

Appendix B

Relations

In this section we present the basics of relations. Given two sets X, Y , $X \times Y$ denotes the set of (ordered) pairs $\{(x, y) | x \in X \wedge y \in Y\}$, and a *relation* R is just a subset of $X \times Y$, i.e., $R \subseteq X \times Y$. Thus, the elements of R are of the form (x, y) and we write $(x, y) \in R$ (we can also write xRy , Rxy or $R(x, y)$). In what follows we assume that we quantify over the set X and that $R \subseteq X \times X$; we say that

- R is *reflexive* if $\forall x, (x, x) \in R$,
- R is *symmetric* if $\forall x \forall y, (x, y) \in R$ if and only if $(y, x) \in R$,
- R is *antisymmetric* if $\forall x \forall y$, if $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, x) \in R$ then $x = y$,
- R is *transitive* if $\forall x \forall y \forall z$, if $(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R$ then $(x, z) \in R$.

Suppose that $R \subseteq X \times Y$ and $S \subseteq Y \times Z$. The *composition* of R and S is defined as follows:

$$R \circ S = \{(x, y) | \exists z, xRz \wedge zSy\}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Let $R \subseteq X \times X$; we can define $R^n := R \circ R \circ \dots \circ R$ recursively as follows:

$$R^0 = \text{id}_X := \{(x, x) | x \in X\}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and $R^{i+1} = R^i \circ R$. Note that there are two different equalities in (B.2); “=” is the usual equality, and “:=” is a definition.

Theorem B.1. *The following three are equivalent:*

- (1) R is transitive,
- (2) $R^2 \subseteq R$,
- (3) $\forall n \geq 1, R^n \subseteq R$.

Problem B.2. *Prove theorem B.1.*

There are two standard ways of representing *finite* relations, that is, relations on $X \times Y$ where X and Y are finite sets. Let $X = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $Y = \{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$, then we can represent a relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$:

(1) as a matrix $M_R = (m_{ij})$ where:

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & (a_i, b_j) \in R \\ 0 & (a_i, b_j) \notin R \end{cases},$$

(2) and as a directed graph $G_R = (V_R, E_R)$: $V_R = X \cup Y$ and $a_i \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet b_j$ is an edge in E_R iff $(a_i, b_j) \in R$.

B.1 Closure

Let P be a property³ of relations, for example transitivity or symmetry. Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be a relation, with or without the property P . The relation S given by

$$S := \bigcap_{Q \text{ has } P, R \subseteq Q} Q, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

is called the *closure of R with respect to P* . Note that the closure may not exist, i.e., S could be \emptyset . Alternatively, S may be defined (equivalently) as the relation having the following three conditions:

- (1) S has the property P ,
 - (2) $R \subseteq S$,
 - (3) $\forall Q \subseteq X \times X$, “ Q has P ” and $R \subseteq Q$ implies that $S \subseteq Q$.
- (B.4)

Problem B.3. Prove that the two definitions are equivalent, that is, prove that S given by (B.3) and S given by (B.4) define the same relation.

Theorem B.4. For $R \subseteq X \times X$, $R \cup \text{id}_X$ is the reflexive closure of R .

Problem B.5. Prove theorem B.4.

Theorem B.6. Given a relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$, the relation $R^{-1} \subseteq Y \times X$ is defined as $\{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in R\}$. For $R \subseteq X \times X$, $R \cup R^{-1}$ is the symmetric closure of R .

Problem B.7. Prove theorem B.6.

Theorem B.8. $R^+ := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R^i$ is the transitive closure of R .

³We have seen the concept of an abstract property in section 1.1.

Proof. We check that R^+ has the three conditions given in (B.4). First that R^+ itself has the property (i.e., it is transitive):

$$\begin{aligned} xR^+y \wedge yR^+z &\iff \exists m, n \geq 1, xR^m y \wedge yR^n z \\ &\implies \exists m, n \geq 1, x(R^m \circ R^n)z \\ &\iff \exists m, n \geq 1, xR^{m+n}z \\ &\iff xR^+z \end{aligned}$$

so R^+ is transitive.

Second we check that $R \subseteq R^+$, but this follows by the definition of R^+ .

We check now the last condition. Suppose S is transitive and $R \subseteq S$. Since S is transitive then $S^n \subseteq S$, for $n \geq 1$, i.e., $S^+ \subseteq S$, and since $R \subseteq S$, $R^+ \subseteq S^+$, so $R^+ \subseteq S$. \square

Theorem B.9. $R^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R^i$ is the reflexive and transitive closure of R .

Proof. $R^* = R^+ \cup \text{id}_X$. \square

B.2 Equivalence relation

Let X be a set, and let I be an index set. The family of sets $\{A_i | i \in I\}$ is called a *partition* of X iff

- (1) $\forall i, A_i \neq \emptyset$,
- (2) $\forall i \neq j, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$,
- (3) $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$.

Note that $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\}$ is the *finest* partition possible, i.e., the set of all singletons. A relation $R \subseteq X \times X$ is called an *equivalence relation* iff

- (1) R is reflexive,
- (2) R is symmetric,
- (3) R is transitive.

For example, if x, y are strings over $\{0, 1\}^*$, then the relation given by $R = \{(x, y) | \text{length}(x) = \text{length}(y)\}$ is an equivalence relation. Another example is $xRy \iff x = y$, i.e., the equality relation is the equivalence relation *par excellence*. Yet another example: $R = \{(a, b) | a \equiv b \pmod{m}\}$ is an equivalence relation (where “ \equiv ” is the congruence relation defined on page 114).

Theorem B.10. Let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be any total function (i.e., a function defined on all its inputs). Then the relation R on X defined as: $xRy \iff F(x) = F(y)$, is an equivalence relation.

Problem B.11. Prove theorem B.10.

Let R be an equivalence relation on X . For every $x \in X$, the set $[x]_R = \{y | xRy\}$ is the *equivalence class of x with respect to R* .

Theorem B.12. Let $R \subseteq X \times X$ be an equivalence relation. The following are equivalent:

- (1) aRb
- (2) $[a] = [b]$
- (3) $[a] \cap [b] \neq \emptyset$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that aRb , and let $c \in [a]$. Then aRc , so cRa (by symmetry). Since $cRa \wedge aRb$, cRb (transitivity), so bRc (symmetry), so $c \in [b]$. Hence $[a] \subseteq [b]$, and similarly $[b] \subseteq [a]$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Obvious, since $[a]$ is non-empty as $a \in [a]$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $c \in [a] \cap [b]$, so aRc and bRc , so by symmetry $aRc \wedge cRb$, so by transitivity aRb . \square

Corollary B.13. If R is an equivalence, then $(a, b) \notin R$ iff $[a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$.

For every equivalence relation $R \subseteq X \times X$, let X/R denote the set of all equivalence classes of R .

Theorem B.14. X/R is a partition of X .

Proof. Given theorem B.12, the only thing that remains to be proven is that $X = \bigcup_{A \in X/R} A$. Since every $A = [a]$ for some $a \in X$, it follows that $\bigcup_{A \in X/R} A = \bigcup_{a \in X} [a] = X$. \square

Let R_1, R_2 be equivalence relations. If $R_1 \subseteq R_2$, then we say that R_1 is a *refinement* of R_2 .

Lemma B.15. If $R_1 \subseteq R_2$, then for all $a \in X$, $[a]_{R_1} \subseteq [a]_{R_2}$.

If X/R is finite then $\text{index}(R) := |X/R|$, i.e., the *index* of R (in X) is the size of X/R .

Theorem B.16. If $R_1 \subseteq R_2$, then $\text{index}(R_1) \geq \text{index}(R_2)$.

Problem B.17. Prove theorem B.16.