

Name _____ Student No. _____

No aids allowed. Answer all questions on test paper. Use backs of sheets for scratch work.

Total Marks 100, each question worth 25.

1. Define the problem *Dispersed Knapsack* as follows:

Input: w_1, \dots, w_d, C , all positive integers, such that the w_i 's satisfy the following condition:

$$w_i \geq \sum_{j=i+1}^d w_j, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d-1$$

Output: $S_{\max} \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $K(S_{\max}) = \max_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}} \{K(S) \mid K(S) \leq C\}$.

Give a greedy algorithm which solves Dispersed Knapsack by filling in the following two blanks:

$S \leftarrow \emptyset$

for $i : 1..d$

if _____ then

end if

end for

Solution: In the first blank put $w_i + \sum_{j \in S} w_j \leq C$, and in the second blank put $S \leftarrow S \cup \{i\}$.

2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected (connected) graph where each edge $e \in E$ has a cost $c(e)$ associated with it.

(a) Describe Kruskal's algorithm for finding the minimum cost spanning tree T of G .

Solution: See the notes.

(b) Suppose that the costs of all the edges are distinct; that is, after ordering the edges by costs we have $c(e_1) < c(e_2) < \dots < c(e_m)$. Show that in that case G has a *unique* spanning tree. (**Hint:** use an appropriate definition of promising.)

(Use next page if necessary.)

Solution: Let T_o be any MCST; we are going to show that $T_k = T_o$, where T_k is the MCST resulting from Kruskal's algorithm.

Let " T is promising" mean $T \subseteq T_o$. We are going to show that this is a loop invariant. The BC is trivial. For the induction step, if edge e is not added, then T continues being promising.

If edge e is added, and $e \in T_o$, then there is no problem as by IH $T \cup \{e\} \subseteq T_o$.

On the other hand, if edge e is added, but $e \notin T_o$, then $e \in T_k - T_o$, and so by the *Exchange Lemma*, there exists an $e' \in T_o - T_k$, and $T_{\text{new}} = (T_o \cup \{e\}) - \{e'\}$ is a ST. Since $c(e) < c(e')$ (if $c(e') < c(e)$, then e' would be considered before e , and since $e' \in T_o$, and $T \subseteq T_o$, e' would have been placed in T , and so it would have been in T_k , which we know not to be the case). But then $c(T_{\text{new}}) < c(T_o)$, contradiction. It follows that this last case ($e \notin T_o$) is not possible.

3. Consider the following variation of the Longest Monotone Subsequence problem:

Input: $d, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Output: What is the length of the longest subsequence of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d , where any two consecutive members of the subsequence differ by at most 1?

For example, the longest such subsequence of $\{7, 6, 1, 4, 7, 8, 20\}$ is $\{7, 6, 7, 8\}$, so in this case the answer would be 4.

Give a dynamic programming solution to this problem.

Solution: First define the array $R(j)$ to be the longest such sequence ending in a_j . Second, give the following recurrence:

$$R(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |a_i - a_j| > 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \\ 1 + \max_{1 \leq i < j} \{R(i) : |a_i - a_j| \leq 1\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Third, write the program.

4. An *activity* i has a fixed start time s_i , finish time f_i , and profit p_i . Given a set of activities, we want to select a subset of non-overlapping activities with maximum total profit.

Input: A list of activities $(s_1, f_1, p_1), \dots, (s_n, f_n, p_n)$. Assume $p_i > 0$, $s_i < f_i$, and $s_i, f_i, p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Output: Find a set $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of selected activities such that no two selected activities overlap, and the profit $P(S) = \sum_{i \in S} p_i$ is as large as possible.

To solve this problem, we sorted the activities by their finish time: $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n$. Then we partition the activities according to their finish times, and denoted these *distinct* finish times by $u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_k$.

Let u_0 be $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i$, i.e., the earliest start time. Thus, $u_0 < u_1 < u_2 < \dots < u_k$. We define an array $A(0..k)$ as follows:

$$A(j) = \max_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \{P(S) \mid S \text{ is feasible and } f_i \leq u_j \text{ for each } i \in S\}.$$

where S is *feasible* if no two activities in S overlap.

Note that $A(k)$ is the maximum possible profit for all feasible schedules S .

Your job is to answer the following question:

Given that A has been computed, how do you find an actual set of activities S such that $P(S) = A(k)$?

Solution: We show how to find the actual set of activities: Suppose $k > 0$. If $A(k) = A(k-1)$, then no activity has been scheduled to end at time u_k , so we proceed recursively to examine $A(k-1)$. If, on the other hand, $A(k) \neq A(k-1)$, then we know that some activity has been scheduled to end at time u_k . We have to find out which one it is. We know that in this case

$$A(k) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i + A(H(i)) \mid f_i = u_k\}$$

so we examine all activities i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and output the (first) activity i_0 such that $A(k) = p_{i_0} + A(H(i_0))$ and $f_{i_0} \leq u_k$. Now we repeat the procedure with $A(H(i_0))$. We end when $k = 0$.

$(H(i))$ is the index of the largest distinct finish time no greater than the start time of activity i . Formally, $H(i) = l$ if l is the largest number such that $u_l \leq s_i$.