thus, like traditional microprocessors, there is very little use of Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) software components for DSPs.

However, unlike general-purpose embedded microprocessors, DSPs are designed to run sophisticated signal processing algorithms and heuristics. For example, they may be used to detect DTMF digits in the presence of noise, to compress toll quality speech by a factor of 20, or for speech recognition in a noisy automobile traveling at 65 miles per hour.

Such algorithms are often the result of many years of doctoral research. However, because of the lack of consistent standards, it is not possible to use an algorithm in more than one system without significant reengineering. Since few companies can afford a team of DSP PhDs and the reuse of DSP algorithms is so labor intensive, the time-to-market for a new DSP-based product is measured in years rather than months.

This document defines a set of requirements for DSP algorithms that, if followed, allow system integrators to quickly assemble production-quality...
systems from one or more such algorithms. Thus, this standard is intended to enable a rich COTS marketplace for DSP algorithm technology and to significantly reduce the time to market for new DSP-based products.
1.1 Scope of the Standard

The TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard defines three levels of guidelines.

**Figure 1–1. TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard Elements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>General Programming Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C callable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No hard coded addresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reentrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Algorithm Component Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generic Interfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Rules for C62xx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interrupt usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Memory usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Register usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Rules for C54xx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interrupt usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Memory usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Register usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level 1 contains programming guidelines that apply to all algorithms on all DSP architectures regardless of application area. Almost all recently developed software modules follow these common sense guidelines already, so this level just formalizes them.

Level 2 contains rules and guidelines that enable all algorithms to operate harmoniously within a single system. Conventions are established for algorithm’s use of data memory and names for external identifiers, for example. In addition, simple rules for how algorithms are packaged are also specified.

Level 3 contains the guidelines for specific families of DSPs. Today, there are no agreed-upon guidelines for algorithms with regard to the use of processor resources. These guidelines will provide guidance on the dos and don’ts for the various architectures. There is always the possibility that deviations from these guidelines will occur, but then the algorithm vendor can explicitly draw attention to the deviation in the relevant documentation or module headers.

The shaded boxes represent the areas that are covered in this version of the specification.

Level 4 contains the various vertical markets. Due to the inherently different nature of each of these businesses, it seems appropriate for the stakeholders...
in each of these markets to define the interfaces for groups of algorithms based on the vertical market. If each unique algorithm were specified with an interface, the standard would never be able to keep up and thus not be effective. It is important to note that at this level, any algorithm that conforms to the rules defined in the top three levels is considered standard–compliant.

1.1.1 Rules and Guidelines

The TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard specifies both rules and guidelines. Rules must be followed in order for software to be standard–compliant. Guidelines, on the other hand, are strongly suggested recommendations that should be obeyed, but are not required, in order for software to be standard–compliant.
1.2 Requirements of the Standard

In this section, we list the required elements of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard. These requirements are used throughout the remainder of the document to motivate design choices. They also help clarify the intent of many of the stated rules and guidelines.

- Algorithms from multiple vendors can be integrated into a single system.
- Algorithms are framework-agnostic. That is, the same algorithm can be efficiently used in virtually any application or framework.
- Algorithms can be deployed in purely static as well as dynamic run-time environments.
- Algorithms can be distributed in binary form.
- Integration of algorithms does not require recompilation of the client application; reconfiguration and relinking may be required however.

A huge number of DSP algorithms are needed in today’s marketplace, including modems, vocoders, speech recognizers, echo cancellation, and text-to-speech. It is not possible for a product developer, who wants to leverage this rich set of algorithms, to obtain all the necessary algorithms from a single source. On the other hand, integrating algorithms from multiple vendors is often impossible due to incompatibilities between the various implementations. In order to break this Catch-22, standard-compliant algorithms from different vendors must all interoperate.

Dozens of distinct third-party DSP frameworks exist in the telephony vertical market alone. Each vendor has hundreds and sometimes thousands of customers. Yet, no one framework dominates the market. To achieve the goal of algorithm reuse, the same algorithm must be usable in all frameworks.

Marketplace fragmentation by various frameworks has a legitimate technical basis. Each framework optimizes performance for an intended class of systems. For example, client systems are designed as single-channel systems with limited memory, limited power, and lower-cost DSPs. As a result, they are quite sensitive to performance degradation. Server systems, on the other hand, use a single DSP to handle multiple channels, thus reducing the cost per channel. As a result, they must support a dynamic environment. Yet, both client-side and server-side systems may require exactly the same vocoders.

It is important that algorithms be deliverable in binary form. This not only protects the algorithm vendor’s intellectual property; it also improves the reusability of the algorithm. If source code were required, all clients would require recompilation. In addition to being destabilizing for the clients, version control for the algorithms would be close to impossible.
Goals of the Standard

1.3 Goals of the Standard

In this section, we list the goals of this standard. While it may not be possible to perfectly attain these goals, they represent the primary concerns that need to be addressed after addressing the required elements described in the previous section.

- Easy to adhere to the standard
- Possible to verify conformance to standard
- Enable system integrators to easily migrate between TI DSPs
- Enable host tools to simplify a system integrator’s tasks, including configuration, performance modeling, standard conformance, and debugging.
- Incur little or no “overhead” for static systems

Although TI currently enjoys a leadership role in the DSP marketplace, it cannot directly control the algorithm software base. This is especially true for relatively mature DSPs such as the C54xx family where significant algorithm technology currently exists. Thus, for any specification to achieve the status of a standard it must represent a low hurdle for the legacy code base.

While we can all agree to a guideline that states that every algorithm be of high quality, this type of guideline cannot be measured or verified. Thus, all algorithms will be represented as being of high quality and this guideline will not have any value to the system integrator. Thus, it is important that each guideline be measurable or, in some sense, verifiable.

While this standard does define algorithm’s APIs in a DSP-independent manner, it does not seek to solve the DSP migration problem. For example, it does not require that algorithms be provided on both a C54x and a C6x platform. It does not specify a multiple binary object file format to enable a single binary to be used in both a C5x and a C6x design. Nor does it supply tools to translate code from one architecture to another or require the use of an architecture independent language (such as C) in the implementation of algorithms.

Wherever possible, this standard tries to anticipate the needs of the system integrator and provide rules for the development of algorithms that allow host tools to be created that will assist the integration of these algorithms. For example, rules related to algorithm naming conventions enable tools that automatically resolve name conflicts and select alternate implementations as appropriate.

Maurice Wilkes once said, “There is no problem in computer programming that cannot be solved by an added level of indirection.” Frameworks are perfect ex-
amples of how indirection is used to "solve" DSP software architecture problems; device independence is achieved by adding a level of indirection between algorithms and physical peripherals and algorithm interchangeability is achieved by using function pointers.

On the other hand, Jim Gray has been quoted as saying, “There is no performance problem that cannot be solved by eliminating a level of indirection.” It is essential that the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard remain true to the spirit of the DSP developer: any overhead incurred by adherence to the standard must be minimized.
1.4 Intentional Omissions

In this section, we describe those aspects of the standard that are intentionally omitted. This is not to say that these issues are not important but, in the interest of timeliness, this version does not make any recommendation. Future versions will address these omissions.

- Version control
- Licensing, encryption, and IP protection
- Installation and verification (i.e., digital signatures)
- Documentation and online help

Like all software, algorithms evolve over time and, therefore, require version control. Moreover, as the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard evolves, older algorithm components may fail to be compliant with the latest specification. Ideally, a version numbering scheme would be specified that allowed host based tools to automatically detect incompatible versions of algorithm components.

In order to support the ability of a system integrator to rapidly evaluate algorithms from various vendors, a mechanism should be defined that allows a component to be used for evaluation only. This would encourage algorithm vendors to provide free evaluations of their technology. It is important to provide mechanisms, such as encryption of the code, that protect the vendor’s IP; otherwise, vendors will not make their components readily available.

Each algorithm component is typically delivered with documentation, on-line help files, and example programs. Ideally, this set of files would be standardized for each algorithm and installation into the Code Composer Studio environment would be standardized. The standardization will greatly simplify the rapid evaluation and system integration process. In addition, it is important that when a component is obtained, its origin can be reliably determined; to prevent component theft among algorithm vendors.
1.5 System Architecture

Many modern DSP system architectures can be partitioned along the lines depicted in the figure below.

Figure 1–2. DSP Software Architecture

Algorithms are “pure” data transducers; i.e., they simply take input data buffers and produce some number of output data buffers. The core run-time support includes functions that copy memory, functions to enable and disable interrupts, and real-time debugging aids (such as DSP/BIOS' LOG_printf). The framework is the “glue” that integrates the algorithms with the real-time data sources and sinks using the core run time support, to create a complete DSP sub-system. Frameworks for the DSP often interact with the real-time peripherals (including other processors in the system) and often define the I/O interfaces for the algorithm components.

Unfortunately, for performance reasons, many DSP systems do not enforce a clear line between algorithm code and the system-level code (i.e., the framework). Thus, it is not possible to easily reuse an algorithm in more than one system. The TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard is intended to clearly define this line in such a way that performance is not sacrificed and algorithm reusability is significantly enhanced.

1.5.1 Frameworks

Frameworks often define a device independent I/O sub-system and specify how essential algorithms interact with this sub-system. For example, does the algorithm call functions to request data or does the framework call the algorithm with data buffers to process? Frameworks also define the degree of modularity within the application; i.e., what components can be replaced, added, removed, and when can components be replaced (compile time, link time, or real-time)?
Even within the telephony application space, there are a number of different frameworks available and each is optimized for a particular application segment; e.g., large volume client-side products and low volume high-density server-side products. Given the large number of incompatibilities between these various frameworks and the fact that each framework has enjoyed success in the market, this standard does not make any significant requirements of a framework.

1.5.2 Algorithms

Careful inspection of the various frameworks in use reveals that, at some level, they all have “algorithm components”. While there are differences in each of the frameworks, the algorithm components share many common attributes.

- Algorithms are C callable
- Algorithms are reentrant
- Algorithms are independent of any particular I/O peripheral
- Algorithms are characterized by their memory and MIPS requirements

In approximately half of the frameworks reviewed, algorithms are also required to simply process data passed to the algorithm. The others assume that the algorithm will actively acquire data by calling framework specific hardware independent I/O functions. In all cases, algorithms are designed to be independent of the I/O peripherals in the system.

In an effort to minimize framework dependencies, this standard requires that algorithms process data that is passed to them via parameters. It seems likely that conversion of an “active” algorithm to one that simply accepts data in the form of parameters is straightforward and little or no loss of performance will be incurred.

Given the similarities between the various frameworks, it seems possible to standardize at the level of the algorithm. Moreover, there is real benefit to the framework vendors and system integrators to this standardization: algorithm integration time will be reduced, it will be possible to easily comparison shop for the “best” algorithm, and more algorithms will be available.

It is important to realize that each particular implementation of, say a speech detector, represents a complex set of engineering trade-offs between code size, data size, MIPS, and quality. Moreover, depending on the system designed, the system integrator may prefer an algorithm with lower quality and smaller footprint to one with higher quality detection and larger footprint; e.g., an electronic toy doll verses a corporate voice mail system. Thus, multiple im-
implementations of exactly the same algorithm sometimes make sense; there is no single best implementation of many algorithms.

Unfortunately, the system integrator is often faced with choosing all algorithms from a single vendor to ensure compatibility between the algorithms and to minimize the overhead of managing disparate APIs. Moreover, no single algorithm vendor has all the algorithms for all their customers. The system integrator is, therefore, faced with having to chose a vendor that has “most” of the required algorithms and negotiate with that vendor to implement the remaining DSP algorithms.

By enabling system integrators to “plug-replace” one algorithm for another, we reduce the time to market because the system integrator can chose algorithms from multiple vendors. We effectively create a huge catalog of inter-operable parts from which any system can be built.

1.5.3 Core Run-Time Support

In order to enable algorithms to satisfy the minimum requirements of reentrancy, I/O peripheral independence, and debuggability, algorithms must rely on a core set of services that are always present. Since most algorithms are still produced using assembly language, many of the services provided by the core must be accessible and appropriate for assembly language.

The core run-time support includes a subset of DSP/BiOS 1.1 together with some possible additions to support atomic modification of control/status registers (to set the overflow mode, for example). It also includes a subset of the standard C language run-time support libraries; e.g., memcpy, strcpy, etc. The run-time support is described in detail in Appendix B of this document.
In this chapter, we develop programming guidelines that apply to all algorithms on all DSP architectures regardless of application area.

Almost all recently developed software modules follow these common sense guidelines already, so this chapter just formalizes them. In addition to these guidelines, we also develop a general model of data memory that enables applications to efficiently manage an algorithm’s memory requirements.
2.1 Use of C Language

All algorithms will follow the run-time conventions imposed by the C programming language. This ensures that the system integrator is free to use C to “bind” various algorithms together, control the flow of data between algorithms, and interact with other processors in the system easily.

**Rule 1**

All algorithms must follow the run-time conventions imposed by TI’s implementation of the C programming language.

It is very important to note that this does not mean that algorithms must be written in the C language. Algorithms may be implemented entirely in assembly language. They must, however, be callable from the C language and respect the C language run time conventions. Most significant algorithms are not implemented as a single function; like any sophisticated software, they are composed of many interrelated internal functions. Again, it is important to note that these internal functions do not need to follow the C language conventions; only the top-most interfaces must obey the C language conventions. On the other hand, these internal functions must be careful not to cause the top-most function to violate the C run-time conventions; e.g., no called function may use a word on the stack with interrupts enabled without first updating the stack pointer.
2.2 Threads and Reentrancy

Because of the variety of frameworks available for DSP systems, there are many differing types of threads and, therefore, reentrancy requirements. In this section, we try to precisely define the types of threads supported by this standard and the reentrancy requirements of algorithms.

2.2.1 Threads

A thread is an encapsulation of the flow of control in a program. Most people are accustomed to writing single-threaded programs—that is, programs that only execute one path through their code “at a time”. Multi-threaded programs may have several threads running through different code paths “simultaneously”.

Why are some phrases above in quotes? In a typical multi-threaded program, zero or more threads may actually be running at any one time. This depends on the number of CPUs in the system in which the process is running, and on how the thread system is implemented. A system with \( n \) CPUs can, intuitively enough, run no more than \( n \) threads in parallel, but it may give the appearance of running many more than \( n \) “simultaneously”, by sharing the CPUs among threads. The most common case being that of \( n \) equal to one; i.e., a single CPU running all the threads of an application.

Why are threads interesting? An OS or framework can schedule them, relieving the developer of an individual thread from having to know about all the other threads in the system. In a multi-CPU system, communicating threads can be moved among the CPUs to maximize system performance without having to modify the application code. In the more common case of a single CPU, the ability to create multi-threaded applications allows the CPU to be used more effectively; while one thread is waiting for data another can be processing data.

Virtually all DSP systems are multi-threaded; even the simplest systems consist of a main program and one or more hardware interrupt service routines. Additionally, many DSP systems are designed to manage multiple “channels” or “ports”; i.e., they perform the same processing for two or more independent data streams.

2.2.2 Preemptive vs. Non-preemptive Multitasking

Non-preemptive multitasking relies on each thread to voluntarily relinquish control to the operating system before letting another thread execute. This is usually done by requiring threads to periodically call an operating system function, say `yield()`, to allow another thread to take control of the CPU or by simply
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requiring all threads to complete within a specified short period. In a non-preemptive multi-threading environment, the amount of time a thread is allowed to run is determined by the thread, whereas in a preemptive environment, the time is determined by the operating system and the entire set of tasks that are ready to run.

Note that the difference between those two flavors of multi-threading can be a very big one; for example, under a non-preemptive system, you can safely assume that no other thread executes while a particular algorithm processes data using on-chip data memory. Under preemptive execution, this is not true because a thread may be preempted while it is in the middle of processing. Thus, if your application relies on the assumption that things do not change in the middle of processing some data, it might break under a preemptive execution scheme.

Since preemptive systems are designed to preserve the state of a preempted thread and restore it when its execution continues, threads can safely assume that most registers and all of the thread’s data memory remain unchanged. What would cause an application to fail? Any assumptions related to the maximum amount of time that can elapse between any two instructions, the state of any global system resource such as a data cache, or the state of a global variable accessed by multiple threads can cause an application to fail in a preemptive environment.

Non-preemptive environments incur less overhead and often result in higher performance systems; for example, data caches are much more effective in non-preemptive systems since each thread can control when preemption (and therefore, cache flushing) will occur.

On the other hand, non-preemptive environments require that either each thread complete within a specified maximum amount of time or explicitly relinquish control of the CPU to the framework (or operating system) at some minimum periodic rate. By itself, this is not a problem since most DSP threads are periodic with real-time deadlines. However, this minimum rate is a function of the other threads in the system and, consequently, non-preemptive threads are not completely independent of one another; they must be sensitive to the scheduling requirements of the other threads in the system. Thus, systems that are by their nature multi-rate and multi-channel often require preemption; otherwise, all of the algorithms used would have to be re-written whenever a new algorithm is added to the system.

If we want all algorithms to be framework independent, we must either define a framework neutral way for algorithms to relinquish control or assume that algorithms used in a non-preemptive environment always complete in less than the required maximum scheduling latency time. Since we require documenta-
tion of worst case execution times, it is possible for system integrators to quickly determine if an algorithm will cause a non-preemptive system to violate its scheduling latency requirements. Thus, the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard does not define a framework neutral "yield" operation for algorithms.

Since algorithms can be used in both preemptive and non-preemptive environments, it is important that all algorithms be designed to support both. This means that algorithms should minimize the maximum time that they can delay other algorithms in a non-preemptive system.

2.2.3 Reentrancy

Reentrancy is the attribute of a program or routine that allows the same copy of the program or routine to be used concurrently by two or more threads.

Reentrancy is an extremely valuable property for functions. In multi-channel systems, for example, any function that can be invoked as part of one channel's processing must be reentrant; otherwise, that function would not be usable for other channels. In single channel multi-rate systems, any function that must be used at two different rates must be reentrant; for example, a general digital filter function used for both echo cancellation and pre-emphasis for a vocoder. Unfortunately, it is not always easy to determine if a function is reentrant.

The definition of reentrant code often implies that the code does not retain "state" information. That is if you invoke the code with the same data at different times, by the same or other thread, it will yield the same results. This is not always true, however. How can a function maintain state and still be reentrant? Consider the rand() function. Perhaps a better example is a function with state that protects that state by disabling scheduling around its critical sections. These examples illustrate some of the subtleties of reentrant programming.

The property of being reentrant is a function of the threading model; after all, before you can determine whether multiple threads can use a particular function, you must know what types of threads are possible in a system. For example, if threads are not preemptive, a function may freely use global variables if it uses them for scratch storage only; i.e., it does not assume these variables have any values upon entry to the function. In a preemptive environment, however, use of these global variables must be protected by a critical section or they must be part of the context of every thread that uses them.

Although there are exceptions, reentrancy usually requires that algorithms:

- only modify data on the stack or in an instance "object"
- treat global and static variables as read-only data
never employ self modifying code

These rules can sometimes be relaxed by disabling all interrupts (and therefore, disabling all thread scheduling) around the critical sections that violate the rules above. Since algorithms are not permitted to directly manipulate the interrupt state of the processor, the DSP/BIOS must be used to create these critical sections.

**Rule 2**

All algorithms must be reentrant within a preemptive environment (including time-sliced preemption).

### 2.2.4 Example

In the remainder of this section we consider several implementations of a simple algorithm, digital filtering of an input speech data stream, and show how it can be made reentrant and maintain acceptable levels of performance. It is important to note that, although these examples are written in C, the principles and techniques apply equally well to assembly language implementations.

Speech signals are often passed through a pre-emphasis filter to flatten their spectrum prior to additional processing. Pre-emphasis of a signal can be accomplished by applying the following difference equation to the input data:

\[ y_n = x_n - x_{n-1} + \frac{13}{32} \zeta x_{n-2} \]

The following implementation is not reentrant because it references and updates the global variables \( z_0 \) and \( z_1 \). Even in a non-preemptive environment, this function is not reentrant; it is not possible to use this function to operate on more than one data stream since it retains state for a particular data stream in two fixed variables (\( z_0 \) and \( z_1 \)).

```c
int z0 = 0, z1 = 0;  /* previous input values */

void PRE_filter(int input[], int length) {
    int i, tmp;
    for (i = 0; i < length; i++) {
        tmp = input[i] - z0 + (13 * z1 + 16) / 32;
        z1 = z0;
        z0 = input[i];
        input[i] = tmp;
    }
}
```
We can make this function reentrant by requiring the caller to supply previous values as arguments to the function. This way, PRE_filter1 no longer references any global data and can be used, therefore, on any number of distinct input data streams.

```c
void PRE_filter1(int input[], int length, int *z)
{
    int i, tmp;

    for (i = 0; i < length; i++) {
        tmp = input[i] - z[0] + (13 * z[1] + 16) / 32;
        z[1] = z[0];
        z[0] = input[i];
        input[i] = tmp;
    }
}
```

This technique of replacing references to global data with references to parameters illustrates a general technique that can be used to make virtually any code reentrant. One simply defines a “state object” that contains all of the state necessary for the algorithm and a pointer to this state is passed to the algorithm (along with the input and output data).

```c
typedef struct PRE_Obj { /* state obj for pre-emphasis alg */
    int z0;
    int z1;
} PRE_Obj;

void PRE_filter2(PRE_Obj *pre, int input[], int length)
{
    int i, tmp;

    for (i = 0; i < length; i++) {
        tmp = input[i] - pre->z0 + (13 * pre->z1 + 16) / 32;
        pre->z1 = pre->z0;
        pre->z0 = input[i];
        input[i] = tmp;
    }
}
```

Although the C code looks more complicated than our original implementation, its performance is comparable, it is fully reentrant, and its performance can be configured on a “per data object” basis. Since each state object can be placed in any data memory, it is possible to place some objects in on-chip memory and others in external memory. The pointer to the state object is, in effect, the function’s private “data page pointer”; all of the function’s data can be efficiently accessed by a constant offset from this pointer.

Notice that while performance is comparable to our original implementation, it is slightly larger and slower because of the state object redirection; directly referencing global data is often more efficient than referencing data via an ad-
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dress register. On the other hand, the decrease in efficiency can usually be factored out of the time critical loop and into the loop setup code. Thus, the incremental performance cost is minimal and the benefit is that this same code can be used in virtually any system—indeed, independent of whether the system must support a single channel or multiple channels, or whether it is preemptive or non-preemptive.

“We should forget about small efficiencies, say about 97% of the time: premature optimization is the root of all evil”—Donald Knuth “Structured Programming with go to Statements,” Computing Surveys, Vol. 6, No. 4, December, 1974, page 268.
2.3 Data Memory

The large performance difference between on-chip data memory and off-chip memory (even 0 wait-state SRAM) is so large that every algorithm vendor designs their code to operate as much as possible within the on-chip memory. Since the performance gap is expected to increase dramatically in the next 3-5 years, this trend will continue for the foreseeable future. The TMS320C6000 series, for example, incurs a 25 wait state penalty for external SDRAM data memory access and future processors may see this penalty increase to 80 or even 100 wait states!

While the amount of on-chip data memory may be adequate for each algorithm in isolation, the increased number of MIPS available on modern DSPs encourages systems to perform multiple algorithms concurrently with a single chip. Thus, some mechanism must be provided to efficiently share this precious resource among algorithm components from one or more third parties.

2.3.1 Memory Spaces

In an ideal DSP, there would be an unlimited amount of on-chip memory and algorithms would simply always use this memory. In practice, however, the amount of on-chip memory is very limited and there are even two common types of on-chip memory with very different performance characteristics: dual-access memory which allows simultaneous read and write operations in a single instruction cycle, and single access memory that only allows a single access per instruction cycle.

Because of these practical considerations, most DSP algorithms are designed to operate with a combination of on-chip and external memory. This works well when there is sufficient on-chip memory for all the algorithms that need to operate concurrently; the system developer simply dedicates portions of on-chip memory to each algorithm. It is important, however, that no algorithm assume specific region of on-chip memory or contain any “hard coded” addresses; otherwise the system developer will not be able to optimally allocate the on-chip memory among all algorithms.

**Rule 3**

Algorithm data references must be fully relocatable (subject to alignment requirements). That is, there must be no “hard-coded” data memory locations.

Note that algorithms can directly access data contained in a static data structure located by the linker. This rule only requires that all such references be done symbolically; i.e., via a relocatable label rather than a fixed numerical address.
In systems where the set of algorithms is not known in advance or when there is insufficient on-chip memory for the worst case working set of algorithms, more sophisticated management of this precious resource is required. In particular, we need to describe how the on-chip memory can be shared at run-time among an arbitrary number of algorithms.

### 2.3.2 Scratch verses Persistent

In this section, we develop a general model for sharing regions of memory among algorithms. This model is used to share the on-chip memory of a DSP, for example. This model is essentially a generalization of the technique commonly used by compilers to share CPU registers among functions. Compilers often partition the CPU registers into two groups: “scratch” and “preserve”. Scratch registers can be freely used by a function without having to preserve their value upon return from the function. Preserve registers, on the other hand, must be saved prior to being modified and restored prior to returning from the function. By partitioning the register set in this way, significant optimizations are possible; functions do not need to save and restore scratch registers and callers do not need to save preserve registers prior to calling a function and restore them after the return.

Consider the program execution trace of an application that calls two distinct functions, say a() and b().

```c
void main()
{
    /* use scratch registers r1 and r2 */

    /* call function a() */
    a()
    {
        /* use scratch registers r0, r1, and r2 */
    }

    /* call function b() */
    b()
    {
        /* use scratch registers r0 and r1 */
    }
}
```

Notice that both a() and b() freely use some of the same scratch registers and no saving and restoring of these registers is necessary. This is possible because both functions, a() and b(), agree on the set of scratch registers and that values in these registers are indeterminate at the beginning of each function.

By analogy, we partition all memory into two groups: scratch and persistent.

- Scratch memory is memory that is freely used by an algorithm without regard to its prior contents, i.e., no assumptions about the content can be made by the algorithm and the algorithm is free to leave it in any state.
Persistent memory is used to store state information while an algorithm instance is not executing.

Persistent memory is any area of memory that an algorithm can write to assume that the contents are unchanged between successive invocations of the algorithm within an application. All physical memory has this behavior, but applications that share memory among multiple algorithms may opt to overwrite some regions of memory (e.g., on-chip DARAM). The importance of making a distinction between scratch memory and persistent memory is illustrated in the following figure.

**Figure 2–1. Scratch vs Persistent Memory Allocation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm A</th>
<th>Scratch</th>
<th>Persistent A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm B</td>
<td>Scratch</td>
<td>Persistent B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm C</td>
<td>Scratch</td>
<td>Persistent C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Memory</td>
<td>Scratch</td>
<td>Persistent A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All algorithm scratch memory can be "overlaid" on the same physical memory. Without the distinction between scratch and persistent memory, it would be necessary to strictly partition memory among algorithms making the total memory requirement the sum of all algorithms’ memory requirements. On the other hand, by making the distinction, the total memory requirement for a collection of algorithms is the sum of each algorithms persistent memory plus the maximum scratch memory requirement of any of these algorithms.

**Guideline 1**

Algorithms should minimize their persistent data memory requirements in favor of scratch memory.

In addition to the types of memory described above, there are often several memory spaces provided by a DSP to algorithms.

- **Dual-access memory (DARAM)** is on-chip memory that allows two simultaneous accesses in a single instruction cycle.
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- **Single-access memory (SARAM)** is on-chip memory that allows only a single access per instruction cycle.

- **External memory** is memory that is external to the DSP and may require more than zero wait states per access.

These memory spaces are often treated very differently by algorithm implementations; in order to optimize performance, frequently accessed data is placed in on-chip memory, for example. The scratch versus persistent attribute of a block of memory is independent of the memory space. Thus, there are six distinct memory classes; scratch and persistent for each of the three memory spaces described above.

### 2.3.3 Algorithm verses Application

Other than a memory block’s size, alignment, and memory space, three independent questions must be answered before a client can properly manage a block of an algorithm’s data memory.

- Is the block of memory treated as scratch or persistent by the algorithm?
- Is the block of memory shared by more than one algorithm?
- Do the algorithms that share the block preempt one another?

The first question is determined by the implementation of the algorithm; the algorithm must be written with assumptions about the contents of certain memory buffers. We’ve argued that there is significant benefit to distinguish between scratch memory and persistent memory, but it is up to the algorithm implementation to trade the benefits of increasing scratch and decreasing persistent memory against the potential performance overhead incurred by re-computing intermediate results.

The second two questions, regarding sharing and preemption, can only be answered by the client of an standard–compliant algorithm. The client decides whether preemption is required for the system and the client allocates all memory. Thus, only the client “knows” whether memory is shared among algorithms. Some frameworks, for example, never share any allocated memory among algorithms whereas others always share scratch memory.

There is a special type of persistent memory managed by clients of algorithms that is worth distinguishing: **shadow** memory is unshared persistent memory that is used to “shadow” or save the contents of shared registers and memory in a system. Shadow memory is not used by algorithms; it is used by their clients to save the memory regions shared by various algorithms.

The following figure illustrates the relationship between the various types of memory.
Figure 2–2. Data Memory Types
2.4 Program Memory

Like the data memory requirements described in the previous section, it is important that all standard-compliant algorithms are fully relocatable; i.e., there should never be any assumption about the specific placement of an algorithm at a particular address. Alignment on a specified page size is permitted, however.

**Rule 4**

All algorithm code must be fully relocatable. That is, there can be no “hard coded” program memory locations.

As with the data memory requirements, this rule only requires that code be relocated via a linker. For example, it is not necessary to always use PC-relative branches. This requirement allows the system developer to optimally allocate program space to the various algorithms in the system.

Algorithm modules sometimes require initialization code that must be executed prior to any other algorithm method being used by a client. Often this code is only run once during the lifetime of an application. This code is effectively “dead” once it has been run at startup. The space allocated for this code can be reused in many systems by placing the “run-once” code in data memory and using the data memory during algorithm operation.

A similar situation occurs in “finalization” code. Debug versions of algorithms, for example, sometimes implement functions that when called when a system exits can provide valuable debug information; e.g., the existence of objects or objects that have not been properly deleted. Since many systems are designed to never exit (i.e., exit by power-off), finalization code should be placed in a separate object module. This allows the system integrator to avoid including code that can never be executed.

**Guideline 2**

Each initialization and finalization function should be defined in a separate object module; these modules must not contain any other code.

In some cases, it is awkward to place each function in a separate file. Doing so may require making some identifiers globally visible or require significant changes to an existing code base. The TI C compiler supports a pragma directive that allows one to place specified functions in distinct COFF output sections. This pragma directive may be used in lieu of placing functions in separate files. The table below summarizes recommended section names and their purpose.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Name</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.text:init</td>
<td>Run-once initialization code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.text:exit</td>
<td>Run-once finalization code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.text:create</td>
<td>Run-time object creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.text:delete</td>
<td>Run-time object deletion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 ROM-ability

There are several “addressing modes” used by algorithms to access data memory. Sometimes the data is referenced by a pointer to a buffer passed to the algorithm and sometimes an algorithm simply references global variables directly. When an algorithm references global data directly, the instruction that operates on the data often contains the address of the data (rather than an offset from a data page register, for example). Thus, this code cannot be placed in a ROM without also requiring that the referenced data be placed in a fixed location in a system. If a module has configuration parameters that result in variable length data structures and these structures are directly referenced, such code is not considered ROM-able; the offsets in the code are fixed and the relative positions of the data references may change.

Alternatively, algorithm code can be structured to always use offsets from a “data page” for all fixed length references and place a pointer in this page to any variable length structures. In this case, it is possible to configure and locate the data anywhere in the system provided the data page is appropriately set.

Rule 5

Algorithms must characterize their ROM-ability; i.e., state whether they are ROM-able or not.

Obviously, self-modifying code is also not ROM-able. Note that we do not require that no algorithm employ self-modifying code; we only require documentation of the ROM-ability of an algorithm. It is also worth pointing out that if self-modifying code is used, it must be done “atomically”, i.e. with all interrupts disabled; otherwise this code would fail to be reentrant.
2.6 Use of Peripherals

In order to ensure the interoperability of standard–compliant algorithms, it is important that no algorithm ever directly access any peripheral device.

**Rule 6**

Algorithms must never directly access any peripheral device. This includes but is not limited to on-chip DMAs, timers, I/O devices, and cache control registers.

In order for an algorithm to be framework independent, it is important that no algorithm directly call any device interface to read or write data. All data produced or consumed by an algorithm must be explicitly passed to the algorithm by the client. For example, no algorithm should call a device independent I/O library function to get data; this is the responsibility of the client or framework.
In this chapter, we develop additional guidelines that apply to all algorithms on all DSP architectures regardless of application area.

These guidelines enable many of the benefits normally associated with object-oriented and component-based programming but with little or no overhead. More importantly, these guidelines are necessary to enable two different algorithms to be integrated into a single application without modifying the source code of the algorithms. The rules include naming conventions to prevent duplicate external name conflicts, a uniform method for initializing algorithms, and specification of a uniform data memory management mechanism.
3.1 Interfaces and Modules

This section describes the general structure of the most basic software component of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard application—the module. Since all standard-compliant algorithms are implemented as modules, this section describes the design elements common to all of them. This structure is designed to encourage both modular coding practices and reentrant implementations.

A module is an implementation of one (or more) interfaces. An interface is simply a collection of related type definitions, functions, constants, and variables. In the C language, an interface is typically specified by a header file. It is important to note that not all modules implement algorithms, but all algorithm implementations must be modules. For example, the DSP/BIOS is a collection of modules and none of these are standard-compliant algorithms.

Following the conventions of the DSP/BIOS APIs, all modules:

- Provide a single header that defines the *entire* interface to the module
- Implement a module initialization and finalization method
- Optionally manage one or more “instance” objects of a single type
- Optionally declare a “Config” structure defining module-wide configuration options

Suppose we create a module called FIR, which consists of a collection of functions that create and apply finite impulse response filters to a data stream. The interface to this module is declared in the single C header file fir.h; any application that wants to use the functions provided by the FIR module must include the header fir.h. Although the interface is declared as a C header file, the module may be implemented entirely in assembly language (or a mix of both C and assembly).
Since interfaces may build atop other interfaces, it is important that all header files allow for the possibility that they might be included more than once by a client.

**Rule 7**

All header files must support multiple inclusions within a single source file.

The general technique for insuring this behavior for C header files is illustrated in the code below.

```c
/*
 * ======== fir.h ========
 */
#ifndef FIR_
#define FIR_
0
#endif /* FIR_ */
```
A similar technique should be employed for assembly language headers.

```assembly
; == fir.h54 ==
; .if ($isdefined("FIR_") = 0)
FIR_ .set 1
0
 .endif
```

### 3.1.1 External Identifiers

Since multiple algorithms and system control code are often integrated into a single executable, the only external identifiers defined by an algorithm implementation (i.e., symbols in the object code) should be those specified by the algorithm API definition. Unfortunately, due to limitations of traditional linkers, it is sometimes necessary for an identifier to have external scope even though this identifier is not part of the algorithm API. Thus, in order to avoid namespace collisions, it is important that vendor selected names do not conflict.

---

#### Rule 8

All external definitions must be either API identifiers or API and vendor prefixed.

All external identifiers defined by a module’s implementation must be prefixed by "<module>_<vendor>_", where

- **<module>** is the name of the module (containing characters from the set [A-Z0-9]),
- **<vendor>** is the name of the vendor (containing characters from the set [A-Z0-9]).

For example, TI’s implementation of the FIR module must only contain external identifiers of the form FIR_TI_[a-zA-Z0-9]*. On the other hand, external identifiers that are common to all implementations do not have the “vendor” component of the name. For example, if the FIR module interface defined a constant structure that is used by all implementations, its name simply has the form FIR_[A-Z0-9]*.

In addition to the symbols defined by a module, we must also standardize the symbols referenced by all modules.

---

#### Rule 9

All modules must follow the naming conventions of the DSP/BIOS for those external declarations disclosed to the client.
3.1.2 Naming Conventions

In order to simplify the way standard-compliant client code is written, it is valuable to maintain a single consistent naming convention. Since the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard is intended to be used in systems that incorporate DSP/BIOS, it is required that, in addition to being properly prefixed (Rule 8), all external declarations disclose to the user must first conform to the naming conventions of DSP/BIOS.

**Rule 10**

All modules must follow the naming conventions of DSP/BIOS for those external declarations disclosed to the client.

Note that the naming conventions only apply to external identifiers. Internal names and existing code need not change unless an identifier is externally visible to a client application. The DSP/BIOS naming conventions are summarized in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables and functions</td>
<td>Variables and functions begin with lowercase (after the prefix).</td>
<td>LOG_printf()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constants</td>
<td>Constants are all uppercase</td>
<td>G729_FRAME-LEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types</td>
<td>Data types are in title case (after the prefix)</td>
<td>LOG_Obj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure fields</td>
<td>Structure fields begin with lowercase</td>
<td>buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macros</td>
<td>Macros follow the conventions of constants or functions as appropriate</td>
<td>LOG_getbuf()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to these conventions, it is important that multi-word identifiers never use the '_' character to separate the words. To improve readability use title case; for example, LOG_getBuffer() should be used in lieu of LOG_get_buffer(). This avoids ambiguity when parsing module and vendor prefixes.

3.1.3 Module Initialization and Finalization

Before a module can be used by an application, it must first be “initialized”; i.e., the module’s init() method must be run. Similarly, when an application terminates, any module that was initialized must be “finalized”; i.e., its exit() method must be executed. Initialization methods are often used to initialize global data.
used by the module that, due to limitations of the C language, cannot be statically initialized. Finalization methods are often used to perform run-time debug assertions; for example, it might check for objects that were created but never deleted. The finalization method of a non-debug version of a module is often the empty function.

Although some modules have no need for initialization or finalization, it is easier for the clients of modules to assume that all modules have them. This allows frameworks to easily implement well-defined startup and shutdown sequences, for example.

**Rule 11**
All modules must supply an initialization and finalization method.

### 3.1.4 Module Instance Objects

Modules optionally manage instance objects. All TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard modules manage instance objects. Objects simply encapsulate the persistent state that is manipulated by the other functions or methods provided by the module.

A module manages only one type of object. Thus, a module that manages objects roughly corresponds to a C++ class that follows a standard naming convention for its configuration parameters, interface header, and all external identifiers.

**Figure 3–2. Module Object Creation**

![FIR_Config FIR; FIR_init(); FIR_exit(); FIR_create();](image)

Figure 3–3 illustrates an object appropriate for a finite impulse response filter implemented by a module named FIR.
3.1.5 Design-time Object Creation

Many embedded systems are very static in nature; memory, MIPS, and I/O peripherals are statically partitioned among a fixed set of functions that operate continuously until power is removed. Static systems admit a number of performance optimizations that simply are not possible in dynamic systems. For example, there is no need for a memory manager in a static system and general data structures, such as linked lists, can be often replaced with much simpler and more efficient structures, such as fixed length arrays. These optimizations not only reduce the system’s code size requirements; they may also have a significant effect on the execution performance of the system.

When designing a system that is very cost sensitive, must operate with limited power, or has limited MIPS, designers look for portions of the system that can be fixed at design time (i.e., made static). Even if the entire system cannot be static, often certain sub-systems can be fixed at design time. It is important, therefore, that all modules efficiently support static system designs.

**Guideline 3**

All modules that support object creation should support design-time object creation.

In practice, this simply means that all functions that are only required for runtime object creation be placed either in separate compilation units or separate COFF output sections that can be manipulated by the linker. Ideally, every function should be in a separate compilation unit. This allows the system integrator to eliminate runtime support that is unnecessary for a static system.
3.1.6  Run-time Object Creation and Deletion

Modules may optionally support run-time object creation and deletion. In some applications, run-time creation and deletion is a requirement. Without the ability to remove unneeded objects and reuse memory, the physical constraints of the system make it impossible to create rich multi-function applications, for example.

Run-time creation of objects is valuable even in systems that do not support or require run-time deletion of these objects. The precise nature of the objects, the number of objects, and even the type of objects created may be a function of parameters that are only available at run-time. For example, one may want to create a single program that works in a variety of hardware platforms that differ in the amount of memory available and this amount is determinable at run-time.

Guideline 4

All modules that support object creation should support run-time object creation.

Note that the standard-compliant algorithms are a special type of module. When we define algorithms below, we will see how algorithms support run-time object creation. The guideline above is intended to cover modules such as those that make up the Core Run-time Support as well as the standard-compliant algorithms.

3.1.7  Module Configuration

In an ideal world, a module that implements an API can be used in any system that requires the API. As a practical matter, however, every module implementation must make trade-offs among a variety of performance metrics; program size, data size, MIPS, and a variety of application specific metrics such as recognition accuracy, perceived audio quality, and throughput, for example. Thus, a single implementation of an API is unlikely to make the right set of tradeoffs for all applications.

It is important, therefore, that multiple implementations of the same API be well supported by any TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard development framework. In addition, each module has one or more “global configuration” parameters that can be set at design time by the system integrator to adjust the behavior of the module to be optimal for its execution environment.

Suppose for example, that one created a module that implements digital filters. There are several special cases for digital filters that have significant perfor-
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Performance differences; all-pole, all-zero, and pole-zero filters. Moreover, for TI architectures, if one assumes that the filter’s data buffers are aligned on certain boundaries the implementation can take advantage of special data addressing modes and significantly reduce the time required to complete the computation. A filter module may include a global configuration parameter that specifies that the system will only use all-zero filters with aligned data. By making this a design-time global configuration parameter, systems that are willing to accept constraints in their use of the API are rewarded by smaller faster operation of the module that implements the API.

Modules that have one or more “global” configuration parameters should group them together into a C structure, called XYZ_Config, and declare this structure in the module’s header. In addition, the module should declare a static constant structure named XYZ of type XYZ_Config that contains the module’s current configuration parameters.

3.1.8 Example Module

This section develops a very simple module to illustrate the concept of modules and how they might be implemented in the C language. This module implements a simple FIR filter.

The first two operations that must be supported by all modules are the init() and exit() functions. The init() function is called during system startup while the exit() function is called during system shutdown. These entry points exist to allow the module to perform any run-time initialization necessary for the module as a whole. More often than not, these functions have nothing to do and are simply empty functions.

```c
void FIR_init(void)
{
}

void FIR_exit(void)
{
}
```

The create entry point creates and initializes an object; i.e., a C structure. The object encapsulates all the state necessary for the other functions to do their work. All of the other module entry points are passed a pointer to this object as their first argument. If the functions only reference data that is part of the
object (or referenced within the object), the functions will naturally be reen- 
trant.

```c
typedef FIR_Params {
    int frameLen;     /* input/output frame length */
    int *coeff;       /* pointer to filter coefficients */
} FIR_Params;

FIR_Params FIR_PARAMS = { 64, NULL }; /* default parameters */

typedef struct FIR_Obj {
    int hist[16];   /* previous input value */
    int frameLen;   /* input frame length */
    int *coeff;
} FIR_Obj;

FIR_Handle FIR_create(FIR_Obj *fir, const FIR_Params *params)
{
    if (fir != NULL) {
        if (params == NULL) { /* use defaults if params is NULL */
            params = &FIR_PARAMS;
        }
        fir->frameLen = params->frameLen;
        fir->coeff = params->coeff;
        memset(fir->hist, 0, sizeof (fir->hist));
    }
    return (fir);
}

The delete entry point should release any resource held by the object being de- 
leted and should gracefully handle the deletion of partially constructed objects; 
the delete entry point may be called by the create operation. In this case, there 
is nothing to do.

```c
void FIR_delete(FIR_Handle fir)
{
}
```

Finally, the FIR module must provide a method for filtering a signal. This is ac- 
complished via the apply operation shown below.

```c
void FIR_apply(FIR_Handle fir, int in[], int out[])
{
    int i;

    /* filter data using coefficients fir->coeff and 
     * history fir->hist */
    for (i = 0; i < fir->frameLen; i++) {
        out[i] = filter(in[i], fir->coeff, fir->hist);
    }
}
```

Of course, in a real FIR module, the filter operation would be implemented in 
assembly language. However, because the state necessary to compute the
algorithm is entirely contained in the object pointed to by \texttt{fir}, this algorithm is reentrant. Thus, it is easy to use this module in multichannel applications or in single-channel applications which require more than one FIR filter.

### 3.1.9 Multiple Interface Support

Modern component programming models support the ability of a single component to implement more than one interface. This allows a single component to be used concurrently by a variety of different applications. For example, in addition to a component’s concrete interface (defined by its header) a component might also support a debug interface that allows debuggers to inquire about the existence and extent of the component’s debug capabilities. If all debuggable components implement a common abstract debug interface, debuggers can be written that can uniformly debug arbitrary components.

Support for multiple interfaces is generally incorporated into the development environment (via code wizards), the programming language itself, or both. Since this standard is intended to only require the C language, the ability of a module to support multiple interfaces is at best awkward.

However, several significant benefits make this approach worthwhile. A vendor may opt to not implement certain interfaces for some components, new interfaces can be defined without affecting existing components, multiple implementations of the same interface may be present in a single system, and partitioning a large interface into multiple simpler interfaces makes it easier to understand the component as a whole.

As stated before, interfaces are defined by header files; each header defines a single interface. A module’s header file defines a \textit{concrete} interface; the functions defined in the header uniquely identify a specific (or concrete) implementation within a system. A special type of interface header is used to define \textit{abstract} interfaces; abstract interfaces define functions that are implemented by more than one module in a system. An abstract interface header is identical to a normal module interface header except that it declares a structure of function pointers named \texttt{XYZ_Fxns}. A module \texttt{ABC} is said to implement an abstract interface \texttt{XYZ} if it declares and initializes a static structure of type \texttt{XYZ_Fxns} named \texttt{ABC_XYZ}.

The TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference contains all of the abstract interface definitions for standard-compliant algorithms. All standard-compliant algorithm modules, for example, must implement the \texttt{IALG} interface. Appendix A of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference document contains an example of a module that implements the \texttt{IALG} interface.

By convention, all abstract interface headers begin with the letter ‘\texttt{i}’. Where there is no chance for confusion, we drop the adjective “concrete” and “abstract” when referring to a module’s interfaces.
3.1.10 Interface Inheritance

Although all standard-compliant algorithms implement the IALG interface, it is important to note that almost all of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard modules must implement a more specific algorithm interface; i.e., they must implement all of the IALG functions as well as methods specific to the algorithm. For example, a G.729 encoder algorithm must not only implement IALG but it must also implement an “encode” function that is specific to the G.729 algorithm.

In this common case—where we want to define a new interface that requires additional methods beyond those defined by IALG—we define a new interface that “derives from” or “inherits from” the IALG interface. Interface inheritance is implemented by simply defining the new interface’s “Fxns” structure so that its first field is the “Fxns” structure from which the interface is inherited. Thus, any pointer to the new interface’s “Fxns” structure can be treated as a pointer to the inherited interface’s “Fxns” structure.

In the case of the G.729 encoder algorithm, this simply means that the first field of the G729E_Fxns structure is an IALG_Fxns structure. This ensures that any G.729 encoder implementation can be treated as a “generic” standard-compliant algorithm.

All interfaces, including those not currently part of the TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard, that extend IALG should employ the same technique. The abstract IFIR interface example defined in the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference illustrates this technique.

3.1.11 Summary

The previous sections described the structure shared by all modules. Recall that modules are the most basic software component of a standard-compliant system. The following table summarizes the common design elements for a module named XYZ.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_init()</td>
<td>Module initialization and finalization functions</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_exit()</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xyz.h</td>
<td>Module’s interface definition</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_Config</td>
<td>Structure type of all module configuration parameters.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_Params</td>
<td>Constant structure of all module configuration parameters.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_Fxns</td>
<td>Structure type defining all functions necessary to implement the XYZ interface.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next table summarizes the common elements of all modules that manage one or more instance objects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>struct XYZ_Obj</td>
<td>Module’s object definition; normally not defined in the module’s header.</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_Handle</td>
<td>Handle to an instance object; synonym for struct XYZ_Obj *</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_Params</td>
<td>Structure type of all module object creation parameters</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_PARAMS</td>
<td>Constant structure of all default object creation parameters</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_create()</td>
<td>Run-time creation and initialization of a module’s object</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ_delete()</td>
<td>Run-time deletion of a module’s object</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Algorithms

TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard algorithms are modules that implement the abstract interface IALG. By this, we mean that the module must declare and initialize a structure of type IALG_Fxns, the structure must have global scope, and its name must be XYZ_IALG, where XYZ is the unique module-vendor prefix described above. The IALG interface allows algorithms to define their memory resource requirements and thereby enable the efficient use of on-chip data memories by client applications. The IALG interface is described in detail in Chapter 1 of the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference.

Not every mathematical function should be cast as a standard-compliant algorithm. In particular, many “traditional” math library operations such as FFT or dot product, which do not maintain state between consecutive operations and do not require internal workspaces to perform their computation, are not good TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard candidates. These algorithms encapsulate larger computations that require internal working memory and typically operate on (conceptually) infinite data streams.

Figure 3–4. Example Implementation of IALG Interface

```
IALG
IALG_Fxns

FIR
IALG

FIR_Config FIR;
FIR_init();
FIR_exit();
FIR_Fxcs FIR_IALG;
```

The IALG interface defines a “protocol” between the client and the algorithm used to create an algorithm instance object at run-time. The IALG interface is designed to enable clients to use the algorithm in virtually any execution environment; i.e., preemptive and non-preemptive, static and dynamic systems. Thus, it is important that standard-compliant algorithms never use any memory allocation routines (including those provided in the standard C runtime support libraries). All memory allocation must be performed by the client.

**Rule 12**

All algorithms must implement the IALG interface.

Since all standard-compliant algorithm implementations are modules that support object creation and all such modules should support design-time object creation, all standard-compliant algorithms support both run-time and design-time creation of algorithm objects. In order to ensure support for design-time
object creation, it is important that all methods defined by the IALG interface be independently relocatable.

**Rule 13**

Each of the IALG methods implemented by an algorithm must be independently relocatable.

In practice, this simply means that each method should either be implemented in a separate file or placed in a separate COFF output section. By placing each of these methods in a separate file or output section, the linker can be used to eliminate those methods that are unnecessary in systems that do not require run-time object creation.

In some cases, it is awkward to place each function in a separate file. Doing so may require making some identifiers globally visible or require significant changes to an existing code base, for example. The TI C compiler supports a pragma directive that allows one to place specified functions in distinct COFF output sections. This pragma directive may be used in lieu of placing functions in separate files. The table below summarizes recommended section names and their purpose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section Name</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.text:algActivate</td>
<td>Implementation of the IALG algActivate method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.text:algAlloc</td>
<td>Implementation of the IALG algAlloc method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.text:&lt;name&gt;</td>
<td>Implementation of the IALG &lt;name&gt; method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In other words, an algorithm’s implementation of the IALG method `<name>` should be placed in a COFF section named “.text:<name>”.

Since the IALG interface does not define methods that can be used to actually run an algorithm, it is important that each abstract algorithm interface extend (or “derive”) from the IALG interface. Thus, every algorithm has considerable flexibility to define the methods that are appropriate for the algorithm. By deriving from IALG, we can ensure that all implementations of any algorithm implement the IALG interface.

**Rule 14**

All abstract algorithm interfaces must derive from the IALG interface.
3.3 Testing and Diagnostics

To improve both the system integration efforts as well as enhance in-field diagnostics, all algorithms should implement the trace and diagnostic interface IRTC.

Guideline 5

All algorithms should implement the trace interface IRTC.

All standard-compliant algorithms must implement the IALG interface. Algorithms may also optionally implement the trace interface, IRTC.
3.4 Packaging

In this section, we cover the details necessary for a developer to bundle a module into a form that can be delivered into any TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard development system. It is important to recall that a module’s implementation may consist of many object files and at least one C header file. By following these conventions, algorithm developers can be sure that their components can be seamlessly integrated into any TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard development environment. Moreover, these conventions are designed to enable TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard development environments to easily manage an arbitrary collection of standard-compliant components.

In many cases, the TMS320DSP Algorithm Standard requirements simply amount to file naming conventions. In order to ensure that a single component can be used in both UNIX and Windows development environments, it is necessary to

- Never create two files whose names only differ in case, and
- Always treat file names as being case-sensitive.

3.4.1 Object Code

Rule 15

Each TMS320 DSP Standard Algorithm must be packaged in an archive which has a name that follows a uniform naming convention.

All of the object code files for a module should be archived into a library with the following name:

```<module><vers>_]<vendor>._<arch>```

where

- `<module>` is the name of the module (containing characters from the set [a-z0-9]),
- `<vers>` is an optional version number of the form v<num> where num consists of characters from the set [0-9],
- `<vendor>` is the name of the vendor (containing characters from the set [a-z0-9]),
- `<arch>` is an identifier indicating the DSP architecture (from the set 30, 40, 50, 54, 54f, 54m, 62, 62e, 67, 67e) These identifiers have the following meanings:
30 – TMS320C30 object files
40 – TMS320C40 object files
50 – TMS320C50 object files
54 – TMS320C5400 near call/return object files
54f – TMS320C5400 far call/return object files
54m – TMS320C5400 mixed call/return object files
62 – TMS320C6200 little endian object files
62e – TMS320C6200 big endian object files
67 – TMS320C6700 little endian object files
67e – TMS320C6700 big endian object files

3.4.2 Header Files

**Rule 16**
Each standard-compliant algorithm header must follow a uniform naming convention.

In addition to the object code implementation of the algorithm, each TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard module includes one or more interface headers. In order to ensure that no name conflicts occur, we must adopt a naming convention for all header files. C language headers should be named as follows:

<module><vers>_<vendor>.h

Assembly language headers should be named as follows:

<module><vers>_<vendor>.h<arch>

3.4.3 Debug Verses Release

A single vendor may produce more than one implementation of an algorithm. For example, a “debug” version may include function parameter checking that incurs undesirable overhead in a “release” version. A vendor may even decide to provide multiple debug or release versions of a single algorithm. Each version may make different tradeoffs between time and space overhead, for example.

In order to easily manage the common case of debug and release versions of the same algorithm within a TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard development environment, it is important to adopt a naming convention that makes it easy
to ensure that a TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard application is built from a uniform set of components. For example, it should be easy to ensure that an application is built entirely from release versions of TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard components.

**Rule 17**

Different versions of a standard-compliant algorithm from the same vendor must follow a uniform naming convention.

If multiple versions of the same component are provided by a single vendor, the different versions must be in different libraries (as described above) and these libraries must be named as follows:

\[
\text{<module><vers>_}<\text{vendor}>_{<\text{variant}>}.1<\text{arch}>
\]

where \(<\text{variant}>\) is the name of the variant of the module (containing characters from the set[a-z]).

Debug variants should have variant names that begin with the characters "debug." If there is only one release version of a component from a vendor, there is no need to add a variant suffix to the library name. Suppose, for example, that TI supplies one debug and one release version of the FIR module for the C62xx architecture. In this case, the library file names would be "fir_ti_debug.162" and "fir_ti.162".

In order to avoid having to make changes to source code, only one header file must suffice for all variants supplied by a vendor. Since different algorithm implementations can be interchanged without re-compilation of client programs, it should not be necessary to have different “debug” versus “release” definitions in a module’s header. However, a vendor may elect to include vendor specific extensions that do require recompilation. In this case, the header should assume that the symbol \_DEBUG is defined for debug compilations and not defined for release compilations.

**Rule 18**

If a module’s header includes definitions specific to a “debug” variant, it must use the symbol \_DEBUG to select the appropriate definitions. \_DEBUG is defined for debug compilations and only for debug compilations.
In this chapter, we examine what performance information should be provided by algorithm components to enable system integrators to assemble combinations of algorithms into reliable products.

The only resources consumed by standard-compliant algorithms are MIPS and memory. All I/O, peripheral control, device management, and scheduling is managed by the application — not the algorithm. Thus, we need to characterize code and data memory requirements and worst-case execution time.

There is one important addition, however. It is possible for an algorithm to inadvertently disrupt the scheduling of threads in a system by disabling interrupts for extended periods. Since it is not possible for a scheduler to get control of the CPU while interrupts are disabled, it is important that algorithms minimize the duration of these periods and document the worst-case duration. It is important to realize that, due to the pipeline of modern DSPs, there are many situations where interrupts are implicitly disabled; e.g., in some zero-overhead loops. Thus, even if an algorithm does not explicitly disable interrupts, it may cause interrupts to be disabled for extended periods.
4.1 Data Memory

All data memory for an algorithm falls into one of three categories:

- Heap memory – data memory that is potentially (re)allocated at run-time;
- Stack memory – the C run-time stack; and
- Static data – data that is fixed at program build time.

Heap memory is bulk memory that is used by a function to perform its computations. From the function’s point of view, the location and contents of this memory may persist across functions calls, may be (re)allocated at run-time, and different buffers may be in physically distinct memories. Stack memory, on the other hand, is scratch memory whose location may vary between consecutive function calls, is allocated and freed at run-time, and is managed using a LIFO (Last In First Out) allocation policy. Finally, static data is any data that allocated at design-time (i.e., program-build time) and whose location is fixed during run-time.

In the remainder of this section, we define performance metrics that describe an algorithm’s data memory requirements.

4.1.1 Heap Memory

Heap memory is run-time (re)allocable bulk memory that is used by a function to perform its computations. From a function’s point of view, the location and contents of this memory may persist across functions calls, may be (re)allocated at run-time, and different buffers may be in physically distinct memories.

It is important to note that heap memory can be allocated at design-time and avoid the code space overhead of run-time memory management. The only requirement is that all functions that access this memory must assume that it may be allocated at run-time. Thus, these functions must reference this memory via a pointer rather than a direct reference to a named buffer.

Rule 19

All algorithms must characterize their worst-case heap data memory requirements (including alignment).

All algorithms must characterize their worst-case data memory requirements by filling out the table below. Each entry should contain a pair of numbers corresponding to the size (in 8-bit bytes) required and an alignment (in 8-bit bytes). If no special alignment is required, the alignment number should be set...
to zero. Note that the numbers supplied may represent aggregate totals. For example, if an algorithm requires two unaligned External data buffers, it may report the sum of the sizes of these buffers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DA RAM</th>
<th>SARAM</th>
<th>External</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scratch</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1920 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the example above, the algorithm requires 960 16-bit words of single-access on-chip memory, 720 16-bit words of external persistent memory, and there are no special alignment requirements for this memory. Note that the entries in this table are not required to be constants; they may be functions of algorithm instance creation parameters.

### 4.1.2 Stack Memory

In addition to bulk “heap” memory, algorithms often make use of the stack for very efficient allocation of temporary storage. For most real-time systems, the total amount of stack memory for a thread is set once (either when the program is built or when the thread is created) and never changes during execution of the thread. This is done to ensure deterministic execution of the thread. It is important, therefore, that the system integrator know the worst-case stack space requirements for every algorithm.

**Rule 20**

All algorithms must characterize their worst-case stack space memory requirements (including alignment).

Stack space requirements for an algorithm must be characterized using a table such as that shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Align</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stack Space</td>
<td>400 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the size and alignment fields should be expressed in units of 8-bit bytes. If no special alignment is required, the alignment number should be set to zero.

In the example above, the algorithm requires 200 16-bit words of stack memory and there is no special alignment requirement for this memory. Note that the entry in this table are not required to be a constant; it may be function of the algorithm’s instance creation parameters.
One way to achieve reentrancy in a function is to declare all scratch data objects on the local stack. If the stack is in on-chip memory this provides easy access to fast scratch memory.

The problem with this approach to reentrancy is that, if carried too far, it may require a very large stack. While this is not a problem for single threaded applications, traditional multi-threaded applications must allocate a separate stack for each thread. It is unlikely that more than a few these stacks will fit in on-chip memory. Moreover, even in a single threaded environment, an algorithm has no control over the placement of the system stack; it may end up with easy access to very slow memory.

These problems can be avoided by algorithms taking advantage of the IALG interface to declare their scratch data memory requirements. This gives the application the chance to decide whether to allocate the memory from the stack or the heap, which ever is best for the system overall.

**Guideline 6**

Algorithms should keep stack size requirements to a minimum.

### 4.1.3 Static Local and Global Data Memory

Static data memory is any data memory that is allocated and placed when the program is built and remains fixed during program execution. In many DSP architectures, there are special instructions that can be used to access static data very efficiently by encoding the address of the data in the instruction's opcode. Therefore, once the program is built, this memory cannot be moved.

**Rule 21**

Algorithms must characterize their static data memory requirements.

Algorithms must characterize their static data memory requirements by filling out a table such as that illustrated below. Each row represents the requirements for an individual object file that is part of the algorithm’s implementation. Each named COFF section (that contains data) in the algorithm’s object files is represented by a column. Each entry should contain the size (in 8-bit bytes) required by the algorithm, any alignment requirements, whether the data is read-only or read-write, and whether the data is scratch memory or not. If no special alignment is required, the alignment number should be set to zero.
Static data in an algorithm forces the system integrator to dedicate a region of the system’s memory to a single specific purpose. While this may be desirable in some systems, it is rarely the right decision for all systems. Moreover, modifiable static data usually indicates that the algorithm is not reentrant. Unless special precautions are taken, it is not possible for a reentrant function to modify static data.

**Guideline 7**

Algorithms should minimize their static memory requirements.

With the exception of initialized data, it is possible to virtually eliminate all static data in an algorithm using the standard-compliant IALG interface. The implementation of interfaces is described in Section 3.2 and a detailed description of the IALG interface is provided in the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference.

**Guideline 8**

Algorithms should never have any scratch static memory.
4.2 Program Memory

Algorithm code can often be partitioned into two distinct types: frequently accessed code and infrequently accessed code. Obviously, inner loops of algorithms are frequently accessed. However, like most application code, it is often the case that a few functions account for most of the MIPS required by an application.

**Guideline 9**

Algorithm code should be partitioned into distinct sections and each section should be characterized by the average number of instructions executed per input sample.

Characterizing the number of instructions per sample for each algorithm allows system integrators to optimally assign on-chip program memory to the appropriate algorithms. It also allows one to perform a quantitative cost/benefit analysis of simple on-chip program overlay policies, for example.

**Rule 22**

All algorithms must characterize their program memory requirements.

All algorithms must characterize their program memory requirements by filling out a table such as that shown below. Each entry should contain the size (in 8-bit bytes) required by the algorithm and any alignment requirements. If no special alignment is required, the alignment number should be set to zero.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Sections</th>
<th>Code Size</th>
<th>Align</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.obj(.text)</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.obj(.text)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 Interrupt Latency

In most DSP systems, algorithms are started by the arrival of data and the arrival of data is signaled by an interrupt. It is very important, therefore, that interrupts occur in as timely a fashion as possible. In particular, algorithms should minimize the time that interrupts are disabled. Ideally, algorithms would never disable interrupts. In some DSP architectures, however, zero overhead loops implicitly disable interrupts and, consequently, optimal algorithm efficiency often requires some interrupt latency.

**Guideline 10**

Interrupt latency should never exceed 10\(\mu\)s.

**Rule 23**

All algorithms must characterize their worst-case interrupt latency for every operation.

All algorithms must characterize their interrupt latency by filling out a table such as that shown below. The interrupt latency must be expressed in units of instruction cycles. Note that the entry in this table is not required to be a constant; it may be function of the algorithm’s instance creation parameters. Each row of the table corresponds to a method of the algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Worst-case Latency (Instruction Cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process()</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In practice, the interrupt latency may also depend on the type of memory allocated to an algorithm instance. Since this relationship can be extremely complex, interrupt latency should be measured for a single fixed configuration. Thus, this number must be the latency imposed by an algorithm instance using the same memory configuration used to specify worst-case MIPS and memory requirements.
4.4 Execution Time

In this section, we examine what execution time information should be provided by algorithm components to enable system integrators to assemble combinations of algorithms into reliable products. We first point out the challenges and then describe a simple model that, while not perfect, will significantly improve our ability to integrate algorithms into a system.

4.4.1 MIPA Is Not Enough

It is important to realize that a simple MIPS calculation is far from sufficient when combining multiple algorithms. It is possible, for example, for two algorithms to be “unschedulable” even though only 84% of the available MIPS are required. In the worst case, it is possible for a set of algorithms to be unschedulable although only 70% of the available MIPS are required!

Suppose, for example, that a system consists of two tasks A and B with periods of 2 ms and 3 ms respectively. Suppose that task A requires 1 ms of the CPU to complete its processing and task B also requires 1 ms of the CPU. The total percentage of the CPU required by these two tasks is approximately 83.3%; 50% for task A plus 33.3% for task B.

Figure 4–1. Execution Timeline for Two Periodic Tasks

In this case, both task A and B meet their deadlines and we have more than 18% (1 ms every 6 ms) of the CPU idle.

Suppose we now increase the amount of processing that task B must perform very slightly, say to 1.0000001 ms every 3 ms. Notice that task B will miss its first deadline because task A consumes 2 ms of the available 3 ms of task B’s period. This leaves only 1 ms for B but B needs just a bit more than 1 ms to complete its work. If we make task B higher priority than task A, task A will miss its deadline line because task B will consume more than 1 ms of task A’s 2 ms period.
In this example, we have a system that has over 18% of the CPU MIPS unused but we cannot complete both task A and B within their real-time deadlines. Moreover, the situation gets worse if you add more tasks to the system. Liu and Layland proved that in the worst case you may have a system that is idle slightly more than 30% of the time that still can’t meet its real-time deadlines!

The good news is that this worst-case situation does not occur very often in practice. The bad news is that we can’t rely on this not happening in the general situation. It is relatively easy to determine if a particular task set will meet its real-time deadlines if the period of each task is known and its CPU requirements during this period are also known. It is important to realize, however, that this determination is based on a mathematical model of the software and, as with any model, it may not correspond 100% with reality. Moreover, the model is dependent on each component accurately characterizing its performance; if a component underestimates its CPU requirements by even 1 clock cycle, it is possible for the system to fail.

Finally, designing with worst-case CPU requirements often prevents one from creating viable combinations of components. If the average case CPU requirement for a component differs significantly from its worst case, considerable CPU bandwidth may be wasted.

### 4.4.2 Execution Time Model

In this section, we describe a simple execution time model that applies to all standard-compliant algorithms. The purpose of this model is to enable system integrators to quickly assess the viability of certain algorithm combinations, rationally compare different algorithm implementations, and enable the creation of automatic design tools that optimize CPU utilization. While far from perfect, the model described below significantly improves our ability to integrate algorithms into a system.

All algorithms must be characterized as periodic execution of one or more functions. For example, a voice encoder may be implemented to operate on a frame of data that represents 22.5 ms of voice data. In this case, the period is 22.5 ms (because every 22.5 ms a new frame of data is available for processing) and the deadline is also 22.5 ms (because there is no need to complete the processing ahead of the time that the next frame of data is available.)

---

**Rule 24**

All algorithms must characterize the typical period and worst-case execution time for each operation.

Execution time should be expressed in instruction cycles whereas the period expressed in microseconds. Worst-case execution time must be accompa-
Execution Time

...nied with a precise description of the run-time assumptions required to reproduce this upper bound. For example, placement of code and data in internal or external memory, placement of specified buffers in dual-access or single access on-chip memory, etc. In particular, the worst-case execution time must be accompanied by a table of memory requirements (described above) necessary to achieve the quoted execution time. Note that the entries in this table are not required to be constants; they may be functions of the algorithm’s instance creation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Worst-case Cycles/Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process()</td>
<td>22500 μs</td>
<td>198000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In some cases, an algorithm’s worst-case execution time is a periodic function of the frame number. Suppose, for example, that an audio encoder consumes 10 milliseconds frames of data at a time but only outputs encoded data on every 20 milliseconds. In this case, the encoder’s worst-case execution time on even frames will differ (perhaps significantly) from the worst-case execution time for odd numbered frames; the output of data only occurs on odd frames. In these situations, it is important to characterize the worst-case execution time for each frame; otherwise, system integrators may (falsely) conclude that an algorithm will not be able to be combined with others.

All such algorithms must characterize their periodic execution time requirements by filling in the table below; the number of Cycles/Period columns can be any finite number M. The worst-case number in the Cycles/Period column must be the worst-case number of cycles that can occur on frame number k * M + N, where k is any positive integer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Cycles/Period₀</th>
<th>Cycles/Period₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>process()</td>
<td>22500 μs</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>198000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DSP-Specific Guidelines

This chapter provides guidelines for creating standard-compliant algorithms for various DSP families.
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DSP algorithms are often written in assembly language and, as a result, they will take full advantage of the instruction set. Unfortunately for the system integrator, this often means that multiple algorithms cannot be integrated into a single system because of incompatible assumptions about the use of specific features of the DSP; e.g., use of overflow mode, use of dedicated registers. This chapter covers those guidelines that are specific to a particular DSP instruction set. They are designed to maximize the flexibility of the algorithm implementers while at the same time ensure that multiple algorithms can be integrated into a single system.
5.1 CPU Register Types

For the purpose of the guidelines below, we define several categories of register types.

- **Scratch register** – these registers can be freely used by an algorithm, cannot be assumed to contain any particular value upon entry to an algorithm function, and can be left in any state after exiting a function.

- **Preserve registers** – these registers may be used by an algorithm, cannot be assumed to contain any particular value upon entry to an algorithm function, but must be restored upon exit from an algorithm to the value it had at entry.

- **Initialized register** – these registers may be used by an algorithm, may be assumed to contain a specified initial value upon entry to an algorithm function, and must be restored upon exit from the algorithm.

- **Read-only register** – these registers may be read but must not be modified by an algorithm.

In addition to the categories defined above, all registers can be further classified as being either local or global. Local registers are thread specific; i.e., every thread maintains its own copy of this register and it is active whenever this thread is running. Global registers, on the other hand, are shared by all threads in the system; i.e., if one thread changes a global register then all threads will see the change.

The figure below depicts the relationship among the various register types defined above.
In preemptive systems, global registers can change at any point that preemption may occur. Local registers, on the other hand, can only be modified by the current executing thread. Thus, application code that depends exclusively on local registers will be unaffected by other preempting threads. Conversely, application code that depends on global registers must prevent preemption around those sections that have this dependence.

**Guideline 11**

Algorithms should avoid the use of global registers.

It is important to note that the use of global registers by algorithms is permitted. However, like self-modifying code, their use must be invisible to clients; this can be accomplished by either never modifying global registers or by disabling interrupts around those sections that modify and restore global registers.
5.2 Use of Floating Point

Referencing the float data type in an algorithm on a fixed point DSP causes a large floating point support library to be included in any application that uses the algorithm.

**Guideline 12**

Algorithms should avoid the use of the float data type.

5.3 TMS320C62xx Guidelines

This section describes the rules and guidelines that are specific to the TMS320C6000 family of DSPs.

5.3.1 Endian Byte Ordering

The C6x family supports both big and little endian data formats. This support takes the form of “boot time” configuration; i.e., the DSP is configured at boot time to access memory either as big endian or little endian and this setting remains fixed for the lifetime of the application.

The choice of which data format to use is often decided based on the presence of other processors in the system; the data format of the other processors (which may not be configurable) determines the setting of the C6x data format. Thus, it is not possible to simply choose a single data format for all standard-compliant algorithms.

**Rule 25**

All C6x algorithms must be supplied in little endian format.

**Guideline 13**

All C6x algorithms should be supplied in both little and big endian formats.

5.3.2 Data Models

The C6x C compiler supports a variety of data models; one small model and multiple large model modes. Fortunately, it is relatively easy to mix the various data memory models in a single application.

Programs will achieve optimal performance using small model compilation. This model limits, however, the total size of the directly accessed data in an
application to 32K bytes (in the worst case). Since algorithms are intended for use in very large applications, all data references should be far references.

**Rule 26**

All C6x algorithms must access all static and global data as far data.

### 5.3.3 Program Model

**Rule 27**

C6x algorithms must never assume placement in on-chip program memory; i.e., they must properly operate with program memory operated in cache mode.

In addition, no algorithm may ever directly manipulate the cache control registers. It is important to realize that standard-compliant algorithms may be placed in on-chip program memory by the system developer. The rule above simply states that algorithms must not require placement in on-chip memory.

### 5.3.4 Register Conventions

This section describes the rules and guidelines that apply to the use of the TMS320C6xxx on-chip registers. As described above, there are several different register types. Note that any register that is not described here must not be accessed by an algorithm.

The table below describes all of the registers that may be accessed by an algorithm.
### 5.3.5 Status Register

The C6xxx contains a status register, CSR. This status register is further divided into several distinct fields. Although each field is often thought of as a separate register, it is not possible to access these fields individually; e.g., in order to set one field it is necessary to set all fields in the same status register. Therefore, it is necessary to treat the status registers with special care; if any field of a status register is of type Preserve or Read-only, the entire register must be treated as a Preserve register, for example.
### 5.3.6 Interrupt Latency

Although there are no additional rules for C6x algorithms that deal with interrupt latency, it is important to note that all instructions in the delay slots of branches are non-interruptible; i.e., once fetched, interrupts are blocked until the branch completes. Since these delay slots may contain other branch instructions, care must be taken to avoid long chains of non-interruptible instructions. In particular, tightly coded loops often result in unacceptably long non-interruptible sequences.

Note that the C compiler has options to limit the duration of loops. Even if this option is used, one must be careful to limit the length of loops whose length is not a simple constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSR Field</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>Saturation bit</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPUID</td>
<td>Identifies CPU</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevId</td>
<td>Identifies CPU revision</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIE</td>
<td>Global interrupt enable bit</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGIE</td>
<td>Previous GIE value.</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>Current CPU endian mode.</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWRD</td>
<td>Power-Down modes</td>
<td>Not accessible (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Program Cache Control</td>
<td>Not accessible (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>Data Cache Control.</td>
<td>Not accessible (global)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the GIE and PGIE are read-only registers. Algorithms that need to create non-interruptible sections must use the DSP/BIOS operations HWI_disable() and HWI_restore(). They must never directly manipulate the GIE or PGIE bits.
5.4 TMS320C54xx Guidelines

This section describes the rules and guidelines that are specific to the TMS320C5400 family of DSPs.

5.4.1 Data Models

The C54x has just one data model, so no special data memory requirements for this processor.

5.4.2 Program Models

Some variants of the TMS320C54xx support an extended program address space. Since code can be compiled for either standard or extended (near or far) addresses, it is possible to have incompatible mixtures of code.

We need to ensure that calls made from the algorithm to support functions will be compatible, and that calls made from the application to the algorithm will be compatible.

---

**Rule 28**

On processors that support large program model compilation, all core run-time support functions must be accessed as far function; for example, on the C54x, the calling function must push both the XPC and the current PC.

---

**Rule 29**

On processors that support large program model compilation, all algorithm functions must be declared as far function; for example, on the C54x, callers must push both the XPC and the current PC and the algorithm functions must perform a far return.

This requires that the top-level interface to the algorithm functions be declared as “far”. Note that function calls within the algorithm may be near calls. Still, calls within the algorithm to independently relocatable object modules must be far calls, since any relocatable object module may be loaded in a ‘far’ page of memory.

What about existing applications that do not support far calls to algorithms? Note that it is possible for an existing application to do a near call into a far algorithm; create a small “near stub” that the application calls using a near call, the stub then does the appropriate far call and a near return to the application.

There are, of course, cases where it would be desirable that the core run-time support is accessible with near calls.
Guideline 14

On processors that support large program model compilations, a version of the algorithm should be supplied that accessed all core run-time support functions as near functions and all algorithms as far functions (mixed model).

When extended program memory allows overlays, the usable program space on each page is reduced. To ensure algorithm usability, the code size for each loadable object must be limited.

Rule 30

On processors that support an extended program address space (paged memory), the code size of any object file should never exceed the code space available on a page when overlays are enabled.

Note here that the algorithm can be larger than this limit, but any one object module must not exceed the limit. For the C54xx the code size limit is 32K words.

5.4.3 Register Conventions

This section describes the rules and guidelines that apply to the use of the TMS320C54xx on-chip registers. As described above, there are several different register types. Note that any register that is not described here must not be accessed by an algorithm; e.g., BSCR, IFR, IMR, and peripheral control and status registers.

The table below describes all of the registers that may be accessed by an algorithm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR0, AR2-AR5</td>
<td>C compiler expression registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR7</td>
<td>C compiler frame pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR1, AR6</td>
<td>C compiler register variables</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL, AH, AG</td>
<td>Return value from C function, first parameter to function</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL, BH, BG</td>
<td>C compiler expression registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK</td>
<td>Circular-buffer size register</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>Block repeat counter</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR, IMR</td>
<td>Interrupt flag and mask register</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMST</td>
<td>Processor mode register</td>
<td>Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA, REA</td>
<td>Block repeat start and end register</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Stack pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST0, ST1</td>
<td>Status registers</td>
<td>Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Multiply and shift operand</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRN</td>
<td>Viterbi transition register</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPC</td>
<td>Extended Program Counter</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.4.4 Status Registers

The C54xx contains three status registers; ST0, ST1, and PMST. Each status register is further divided into several distinct fields. Although each field is often thought of as a separate register, it is not possible to access these fields individually; e.g., in order to set one field it is necessary to set all fields in the same status register. Therefore, it is necessary to treat the status registers with special care; if any field of a status register is of type Preserve, the entire register must be treated as a Preserve register, for example.
### ST0 Field Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARP</td>
<td>Auxiliary register pointer</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carry bit</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>Data page pointer</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVA</td>
<td>Overflow flag for accumulator A</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVB</td>
<td>Overflow flag for accumulator B</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Test/Control flag</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ST1 register is of type Init.

### ST1 Field Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASM</td>
<td>Accumulator shift mode</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>Block repeat active bit</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>Dual 16-bit math bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPT</td>
<td>Compatibility mode bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPL</td>
<td>Compiler mode bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCT</td>
<td>Fractional mode bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>Hold mode bit</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTM</td>
<td>Interrupt mask</td>
<td>Preserve(global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVM</td>
<td>Overflow mode bit</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXM</td>
<td>Fractional mode bit</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XF</td>
<td>External Flag</td>
<td>Scratch (global)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PMST register is used to control the processor mode and is of type Init.
### PMST Field Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PMST Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVIS</td>
<td>Address Visibility bit</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLKOFF</td>
<td>CLKOUT disable bit</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DROM</td>
<td>Map ROM into data space</td>
<td>Read-only (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTR</td>
<td>Interrupt Vector Table Pointer</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP/MC</td>
<td>Microprocessor/microcomputer mode bit</td>
<td>Read-only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVLY</td>
<td>RAM Overlay bit</td>
<td>Read-only (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMUL</td>
<td>Saturation on multiply bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>Saturation on store</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.4.5 Interrupt Latency

Although there are no additional rules for C54x algorithms that deal with interrupt latency, it is important to note that all **RPT** and **RPTZ** loops are non-interruptible; i.e., once started, interrupts are blocked until the entire loop completes. Thus, the length of these loops can have a significant effect on the worst case interrupt latency of an algorithm.
5.5  TMS320C55xx Guidelines

This section describes the rules and guidelines that are specific to the TMS320C5500 family of DSPs.

5.5.1 Stack Architecture

The C55x CPU supports different stack configurations and the stack configuration register (4-bits) selects the stack architecture. The selection of the stack architecture can be done only on a hardware or software reset. To facilitate integration, each algorithm must publish the stack configuration that it uses.

Rule 31

All C55x algorithms must document the content of the stack configuration register that they follow.

Guideline 15

All C55x algorithms should not assume any specific stack configuration and should work under all the three stack modes.

5.5.2 Data Models

The C55x compiler supports a small memory model and a large memory model. These memory models affect how data is placed in memory and accessed. The use of small memory model results in code and data sizes that are slightly smaller than when using the large memory model. However, this imposes certain constraints on the size and memory placement. In the small memory model, the total size of the directly accessed data in an application must all fit within a single page of memory that is 64K words in size. Since algorithms are agnostic of where they are going to be instanced, all global and static data references should be far references.

Rule 32

All C55x algorithms must access all static and global data as far data; also the algorithms should be instantiable in a large memory model.

5.5.3 Program Models

Only large memory model is supported for the program memory. So there are no special program memory requirements for this processor. Just to reempha-
size the point, all the program code must be completely relocatable and must not necessarily, require placement in on-chip memory.

**Rule 33**

C55x algorithms must never assume placement in on-chip program memory; i.e., they must properly operate with program memory operated in instruction cache mode.

The above rule can be interpreted as to the algorithm code must not have any assumptions on the timing information to guarantee the functionality.

### 5.5.4 Register Conventions

This section describes the rules and guidelines that apply to the use of the TMS320C55x on-chip registers. Note that an algorithm must not access any register that is not described here.

The table below describes all of the registers that may be accessed by an algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X)AR0, (X)AR1, (X)AR2, (X)AR3, (X)AR4</td>
<td>Function arguments: data pointers (16 or 23–bit) or data values (16–bit)</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X)AR5, (X)AR6, (X)AR7, AC0, AC1, AC2</td>
<td>C compiler register variables</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC3</td>
<td>C compiler register variable</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0, T1</td>
<td>Function arguments: 16–bit data values</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2, T3</td>
<td>C compiler expression registers</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSP</td>
<td>System Stack Pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Stack Pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST0, ST1, ST2, ST3</td>
<td>Status registers</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR0, IMR0, IFR1, IMR1</td>
<td>Interrupt flag and mask register</td>
<td>Preserve (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRN0, TRN1</td>
<td>Transition registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK03, BK47, BKC</td>
<td>Circular Buffer Offset registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC0, BRC1</td>
<td>Block Repeat Counter registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA0, REA0, RSA1, REA1</td>
<td>Block repeat start and end address registers</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.5 Status Bits

The C55XX contains four status registers: ST0, ST1, ST2 and ST3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST0 Field name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACOV2</td>
<td>Overflow flag for AC2</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOV3</td>
<td>Overflow flag for AC3</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC1, TC2</td>
<td>Test control flag</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carry bit</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOV0</td>
<td>Overflow flag for AC0</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOV1</td>
<td>Overflow flag for AC1</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP bits (15 to 7)</td>
<td>Data page pointer</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table gives the attributes for the ST1 register fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST1 Field name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>Block repeat active flag</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPL=1</td>
<td>Compiler mode bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XF</td>
<td>External flag</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>Host mode bit</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTM</td>
<td>Interrupt Mask</td>
<td>Preserve (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40 = 0</td>
<td>40/32–bit computation control for the D–unit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATD = 0</td>
<td>Saturation control for D–unit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXMD = 1</td>
<td>Sign extension mode bit for D–unit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16 = 0</td>
<td>Dual 16–bit math bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRCT = 0</td>
<td>Fractional mode bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAD = 0</td>
<td>Lead bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 bits (0 to 4)</td>
<td>Accumulator shift mode</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table describes the attributes for the ST2 register.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST2 Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARMS=0</td>
<td>AR Modifier Switch</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCNA</td>
<td>Conditional Execute Control – Address</td>
<td>Read–only (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCND</td>
<td>Conditional Execute Control – Data</td>
<td>Read–only (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBGEM</td>
<td>Debug enable mask bit</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EALLOW</td>
<td>Emulation access enable bit</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDM=0</td>
<td>Rounding Mode</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDPLC</td>
<td>Linear/Circular configuration for the CDP pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR7LC to AR0LC</td>
<td>Linear/Circular configuration for the AR7 to AR0 pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following table describes the attributes for the ST3 register.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST3 Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAFRZ</td>
<td>Cache Freeze</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAEN</td>
<td>Cache Enable</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACLR</td>
<td>Cache Clear</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HINT</td>
<td>Host Interrupt</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMY</td>
<td>Host only access mode</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMX</td>
<td>Host only access mode</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMR</td>
<td>Shared access mode</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMP</td>
<td>Host only access mode – peripherals</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBERR</td>
<td>CPU bus error</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPNMC</td>
<td>Microprocessor / Microcomputer mode</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATA</td>
<td>Saturation control bit for A–unit</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVIS</td>
<td>Address visibility bit</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLKOFF</td>
<td>CLKOUT disable bit</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMUL</td>
<td>Saturation on multiply bit</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>Saturation on store</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.6 TMS320C24xx Guidelines

This section describes the rules and guidelines that are specific to the TMS320C24xx family of Digital Signal Processors (DSPs). Note that 24xx here refers to the following DSPs: x240, x241, x242, x243, and x240x.

5.6.1 General

As per all other standard-compliant algorithms, C24xx standard-compliant algorithms (also referred to as DCS Components) must also fully adhere to the rules and guidelines as described within this TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard and the TMS320 DSP Algorithm Standard API Reference.

**TMS320 DSP Standard Algorithms vs. DCS Modules** The C24xx family of DSPs are classified as DSP controllers, and consequently are mainly focused on the “Digital Control Space.” From an algorithm standpoint, the control space is characterized by systems built up from many smaller and reusable software blocks or modules, for example: PID controllers, coordinate transformations, trigonometric transformations, signal generators, etc. In addition the C24xx DSP controllers are offered in numerous memory configurations, with lower cost devices having 4k words of program memory. This imposes some restrictions on how much overhead can be wrapped on each one of these smaller modules when creating its interface, or API.

In order to address the mentioned sensitivities within the control space, the Digital Control Systems group (DCS) at TI has created smaller and reusable blocks of modular software known as DCS modules. These modules are not standard-compliant algorithms. However, they can be regarded as being standard ready, providing the benefit of allowing software designers to use them in order to quickly and efficiently build up standard algorithms without jeopardizing the algorithm’s compliance to the standard.

Please refer to the application note, SPRA701, A Software Modularity Strategy for Digital Control Systems, for further information on DCS modules.

5.6.2 Data Models

The C24xx has just one data model, so there are no special data memory requirements for this processor.

5.6.3 Program Models

The C24xx C compiler supports only the one standard 64K word reach program model, so there are no special program memory requirements for this processor.
5.6.4 Register Conventions

This section describes the rules and guidelines that apply to the use of the TMS320C24xx on-chip registers. As described previously, there are several different register types. Note that any register that is not described here must not be accessed by an algorithm; e.g. IFR, IMR, status and control registers (SCSR1, SCSR2, WSGR), and peripheral control registers. The table below describes all of the registers that may be accessed by an algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR0</td>
<td>C compiler Frame pointer</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR1</td>
<td>C compiler Stack pointer</td>
<td>Preserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR2</td>
<td>C compiler Local variable pointer</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR2 – AR5</td>
<td>C compiler Expression analysis</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR6 – AR7</td>
<td>C compiler Register variables</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulator</td>
<td>Expression analysis/ return values from a C function</td>
<td>Preserve (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Resulting Product from a Multiply</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Multiply and shift operand</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.6.5 Status Registers

The C24xx contains two status registers; ST0 and ST1. Each status register is further divided into several distinct fields. Although each field is often thought of as a separate register, it is not possible to access these fields individually; e.g., in order to set one field it is necessary to set all fields in the same status register. Therefore, it is necessary to treat the status registers with special care; if any fields of a status register is of type Preserve, the entire register must be treated as a Preserve register, for example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST0 Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARP</td>
<td>Auxiliary–register pointer</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OV</td>
<td>Overflow flag</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVM</td>
<td>Overflow mode</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTM</td>
<td>Interrupt mode</td>
<td>Preserve (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>Data page</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ST1 Field Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ST1 Field Name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>Auxiliary–register pointer buffer</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNF</td>
<td>On–chip DARAM configuration</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Test/control flag</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXM</td>
<td>Sign–extension mode</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Carry</td>
<td>Scratch (local)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XF</td>
<td>XF pin status</td>
<td>Read–only (global)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Product shift mode</td>
<td>Init (local)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.6.6 Interrupt Latency

The C24xx CPU has only one non–interruptible loop instruction, namely RPT. Once started the RPT instruction blocks interrupts until the entire number of repeats are completed. Thus, the length of these loops can have a significant effect on the worst case interrupt latency of an algorithm.
This appendix gathers together all rules and guidelines into one compact refer-
ence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1 General Rules</td>
<td>A-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2 Guidelines</td>
<td>A-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3 Performance Characterization Rules</td>
<td>A-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recall that rules must be followed in order for software to be standard-com-
pliant. Guidelines, on the other hand, are strongly suggested guidelines that
should be obeyed but may be violated by standard-compliant software.

The rules and are partitioned into three distinct sections. The first two sections
enumerate all of the rules and guidelines that must be obeyed by the algo-
rithms and the third section gathers all performance characterization rules.
A.1 General Rules

- All algorithms must follow the run-time conventions imposed by TI’s implementation of the C programming language. (See page 2-2)

- All algorithms must be reentrant within a preemptive environment (including time-sliced preemption). (See page 2-6)

- All algorithm data references must be fully relocatable (subject to alignment requirements). That is, there must be no “hard coded” data memory locations. (See page 2-9)

- All algorithm code must be fully relocatable. That is, there can be no hard coded program memory locations. (See page 2-14)

- Algorithms must characterize their ROM-ability; i.e., state whether they are ROM-able or not. (See page 2-16)

- Algorithms must never directly access any peripheral device. This includes but is not limited to on-chip DMAs, timers, I/O devices, and cache control registers. (See page 2-17)

- All header files must support multiple inclusions within a single source file. (See page 3-3)

- All external definitions must be either API identifiers or API and vendor prefixed. (See page 3-4)

- All undefined references must refer either to the operations specified in Appendix B (a subset of C runtime support library functions and the DSP/BIOS) or other standard-compliant modules. (See page 3-5)

- All modules must follow the naming conventions of the DSP/BIOS for those external declarations disclosed to the client. (See page 3-5)

- All modules must supply an initialization and finalization method. (See page 3-6)

- All algorithms must implement the IALG interface. (See page 3-14)

- Each of the IALG methods implemented by an algorithm must be independently relocatable. (See page 3-15)

- All abstract algorithm interfaces must derive from the IALG interface. (See page 3-15)

- Each standard-compliant algorithm must be packaged in an archive which has a name that follows a uniform naming convention. (See page 3-17)
General Rules

- Each standard-compliant algorithm header must follow a uniform naming convention. (See page 3-18)

- Different versions of an standard-compliant algorithm from the same vendor must follow a uniform naming convention. (See page 3-18)

- If a module’s header includes definitions specific to a “debug” variant, it must use the symbol _DEBUG to select the appropriate definitions; _DEBUG is defined for debug compilations and only for debug compilations. (See page 3-19)

- All C6x algorithms must be supplied in little endian format. (See page 5-5)

- All C6x algorithms must access all static and global data as far data. (See page 5-5)

- C6x algorithms must never assume placement in on-chip program memory; i.e., they must properly operate with program memory operated in cache mode. (See page 5-6)

- On processors that support large program model compilation, all core runtime support functions must be accessed as far functions; for example, on the C54x, the calling function must push both the XPC and the current PC. (See page 5-9)

- On processors that support large program model compilation, all algorithm functions must be declared as far functions; for example, on the C54x, callers must push both the XPC and the current PC and the algorithm functions must perform a far return. (See page 5-9)

- On processors that support an extended program address space (paged memory), the code size of any object file should never exceed the code space available on a page when overlays are enabled. (See page 5-10)
A.2 Guidelines

- Algorithms should minimize their persistent data memory requirements in favor of scratch memory. (See page 2-11)
- Each initialization and finalization function should be defined in a separate object module; these modules must not contain any other code. (See page 2-14)
- All modules that support object creation should support design-time object creation. (See page 3-7)
- All modules that support object creation should support run-time object creation. (See page 3-8)
- All algorithms should implement the trace interface IRTC. (See page 3-16)
- Algorithms should keep stack size requirements to a minimum. (See page 4-4)
- Algorithms should minimize their static memory requirements. (See page 4-5)
- Algorithms should never have any scratch static memory. (See page 4-5.)
- Algorithm code should be partitioned into distinct sections and each section should be characterized by the average number of instructions executed per input sample. (See page 4-6)
- Interrupt latency should never exceed 10 µs. (See page 4-7)
- Algorithms should avoid the use of global registers. (See page 5-3)
- Algorithms should avoid the use of the float data type. (See page 5-4)
- All C6x algorithms should be supplied in both little and big endian formats. (See page 5-5)
- On processors that support large program model compilations, a version of the algorithm should be supplied that accesses all core run-time support functions as near functions and all algorithms as far functions (mixed model). (See page 5-10.)
A.3 Performance Characterization Rules

- All algorithms must characterize their worst-case heap data memory requirements (including alignment). (See page 4-2)
- All algorithms must characterize their worst-case stack space memory requirements (including alignment). (See page 4-3)
- Algorithms must characterize their static data memory requirements. (See page 4-4)
- All algorithms must characterize their program memory requirements. (See page 4-6)
- All algorithms must characterize their worst-case interrupt latency for every operation. (See page 4-7)
- All algorithms must characterize the typical period and worst-case execution time for each operation. (See page 4-9)
This appendix enumerates all acceptable Core Run-time APIs that may be referenced by an standard-compliant algorithm.

## Topic Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.1 TI C-Language Run-time Support Library</td>
<td>B-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.2 DSP/BIOS Run-time Support Library</td>
<td>B-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recall that only a subset of the DSP/BIOS and the TI C Run-time Support Library functions are allowed to be referenced from an standard-compliant algorithm.
B.1 TI C-Language Run-time Support Library

In the future, this list of allowable APIs will grow to include a rich set of DSP math function calls; e.g., functions for computing a DCT, FFT, dot product, etc.

The following table summarizes the TI C-Language Run-time Support Library functions that may be referenced by standard-compliant algorithms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowed or disallowed</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Typical functions in category</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>String functions</td>
<td>strcpy, strchr, etc</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>Memory-moving functions</td>
<td>memcpy, memmove, memset, etc</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>Integer math support</td>
<td>_divi, _divu, _remi, _remu, etc.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>Floating point support</td>
<td>_addf, _subf, _mpyf, _divf, _addd, _subd, _mpyd, _divd, log10, cosh, etc.</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>Conversion functions</td>
<td>atoi, ftoi, itof, etc.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed</td>
<td>Heap management functions</td>
<td>malloc, free, realloc, alloc, ...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed</td>
<td>I/O functions</td>
<td>printf, open, read, write, etc</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed</td>
<td>misc. non-reentrant functions</td>
<td>printf, sprintf, ctime, etc.</td>
<td>5, 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1) Exceptions: strtok is not reentrant, and strdup allocates memory with malloc.

2) Some of these are issued by the compiler automatically for certain C operators.

3) The errno paradigm isn’t reentrant. Thus, errno must not be used by standard-compliant algorithms.

4) Algorithms are not allowed to perform I/O (except via DSP/BIOS APIs)

5) Algorithms must not allocate memory

6) Algorithms must be reentrant and must, therefore, only reference reentrant functions.
### B.2 DSP/BIOS Run-time Support Library

The DSP/BIOS is a collection of twelve modules.

- CLK - On-Chip Timer Manager
- GBL – Global Settings Manager
- HST – Host I/O Manager
- HWI – Hardware Interrupt Manager
- IDL – Idle Function Manager
- LOG – History Log Manager
- MEM – Memory Manager
- PIP – Data Pipe Manager
- PRD – Periodic Function Manager
- TRC – Trace Manager
- SWI – Software Interrupt Manager
- STS – Statistics Accumulator Manager

Of these modules, only SWI, PRD, and IDL are directly related to thread scheduling. The following table describes which operations are callable from standard-compliant algorithms. Unless otherwise noted, any operation that does not appear in this table must not be called by a standard-compliant algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allowed or disallowed</th>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Typical functions in category</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>CLK</td>
<td>CLK_gettime, CLK_gettime</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>HST</td>
<td>HST_gettime</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>HWI</td>
<td>HWI_disable, HWI_enable, HWI_restore</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed</td>
<td>HWI</td>
<td>HWI_enter, HWI_exit</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>LOG</td>
<td>LOG_event, LOG_printf, LOG_error, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>PIP_alloc, PIP_get, PIP_put, PIP_free</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>PRD_gettime</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disallowed</td>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>PRD_start, PDR_tick</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>TRC</td>
<td>TRC_disable, TRC_enable, TRC_query</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allowed</td>
<td>STS</td>
<td>STS_add, STS_delta, STS_set, etc.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes:

1) All operations provided by this module are callable by algorithms.

2) These operations are the only way to create critical sections within an algorithm and provide a processor independent way of controlling preemption.

3) TRC_enable and TRC_disable take a mask that should be configurable; i.e., hard constants should not be used.

It is important to realize that none of the LOG, TRC, or STS operations has any semantic effect on the execution of an algorithm. In other words, it is possible to implement all of these operations as aliases to a function that simply returns and the operation of the algorithm will be unaffected.
This appendix lists sources for additional information.

C.1 Books


Massey, Tim and Iyer, Ramesh, DSP Solutions for Telephony and Data/Facimile Modems, 1997, SPRA073


TI, TMS320C62xx CPU and Instruction Set, SPRU189B, 1997

C.2 URLS

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/threads-faq/part1/
D.1 Glossary of Terms

Abstract Interface

An interface defined by a C header whose functions are specified by a structure of function pointers. By convention these interface headers begin with the letter ‘i’ and the interface name begins with ‘I’. Such an interface is “abstract” because, in general, many modules in a system implement the same abstract interface; i.e., the interface defines abstract operations supported by many modules.

Algorithm

Technically, an algorithm is a sequence of operations, each chosen from a finite set of well-defined operations (e.g. computer instructions), that halts in a finite time, and computes a mathematical function. In the context of this specification, however, we allow algorithms to employ heuristics and do not require that they always produce a correct answer.

API

Acronym for Application Programming Interface i.e., a specific set of constants, types, variables, and functions used to programmatically interact with a piece of software.

Asynchronous System Calls

Most system calls block (or “suspend”) the calling thread until they complete, and continue its execution immediately following the call. Some systems also provide asynchronous (or non-blocking) forms of these calls; the kernel notifies the caller through some kind of out-of-band method when such a system call has completed.

Asynchronous system calls are generally much harder for the programmer to deal with than blocking calls. This complexity is often outweighed by the performance benefits for real-time compute intensive applications.

Client

The term client is often used to denote any piece of software that uses a function, module, or interface; for example, if the function a() calls the function b(), a() is a client of b(). Similarly, if an application App uses module MOD, App is a client of MOD.

COFF

Common Output File Format. The file format of the files produced by the TI compiler, assembler, and linker.
Concrete Interface  
An interface defined by a C header whose functions are implemented by a single module within a system. This is in contrast to an abstract interface where multiple modules in a system may implement the same abstract interface. The header for every module defines a concrete interface.

Context Switch  
A context switch is the action of switching a CPU between one thread and another (or transferring control between them). This may involve crossing one or more protection boundaries.

Critical Section  
A critical section of code is one in which data that may be accessed by other threads are inconsistent. At a higher level, a critical section can be viewed as a section of code in which a guarantee you make to other threads about the state of some data may not be true.

If other threads can access these data during a critical section, your program may not behave correctly. This may cause it to crash, lock up, produce incorrect results, or do just about any other unpleasant thing you care to imagine.

Other threads are generally denied access to inconsistent data during a critical section (usually through use of locks). If some of your critical sections are too long, however, it may result in your code performing poorly.

Endian  
Refers to which bytes are most significant in multi-byte data types. In big-endian architectures, the leftmost bytes (those with a lower address) are most significant. In little-endian architectures, the rightmost bytes are most significant.

HP, IBM, Motorola 68000, and SPARC systems store multi-byte values in big-endian order, while Intel 80x86, DEC VAX, and DEC Alpha systems store them in little-endian order. Internet standard byte ordering is also big-endian. The TMS320C6000 is bi-endian because it supports both systems.

Frame  
Algorithms often process multiple samples of data at a time. This set of samples is sometimes referred to as a frame. In addition to improving performance, some algorithms require specific minimum frame sizes to properly operate.

Framework  
A framework is that part of an application that has been designed to remain invariant while selected software components are added, removed, or modified. Very general frameworks are sometimes described as application specific operating systems.

Instance  
The specific data allocated in an application that defines a particular object.

Interface  
A set of related functions, types, constants, and variables. An interface is often specified via a C header file.

Interrupt Latency  
The maximum time between when an interrupt occurs and its corresponding Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) starts executing.
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Interrupt Service Routine (ISR)
An ISR is a function called in response to an interrupt detected by a CPU.

Method
The term method is a synonym for a function that can be applied to an object defined by an interface.

Module
A module is an implementation of one (or more) interfaces. In addition, all modules follow certain design elements that are common to all standard–compliant software components. Roughly speaking, a module is a C language implementation of a C++ class. Since a module is an implementation of an interface, it may consist of many distinct object files.

Multithreading
Multithreading is the management of multiple concurrent uses of the same program. Most operating systems and modern computer languages also support multithreading.

Preemptive
A property of a scheduler that allows one task to asynchronously interrupt the execution of the currently executing task and switch to another task; the interrupted task is not required to call any scheduler functions to enable the switch.

Protection Boundary
A protection boundary protects one software subsystem on a computer from another, in such a way that only data that is explicitly shared across such a boundary is accessible to the entities on both sides. In general, all code within a protection boundary will have access to all data within that boundary.

The canonical example of a protection boundary on most modern systems is that between processes and the kernel. The kernel is protected from processes, so that they can only examine or change its internal state in certain strictly defined ways.

Protection boundaries also exist between individual processes on most modern systems. This prevents one buggy or malicious process from wreaking havoc on others.

Why are protection boundaries interesting? Because transferring control across them is often expensive; it takes a lot of time and work. Most DSPs have no support for protection boundaries.

Reentrant
Pertaining to a program or a part of a program in its executable version, that may be entered repeatedly, or may be entered before previous executions have been completed, and each execution of such a program is independent of all other executions.

Run to Completion
A thread execution model in which all threads run to completion without ever synchronously suspending execution. Note that this attribute is completely independent of whether threads are preemptively scheduled. Run to completion threads may be preempt on another (e.g., ISRs) and non-preemptive systems may allow threads to synchronously suspend...
execution. Note that only one run-time stack is required for all run to completion threads in a system.

**Scheduling**

The process of deciding what thread should execute next on a particular CPU. It is usually also taken as involving the context switch to that thread.

**Scheduling Latency**

The maximum time that a “ready” thread can be delayed by a lower priority thread.

**Scratch Memory**

Memory that can be overwritten without loss; i.e., prior contents need not be saved and restored after each use.

**Scratch Register**

A register that can be overwritten without loss; i.e., prior contents need not be saved and restored after each use.

**Thread**

The program state managed by the operating system that defines a logically independent sequence of program instructions. This state may be as little as the Program Counter (PC) value but often includes a large portion of the CPU’s register set.
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